



Infrastructure Support for Cooperative Maneuvers in Connected and Automated Driving


A. Correa, R. Alms, J. Gozavez, M. Sepulcre, M. Rondinone,
R. Blokpoel, L. Lücken, G. Thandavarayan

acorrea@umh.es, gthandavarayan@umh.es




- Automated vehicles are equipped with multiple sensors
 - Difficult to infer driving intentions of other vehicles
- Connected and Automated vehicles exchange driving intentions
 - ETSI draft standard for maneuver coordination based only on V2V
- Proposal: road infrastructure to support maneuver coordination
 - Extension based on V2I communications
 - Message format definition
 - Preliminary analysis of message generation rules


- Based on periodic V2V exchange of planned/desired trajectories
 - Coordination governed by right of way rules.
 - Generic approach valid for *all* scenarios.
- Example: Step 1 - CAVs exchange planned trajectory




- Based on periodic V2V exchange of planned/desired trajectories
 - Coordination governed by right of way rules.
 - Generic approach valid for *all* scenarios.
- Example: Step 2 - CAV2 sends desired trajectory to change lane




- Based on periodic V2V exchange of planned/desired trajectories
 - Coordination governed by right of way rules.
 - Generic approach valid for *all* scenarios.
- Example: Step 3 - CAV1 updates its planned trajectory



- Based on periodic V2V exchange of planned/desired trajectories
 - Coordination governed by right of way rules.
 - Generic approach valid for *all* scenarios.
- Example: Step 4 - CAV2 updates trajectories & changes lane



- Use case example: no automated driving zone
 - Automated vehicles need to perform Transition of Control (ToC)
 - TOC: handing over control to driver
- Baseline: multiple Toc in same area (before No AD zone)
- Proposal: infrastructure support to distribute ToC by time and space




- Proposal: road infrastructure to support maneuver coordination
 - Infrastructure acquires traffic/vehicle info
 - Sends speed and gap, lane change and ToC advices
- Identified benefits
 - Neutral coordination: coordinate multiple maneuvers
 - Enhanced perception: extended range & fusion
 - Coordination of multiple vehicles: common coordinating entity
 - Complements current ETSI approach


- Use case example: no automated driving zone
 - Evaluated in SUMO with 3 different traffic mixes
 - Safety metric: Avg no. of times Time to Collision < 3 seconds
 - Proposed solution improves safety compared to baseline

	Mix 1	Mix 2	Mix 3
CAVs	15%	25%	40%
CVs	25%	35%	50%
LVs	60%	40%	10%

Level of service C (1617 veh/hour/lane)





- Proposed format for the MCM:
 - Different containers for CAV and RSU
 - CAVs can locally execute cooperative maneuvers
 - RSU provides advices to increase overall traffic flow/safety



- **Vehicle Maneuver Container:**

- Planned/ Desired trajectory
- Vehicle dynamics
- Information about future ToC
- Acknowledgement of advice






- RSU Maneuver container:

- Lane advice
- Speed and gap advice
- ToC advice

- Comparison of periodic and dynamic generation rules:
 - Periodic: 2 Hz and 10 Hz
 - Dynamic: every 4 meters
- CBR increases with density
- Dynamic policy adapts better to the increase of traffic



Highway 4 lanes, speed 130 Km/h, 300 Bytes

- Maneuver coordination needed to improve safety and efficiency
 - ETSI maneuver coordination approach based on V2V
- Novel proposal of infrastructure aided maneuver coordination
 - Road infrastructure participates by sending individual advices
 - Message format defined for vehicles and RSUs
 - Analysed the load generated by different message generation rules

Thank you for your attention



A. Correa, R. Alms, J. Gozálvez, M. Sepulcre, M. Rondinone,
R. Blokpoel, L. Lücken, G. Thandavarayan

acorrea@umh.es

gthandavarayan@umh.es

