SUPPLEMENT 6

-RESULTS OF DERMATOLOGICAL TESTS



Client: GALEX, proizvodnja in promet s farmacevtskimi in drugimi proizvodi d.o.o., 9000 Murska Sobota, Tišinska ulica 29 g		Sample description (according to declaration of the Client) Flinoa Gelenkwohk (massage oil for joint pain) 30 ml
Sample received on:	19.06.2020	
Report issued on:	03.07.2020	

Dermatological test SEMI-OPEN TEST EXPANDED

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



THE STUDY IS COMPLIANT WITH

Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council (EC) No. 1223/2009 of 30 November 2009 on Cosmetic Products

Cosmetics Europe The Personal Care Association (previously COLIPA) Guidelines Product Test Guidelines for the Assessment of Human Skin Compatibility 1997

Cosmetics Europe The Personal Care Association (previously COLIPA) Guidelines for the Evaluation of the Efficacy of Cosmetic Products 2008

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature)

Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



LIST OF CONTENTS

- 1. Basis of the study
- 2. Object of the study
- 3. Qualitative composition of the product
- 4. Purpose of the study
- 5. Description of volunteers
- 6. Testing methodology
- 7. Date of the study
- 8. Evaluation parameters
- 9. Results
 - 9.1. Characteristics of volunteers
 - 9.2. Table of skin response
- 10. Calculated values
- 11. Interpretation
- 12. Conclusion
- 13. Signatures

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician

Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Maṇager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



1. BASIS OF THE STUDY

Test sample delivered by the Client.

The qualitative composition of the product delivered by the Client.

The results of microbiological purity of the product provided by the Client (or declaration from the Client about microbiological purity) does not apply to low microbiological risk products.

The Client is responsible for compliance with the declared qualitative composition and microbiological purity of the product sample sent for testing.

2. OBJECT OF THE STUDY

Parameter	Description
Appearance	Liquid
Color	Yellow
Fragrance	Characteristic for raw materials (or fragrance composition)
Packaging	Replacement packaging containing the name and sample number for testing

3. QUALITATIVE COMPOSITION OF THE PRODUCT

The qualitative composition was delivered to the Laboratory by the Client before the start of the study.

4. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of the study was to assess irritating properties (skin tolerance) of the product on a healthy adult skin, with applied patch test.

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician

Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature)
Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



5. DESCRIPTION OF VOLUNTEERS

The volunteers (50 people) were healthy, 25 people with negative and 25 people with positive allergy history. The selection of the group included the criteria of inclusion and exclusion. General inclusion criteria: healthy men and women over 18 years old, phototype: I-IV on Fitzpatrick scale, Caucasians, skin without irritations and changes requiring pharmacological treatment. General exclusion criteria: volunteers who use any treatment on the skin area subject to the study, volunteers exhibiting or having a known history of acute or chronic dermatological, medical and/or physical conditions that could influence the outcome of the study, pregnant or breastfeeding women or women planning a pregnancy during the study. None of the volunteers reported documented oversensitivity or history of adverse reactions to individual ingredients of the product tested. All the volunteers fulfilled the requirements of inclusion for tests and signed the Informed Consent Form (ICF). Additionally, they were informed on the purpose, methodology of the study and possible adverse effects. The skin at the application spot (arms or interscapular area) was healthy, without lesions. The volunteers were advised to exercise caution in handling the applied contact tests.

6. TESTING METHODOLOGY

The preparation in the appropriate concentration is applied onto filter paper discs of 12 mm diameter, manufactured by SmartPractice® and then fixed to the arm or interscapular area with the use of a sticking patch. Simultaneously, to objectify the results of the study and in order to exclude possible reading errors connected with dermal irritations two control samples (control sample called "blind" and control sample with water) are used. The purpose of this study is to exclude possible reading errors connected with dermal irritations. The results of the study are presented in section 10 of this report. The dermatologist removes the patch 48h after the application and examines the skin response 30 minutes after removal. 72h after the application, the dermatologist examines the skin again for a response. If irritations appear or persist 72h after the application, an additional examination takes place after 96 hours. Determining the response of the skin, the dermatologist assesses the irritating and sensitising effects of the tested product. The study results may be influenced by factors such as lifestyle, stress, diet and environmental conditions, etc.

7. DATE OF THE STUDY

30.06.2020 - 03.07.2020

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician

Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature)

Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



8. EVALUATION PARAMETERS

EVALUATION PARAMETERS OF SKIN REACTION					
Erythema	Classification point				
No erythema	0				
Light erythema	0.5				
Erythema and/or papules	1				
Erythema and/or papules and/or vesicles	2				
Erythema and/or papules and/or vesicles and/or blisters	3				
Erythema Bullous and/or ulcerative reaction and/or papules and/or vesicles and/or blisters	4				
Edema	Classification point				
No edema	0				
Very light edema (hardly visible)	1				
Light edema	2				
Moderate edema (about 1mm raised skin)	3				
Strong edema (extended swelling even beyond the application area)	4				

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)



9. RESULTS

9.1. **CHARACTERISTICS OF VOLUNTEERS**

Table 1

No. of subject	Identification of subject	Begining of the study	Age	Sex	Phototype
1	WIE.MA	30.06.2020	50	F	II
2	CHR.AN	30.06.2020	55	F	II
3	WOL.ZB	30.06.2020	51	М	II
4	WOL.EW	30.06.2020	47	F	II
5	ZEN.ZO	30.06.2020	69	F	II
6	KRO.DO	30.06.2020	49	F	II
7	PIS.KA	30.06.2020	57	F	II
8	KOL.KR	30.06.2020	62	F	II
9	ZMU.EL	30.06.2020	61	F	II
10	ZMU.MI	30.06.2020	63	F	II
11	WIE.ZO	30.06.2020	65	F	II
12	WLO.TE	30.06.2020	48	F	II
13	PED.TE	30.06.2020	68	F	II
14	OWC.ED	30.06.2020	50	F	II
15	JUC.ZUZ	30.06.2020	28	F	II
16	BUT.AN	30.06.2020	52	F	II
17	CHY.EW	30.06.2020	68	F	II
18	KAR.EL	30.06.2020	49	F	II
19	LUT.EW	30.06.2020	57	F	II
20	PIE.EL	30.06.2020	56	F	II
21	SID.MA	30.06.2020	59	F	II
22	GAR.JU	30.06.2020	47	F	II
23	BEC.EL	30.06.2020	54	F	II
24	JER.DA	30.06.2020	53	F	II
25	STE.KA	30.06.2020	52	F	II
		Min	28	No. F	phototype I
		Max	69	24	0
		Average	55	No. M	phototype II
				1	25
					phototype III
					0
					phototype

Table 1. Characteristics of volunteers with a negative history of allergy

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)



Table 2

No. of subject	Identification of subject	Begining of the study	Age	Sex	Phototype
1	KOL.TO	30.06.2020	55	M	II
2	ZAL.IZ	30.06.2020	41	F	II
3	NIT.AN	30.06.2020	45	М	II
4	SZY.MA	30.06.2020	48	F	II
5	DUD.IR	30.06.2020	63	F	II
6	DAS.EW	30.06.2020	67	F	II
7	BER.AN	30.06.2020	49	F	II
8	GRA.AL	30.06.2020	44	F	II
9	GRA.MA	30.06.2020	68	F	II
10	OGI.AL	30.06.2020	54	F	II
11	CZA.HA	30.06.2020	65	F	II
12	KUR.MA	30.06.2020	48	F	II
13	SEK.EL	30.06.2020	67	F	II
14	MAZ.AG	30.06.2020	19	F	II
15	POR.MO	30.06.2020	23	F	II
16	ARB.AL	30.06.2020	19	F	II
17	WOJ.SE	30.06.2020	65	F	II
18	WIE.SL	30.06.2020	52	М	II
19	MAT.MA	30.06.2020	64	F	II
20	OKU.AG	30.06.2020	48	F	II
21	ROZ.AG	30.06.2020	37	F	II
22	TAR.AG	30.06.2020	55	F	II
23	BRU.AN	30.06.2020	25	F	II
24	PIO.EL	30.06.2020	49	F	II
25	JUN.AG	30.06.2020	42	F	II
		Min	19	No. F	phototype :
		Max	68	22	0
		Average	48	No. M	phototype II
				3	25
					phototype
					III
					0
					phototype
					IV
					0

Table 2. Characteristics of volunteers with a positive history of allergy

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



TABLE OF SKIN RESPONSE 9.2.

Table 3

No.	Evaluation afte of product ap			Evaluation after 72 hours of product application		er 96 hours oplication
	Erythema	Edema	Erythema	Edema	Erythema	Edema
1	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
2	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
3	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
4	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
5	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
6	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
7	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
8	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
9	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
10	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
11	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
12	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
13	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
14	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
15	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
16	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
17	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
18	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
19	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
20	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
21	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
22	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
23	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
24	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
25	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped

Table 3. Results for volunteers with a negative history of allergy

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician
Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature)
Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)



Table 4

No.	Evaluation afte of product ap		Evaluation after 72 hours		Evaluation afte of product ap	
	Erythema	Edema	Erythema	Edema	Erythema	Edema
1	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
2	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
3	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
4	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
5	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
6	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
7	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
8	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
9	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
10	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
11	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
12	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
13	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
14	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
15	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
16	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
17	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
18	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
19	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
20	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
21	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
22	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
23	0	0	0	0	Examination	skipped
24	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	
25	0	0	0	0	Examination skipped	

Table 4. Results for volunteers with a positive history of allergy

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician
Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature)
Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)



10. CALCULATED VALUES

The following calculated values present the sum of negative reaction (erythema and edema) defined as Average Irritation Index (Xav).

	Evaluation after 48 hours of product application		Evaluation after 72 hours of product application		Evaluation after 96 hours of product application	
	Erythema	Edema	Erythema	Edema	Erythema	Edema
The sum of negative reaction (the sume of classification points)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Examination skipped	
Xav	0.00					

11. INTERPRETATION

The average irritation index (X_{av}) was calculated. The product was then classified according to the following table:

Average irritation index (xav)	Class
X _{av} < 0.50	Not irritating
$0.50 \le X_{av} < 2.00$	Slightly irritating
$2.00 \le X_{av} < 5.00$	Moderately irritating
5.00 ≤ X av	Highly irritating

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



12. CONCLUSION

The patch test study was performed under dermatological control on a group of 50 volunteers, including 25 volunteers positive history of allergy/atopy(sensitive skin). The study allows to conclude that product Flinoa Gelenkwohk (massage oil for joint pain) 30 ml by volunteers, that didn't report documented oversensitivity or a history of adverse reactions to individual ingredients of the tested product, is well tolerated by the skin. In the tested group of volunteers there were no irritations or allergic reactions. The product meets the requirements of compatibility test with the skin (Skin Compatibility Test) and can be classified as NOT IRRITATING.

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature) Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)

Laboratory: ul. Bajana 3D, 80-463 Gdańsk



13. SIGNATURES

Senior Technician	Kinga Roman	
Dermatologist - venereologist	Karolina Osiecka (2487308)	
Cosmetic Laboratory Manager	Marta Rosińska	

The Client is responsible for conformity with the declared quality composition as well as microbiological purity of the delivered samples. Attention: The released opinion of dermatological compatibility does not apply to people who are allergic to any ingredient of the tested product.

Prepared by: Kinga Roman, Senior Technician
Authorized by: Karolina Osiecka (2487308), Dermatologist - venereologist (qualified electronic signature)
Marta Rosińska, Cosmetic Laboratory Manager (qualified electronic signature)