



INTUITIVE

INnovative Network for **T**raining in To**U**ch Interac**TIVE** Interfaces

Grant agreement: #861166 Start date: 1 October 2019 H2020-MSCA-ITN-2019 End date: 30 September 2023

Deliverable reporting document

| Deliverable no: D1.8 | | WP: 1 |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Deliverable title: Third year progress report | Type: Report | Dissemination level: Public |
| Due Delivery date: 31 October 2022 | | Date delivered: |

Description:

Report on the progress for the first three years

Deliverable text:

Third Year Progress Report - INTUITIVE-ITN

General progress of the action

The Covid-19 pandemic had a major impact on the INTUITIVE project during the first year, and it continued to affect the project during the next two years as well. At first the restrictions that were set around Europe during the start-up of the project delayed the recruitment of the Early-Stage Researchers (ESRs). When all the ESRs had been recruited, the project continued to suffer from travel restrictions, delayed deliveries and limited availability of administration. In the aftermath of the Covid-19 pandemic, the consequences can be summarized with delayed deliverables and postponed secondments. This will however be solved with a no cost extension of the project and a new secondment plan that will take the delays in the recruitment and deliverables, into account.

The focus for the ESRs during the first year were to plan their technical work of the individual research packages (IRP). Due to the delay in recruitment, the planning continued during the second year of the project, before the ESRs could start working on their deliverables in the second half of 2021. The INTUITIVE project has been able to submit 12 scientific deliverables until M36. 6 scientific deliverables should have been submitted but have been postponed to a later point in the project.

The project is built on the interactions between the ESRs and the different IRPs. The contact between the different supervisors and ESRs is important and will be kept through the whole project. Another significant component is the unique training opportunities provided through network and the ESRs participation in every step. Several ESRs have been able to go on secondments and participate in conferences. The project has also arranged two Research Schools. The first was forced to be online due to the pandemic, but the second Research School took place in Genova, Italy in September 2022.

A number of deliverables were set up to be completed during the first 36 months of the project. The following table is an account of them as well as their status.

| Del. Nr. | WP | Title | Status |
|----------|----|--------------------------------------|----------|
| D1.1 | 1 | Project Website; Welcome kit | Approved |
| D1.2 | 1 | Advertising Vacancies | Approved |
| D1.3 | 1 | Consortium Agreement | Approved |
| D1.4 | 1 | Supervisory Board | Approved |
| D1.5 | 1 | First round of Selection/Appointment | Approved |
| D1.6 | 1 | Data Management Plan | Approved |
| D1.7 | 1 | First year progress report | Approved |

| D2.1 | 2 | First representation of haptic input features across a population of cortical neurons | Submitted M30 |
|------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| D2.3 | 2 | First prototype of tactile transducer for use in rodents developed | Approved |
| D2.4 | 2 | Report on molecular scale mechanism of mechanoreceptors in skin | Submitted M31 |
| D2.6 | 2 | Multi-scale model of synthetic materials mimicking tactile sensing in skin | Submitted M37 |
| D3.1 | 3 | Learning classifier system for high-dimensional sensorimotor data | Submitted M36 |
| D4.1 | 4 | E-skin with microactuator for tactile feedback in robotics and prosthetics | Submitted M31 |
| D4.4 | 4 | Sensors with memory | Submitted M31 |
| D4.6 | 4 | Low-power sensory readout and electronic interface | Approved |
| D4.8 | 4 | Integration on flexible substrates – survey and limitation of existing methods | Submitted M32 |
| D5.1 | 5 | Taxonomy of image processing algorithms in the context of categorizing tactile graphics | Submitted M32 |
| D5.5 | 5 | Classification of 2D refreshable tactile user interfaces | Approved |
| D5.6 | 5 | Design principles and basic version of the intuitive tactile user interface | Submitted M32 |
| D6.2 | 6 | First year research schools, workshops, & tutorials | Approved |
| D6.3 | 6 | Second year research schools, workshops, & tutorials | Submitted M37 |
| D7.1 | 7 | H - Requirement No. 1 | Submitted M27, Reopened M36 |
| D7.2 | 7 | POPD - Requirement No. 2 | Submitted M27, Reopened M36 |
| D7.3 | 7 | A – Requirement No. 3 | Submitted M27, Reopened M36 |
| D7.4 | 7 | EPQ – Requirement No. 4 | Submitted M27, Reopened M36 |
| D7.5 | 7 | GEN – Requirement No. 5 | Approved |

Table 1: Completed deliverables and their status

The deliverables in table 2 should have been submitted before M36 but have been postponed. The justifications for the delay are included in the table.

| Del. Nr. | WP | Title | New sub. date | Justification |
|-------------|----|--|---------------------|--|
| D2.5 | 2 | In-silico model of tactile sensing in skin | M42 | Since the hiring process for ESR4 suffered from huge delays due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the experiments are running late. The ESR would like to postpone the deliverable with 12 months in order to have the original time given to finish the report as well as be able to submit a more interesting and valuable report. |

| D3.2 | 3 | Next generation sensory augmentation device | M38 | Postponing the deadline would provide enough time to include the work towards a next generation sensory augmentation device carried out at Actronika and thereby to extend the report beyond the research carried out at UOS and related projects. Moreover, this would provide the opportunity to make a comparison between the novel solutions developed at UOS and Actronika (potentially also the Tactonom) with other (mostly commercial) products/solutions on the market by analyzing their practicality and effectiveness. During the research school the aim is to discuss some final technical product features with the researchers from Actronika as the ESR currently do not have access to their products. A detailed understanding of the technology is crucial for the report. |
|------|---|--|-----|--|
| D4.2 | 4 | Graphene based touch sensor and benchmarking | M42 | Since the hiring process for ESR9 suffered from huge delays due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the experiments a running late. The ESR would like to postpone the deliverable with 12 months in order to have the original time given to finish the report as well as be able to submit a more interesting and valuable report. |
| D4.3 | 4 | Graphene based touch sensor integrated with memristive device | M38 | The Cluster tools which should be used for the nanofabrication in the James watt nanofabrication are down and under maintenance. The stability of the fabricated devices is very poor with instable behavior and needs some optimizations with the thickness of the active layer for memristors. The ESR was working on the couple of batches of the devices using multiple active layers of the memristor device and developing a new system for the sensor measurements which took some additional time. |
| D4.5 | 4 | softMEMS based stress and slip sensor | M45 | The work has been significantly delayed in the first year due to the sanitary situation in Italy, along with the ESR's training in Glasgow (the secondment was spent in quarantine due to Covid- 19). Therefore, the agreement was that an ESR from Glasgow would come to visit at FBK. That help was also delayed because of administrative arrangements reasons. Finally, the contract was supposed to start 6 months earlier than in the original project schedule. |
| D4.7 | 4 | Ultra-thin chips based sensory interface | M43 | Due to an unforeseen delay in the taping out and fabrication of the chip, which was out of the ESR's hand, the readout chips only arrived in mid- October. Therefore, thinning of the chip is also |

| delayed. We are now preparing for functional |
|---|
| testing of the chip, followed by sensor deposition, |
| then thinning of the chip lastly. Note that it is |
| necessary to do the prior steps before doing the |
| chip thinning. We foresee the functional testing |
| and the deposition to take around 4 months. Chip |
| thinning could take 2 months. |

Table 2: Postponed deliverables and their justification

Recruitment strategy

The recruitment process for the INTUITIVE project, that was disrupted by the Covid-19 pandemic, has been explained in Deliverable D1.5. But since it wasn't completed at the end of M12, table 3 list all the ESRs and their employment month as well as the delay in their recruitment.

| ESR Nr | Name | Host Institution | Employment | Employment |
|--------|-------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | | | Start | Delayed |
| 1 | Kaan Kesgin | ULUND | M13 | 10 months |
| 2 | Sofie Skårup Kristensen | ULUND | M6 | 3 months |
| 3 | Yerkebulan Massalim | ACA | M12 | 8 months |
| 4 | Shashank Mishra | UoG | M18 | 15 months |
| 5 | Aruna Ramasamy | ACA | M16 | 9 months |
| 6 | Vincent Schmidt | UOS | M9 | 2 months |
| 7 | Alexis Devillard | ICL | M17 | 10 months |
| 8 | Mahdieh Shojaei Baghini | UoG | M19 | 16 months |
| 9 | Bhavani Yalagala | UoG | M18 | 15 months |
| 10 | Inci Rüya Temel | FBK | M12 | 9 months |
| 11 | Mark Daniel Alea | KUL | M10 | 4 months |
| 12 | Rudra Mukherjee | UoG | M14 | 4 months |
| 13 | Omar Moured | КІТ | M25 | 15 months |
| 14 | Anirvan Dutta | BMW | M19 | 9 months |
| 15 | Gaspar Ramoa | IVO | M14 | 4 months |

Table 3: The recruited ESRs

Management of the action

Management primarily relies on a joint effort of the project coordinator (CO) and the Network Steering Committee (NSC). The NSC consists of PIs from all participants and was selected at the Kick-Off meeting. The members of the NSC have been in continuous contact via electronic communication to ensure a quick decision-making during the progress of the INTUITIVE project.

Project meeting: M2

The first INTUITIVE project meeting was held in October 2019, prior to the Kick-Off meeting. At this on-line meeting the requirements and regulations for the hiring process were discussed as well as eligible costs under H2020 ITN:s

PPM1/Kick-Off meeting: M2

The Kick-Off meeting took place during two days at Lund in November 2019. The Pis of all the beneficiaries as well as a few partner organizations were present and got to introduce themselves and their research. They gave presentations relevant for the planned secondments and for further exchanges that could take place. The first detailed plans for secondments were made as well as how the collaboration between all of the ESRs should be organized.

PPM2: M6

In March 2020 there was another on-line meeting including the Pis of all partners. A main topic was the planning of the first Research School. Also, our Ethics Advisor, prof. em. Ulf Görman, was introduced.

NSC meeting: M13

During an on-line meeting in October 2020, the Covid-19 situation was discussed and that the hiring of ESRs had suffered from huge delays. It was also decided that the first Research School should be in a digital form.

NSC meeting: M21

Prior to the Mid-Term Review there was a NSC-meeting in June 2021. The topics that were discussed during this meeting were the upcoming deliverables, the secondment plan and the arrangement of a journal club to give the ESRs a better insight to each other's different topics.

PPM3/Mid-Term Review: M21

The MTR took place in June 2021, delayed by 11 months.

PPM4: M30

PPM4 was held in March 2022. All the ESRs gave an update regarding their project and upcoming secondments. A few ESRs had already been out on their secondments and shared their experiences. During the included NSC meeting there was a discussion regarding the second Research School and the secondments.

Amendment

The termination of Shadow Robotics as a beneficiary and the amendment procedure in which BMW became a new beneficiary was completed September 2020. The consequence of this process was that the CA had to be updated based on BMW's comment and all beneficiaries had to again analyse its content and initiate a new approval procedure. Fortunately, the amendment didn't have any consequences to the implementation of the Workplan. BMW was able to take over the role of Shadow Robotics without any changes.

Communication Activities

The INTUITIVE webpage has been set up with a public-accessible area, which describes the project and the Individual Research Projects, introduce the partners and indicate interesting, related articles and workshops. The website includes one restricted area for Pis and ESRs.

The INTUITIVE project is also present on social media with a Linked-In account, a Twitter account and a YouTube channel. These channels are administrated by the ESRs.

Communication measures for strengthening the scientific careers of ESRs included an annual report on their work that was be presented at the INTUITIVE Research Schools. These schools will include a public engagement event where ESR will present their work to a public audience.

Research School 1, M20

Research School 1 were a digital meeting in May 2021, and it was a way for the ESRs to get to know each other even though it were online. Many points in the agenda were designed to foster active participation, contributions, and interaction from the ESRs. One important thing for this Research School was that the ESRs should learn how the different Beneficiaries and their different projects interact with each other and how they will cooperate during their time in the INTUITIVE project. The ESR contribution included:

- Poster Session: The ESRs prepared a poster about a project, ongoing or old, that in some ways were connected to the work they will do in the INTUITIVE project. These posters were presented and discussed in small groups.
- Journal Club: Four ESRs volunteered to present an article that they considered important to the INTUITIVE project.
- Speed Dating about secondments: The ESRs were divided into small groups where they discussed their upcoming secondments and how they will contribute to each other's projects.
- Individual Presentations: The ESRs presented themselves, their background, and their individual project within INTUITIVE.
- Student Presentations: Two ESRs were selected to give a full-length presentation instead of the shorter poster presentation.

Research School 2, M36

The second Research School took place in Genova in September 2022 and was arranged together with another ITN-project, NeuTouch. There were points in the agenda that were designed to foster active participation, contributions, and interaction from the ESRs. Poster session: The ESRs were encouraged to present an interesting experiment and/or result in a poster. This was a chance for the ESRs to showcase their work to their peers and the invited lecturers, since the poster would be displayed throughout the Research School. Panel discussion: An ESR from INTUITIVE was, together with an ESR from NeuTouch, in charge of organising and chairing the panel session (one per day).

Presentations at leading national/international conferences will provide an excellent forum for promoting the project and communicating the progress of INTUITIVE to the international research community. The ESRs will be encouraged to submit abstracts to external conferences. The ESR contribution to different conferences is presented in table 4.

| | 1 | | |
|-----|-------------|------------------|--|
| ESR | Name | Conference | Title of Paper |
| Nr | | | |
| 1 | Shachank | | Sancitivity Analysis of ZnO NIM's Pasad Soft |
| 4 | Slidslidlik | IEEE FLEPS 2022 | Sensitivity Analysis of 2110 NVVS Based Soft |
| | Mishra | | Capacitive Pressure Sensors using Finite |
| | | | Element Modeling |
| 5 | Aruna | Eurohaptics | Human Self-Touch vs Other-Touch Resolved by |
| | Ramasamy | Conference 2022 | Machine Learning |
| 6 | Vincent | | |
| | Schmidt | | |
| 8 | Mahdieh | IEEE FLEPS 2022 | Sensitivity Analysis of ZnO NWs Based Soft |
| | Shojaei | | Capacitive Pressure Sensors using Finite |
| | Baghini | | Element Modeling |
| 9 | Bhavani | IEEE FLEPS 2021 | Flexible and ultra-fast bioresorbable nanofibers |
| | Yalagala | | of silk fibroin-PVA composite |
| 10 | Inci Rüya | Micro and | presentation |
| | Temel | Nanoengineering | |
| | | 2021 | |
| 12 | Rudra | IEEE FLEPS 2021 | Life Cycle Assessment of Energy Generating |
| | Mukherjee | | Flexible Electronic Skin |
| 15 | Gaspar | ICCHP-AAATE 2022 | Classification of 2d refreshable tactile user |
| | Ramoa | | interfaces |

Table 4: ESR contribution to conferences

Besides the above-mentioned conference papers, the ESRs have authors or co-authors to a number of journal papers during the first three years of the INTUITIVE project.

Safa, A., Van Assche, J., **Alea, M.D.**, Catthoor, F., Gielen, G. *Neuromorphic Near-Sensor Computing: From Event-Based Sensing to Edge Learning* IEEE Micro, 2022

Mukherjee, R., Ganguly, P., Dahiya, R. *Bioinspired Distributed Energy in Robotics and Enabling Technologies* Advanced Intelligent Systems, 2021

Baghini, M.S., Vilouras, A., Douthwaite, M., Georgiou, P., Dahiya, R. *Ultra-thin ISFET based Sensing Systems* Electrochemical Science Advances, 2021.

Murali, P.K., **Dutta, A**., Gentner, M., Burdet, E., Dahiya, R., Kaboli, M. Active Visuo-Tactile Interactive Robotic Perception for Accurate Object Pose Estimation in Dense Clutter IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 2022

Impact of the Action

The scientific outcome of the project will be a soft biomimetic tactile skin integrating sensors, memory and electronics embedded in soft materials, and capable of encoding the haptics data as in the human central nervous system. The network will push forward the research frontiers in:

- 1. Neuroscience through valuable knowledge on tactile information processing by population of tactile afferents and skin biomechanics
- 2. Flexible electronics through novel tactile skin with sensors embedded in soft materials and their 3D integration on flexible substrates
- 3. Robotics and rehabilitation through novel computational models and critical need met by the biomimetic tactile skin and through ground-breaking applications.

During the first 36M, the project manages to submit 12 scientific deliverables.

WP2 – Tactile perception in biological systems

The ESRs will learn the underlying principles of translation from shear forces in the skin to activation of populations of tactile sensors and will record from neocortical neurons to understand how the brain processes such activation. The influence of internal neocortical state on that processing will be explored to clarify perceptual principles. The design of a haptic display to achieve stimulation along selected tactile primitives is organized as follows: (a) engineering requirements from biological data for multi-resolution multi-species tactile stimulation; (b) multi-physics (em/mech/ therm) simulation for design and miniaturisation of high-density device.

The deliverables related to various studies on tactile perception in biological systems and haptic inputs features, which all together present critical inputs for research on Biomimetic tactile skin.

D2.1: First representation of haptic input features across a population of cortical neurons Lead Institution: ULUND

ESR number 1 – Kaan Kesgin

Learning the multiple, parallel in vivo whole cell patch clamp recording technique in the neocortex of the rat. Exploring the representation of haptic input features in neocortical neurons. Tuning properties of neocortical neurons with respect to the haptic input features representation of haptic input features across a population of cortical neurons.

D2.3: First prototype of tactile transducer for use in rodents developed

Lead Institution: ACA

ESR number 3 – Yerkebulan Massalim

Analyze closed-form electro/thermal scaling laws for miniaturization. Realize computer aided design based on the analysis and perform multi-physics simulation. Implement physical miniaturized system

D2.4: Report on molecular scale mechanism of mechanoreceptors in skin Lead Institution: UoG ESR number 4 – Shashank Mishra Develop molecular scale model of mechanoreceptors of the skin. Incorporate biomimetic mechanoreceptor models in synthetic material to be used for artificial skin.

D2.6: Multi-scale model of synthetic materials mimicking tactile sensing in skin
Lead Institution: UoG
ESR number 4 – Shashank Mishra
Validate in-silico model with experimental data. Use the in-silico model for design and development of realistic tactile sensing skin

WP3 – Neural mechanisms of haptic and perceptual functions

The ESRs will learn haptics and perceptual processing by studying tactile interaction invariants and the role of multisensory cues. They will apply the knowledge in the development of sensory augmentation devices. The principles will be transferred to algorithms for implementation and proof-of-principle in robotic systems.

D3.1: Learning classifier system for high-dimensional sensorimotor data

Lead Institution: ACA

ESR number 5 – Aruna Ramasamy

Characterization of neuromimetic sensorimotor processing. Identification of tactile interaction invariants. Implement learning classifier system for high-dimensional data

WP4 – Biomimetic tactile skin

The objectives are to develop biomimetic tactile skin based on the knowledge gained from biological systems in terms of morphology and functionality. The sensors, electronics and memory element will be embedded in soft polymers to develop next generation of soft skin and demonstrate its capabilities for haptic exploration.

The deliverables are related development of technology, sensors/electronic components and their integration on flexible substrates to obtain biomimetic tactile skin.

D4.1: E-skin with micro actuator for tactile feedback in robotics and prosthetics Lead Institution: UoG ESR number 8 – Mahdieh Shojaei Baghini Design and layout of micro actuator array. Fabrication of 2x2 array of micro actuators on flexible substrates (e.g., polyimide) and validation.

D4.4: Sensors with memory Lead Institution: UoG ESR number 9 – Bhavani Yalagala Demonstrator of the properties of the sensors based on memristive device

D4.6: Low-power sensory readout and electronic interface Lead Institution: KUL ESR number 11 – Mark Daniel Alea Architectural study of time-based sensor readout in CMOS. Circuit design and layout of a low-power time-based sensor interface in CMOS.

D4.8: Integration on flexible substrates – survey and limitation of existing methods Lead Institution: UoG

ESR number 12 – Rudra Mukherjee

Literature survey analyzing the suitability of some of planar electronics integration methods for soft electronics. Stretchable interconnections and stiff sensor/electronics integration configuration.

WP5 – Robotics and assistive haptic technology

The objectives for this work package are testing of biomimetic strategies for haptic sensing; Development of efficient robot control for haptic exploration; Determination of sensory information (thus sensor) needed for robust haptic sensing.

The deliverables are related to applications enabled by biomimetic skin and biomimetic strategies for haptic sensing.

D5.1: Taxonomy of image processing algorithms in the context of categorizing tactile graphics Lead Institution: KIT ESR number 13 – Omar Moured

Systematic analysis of 'translating and simplifying visual to tactile graphics by a literature review

D5.5: Classification of 2D refreshable tactile user interfaces Lead Institution: IVO ESR number 15 – Gaspar Ramoa Literature review of the user interfaces and interaction

D5.6: Design principles and basic version of the intuitive tactile user interface Lead Institution: IVO ESR number 15 – Gaspar Ramoa Software prototype for the new user interface allowing interaction between the Tactonom and computer

A good synergy between participants is critical to attaining of the intertwined objectives of INTUITIVE, and the ESRs from the INTUITIVE network will be exposed to an array of different specialists through close collaboration among participants and high-tech industry.

To achieve this the ESRs will spend at least two secondments of 2-8 weeks working at other participants of INTUITIVE. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic and the thereby delayed recruitment process and travel restrictions, the original secondment plan are continuously updated, and some dates are yet to be decided. The tentative secondment plan, and by the end of M36, are shown in picture 1.

| | | Secondment 1 | | | | | Secondment 2 | | | | Secondment 3 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------|------|-------|--------|------|--------------|-----------|------|-------|--------------|------|-------|------------|------|---------|------|------|
| | Aco | cording to | GA | | New | | Aco | ording to | GA | | New | | Aco | cording to | GA | | New | |
| ESRs | Where | When | Time | Where | When | Time | Where | When | Time | Where | When | Time | Where | When | Time | Where | When | Time |
| Kaan Kesgin | ACA | M12 | 4w | ACA | M34 | 4w | UoG | M26 | 4w | ICL | M45 | 4w | | | | | | |
| Sofie Skårup Kristensen | ICL | M13 | 4w | ICL | M31 | 4w | UOS | M28 | 4w | UOS | M40 | 4w | | | | | | |
| Yerkebulan Massalim | UoG | M10 | 6w | ULUND | M29 | 4w | ULUND | M20 | 4w | BMW | M41 | 4w | BMW | M30 | 3w | UOS | M43 | 4w |
| Shashank Mishra | TCS | M12 | 8w | TCS | M27 | 5w | ULUND | M20 | 2w | ULUND | M41 | 2w | TCS | M30 | 4w | TCS | M45 | 5w |
| Aruna Ramasamy | ICL | M12 | 4w | ICL | M33 | 4w | ULUND | M28 | 4w | ULUND | M42 | 4w | | | | | | |
| Vincent Schmidt | ULUND | M14 | 2w | ULUND | M32 | 2w | ACA | M22 | 2w | ACA | M41 | 2w | IVO | M35 | 2w | | | |
| Alexis Devillard | ACA | M14 | 4w | ACA | M36 | 6w | UOS | M25 | 2w | | | | ULUND | M35 | 4w | | | |
| Mahdieh Shojaei Baghini | OSS | M10 | 2w | FBK | M39 | 2w | BMW | M22 | 4w | BMW | M45 | 4w | OSS | M32 | 4w | FBK | M51 | 4w |
| Bhavani Yalagala | ACA | M12 | 2w | FBK | M36 | 3w | FBK | M22 | 4w | ACA | M44 | 1w | KUL | M33 | 4w | | | |
| Inci Rüya Temel | UoG | M10 | 12w | UoG | M26 | 10w | UoG | M22 | 12w | UoG | M41 | 12w | KUL | M37 | 4w | | | |
| Mark Daniel Alea | FBK | M16 | 4w | FBK | M32+37 | 4w | IMEC | M24 | 4w | UoG | M32+45 | 4w | UoG | M39 | 4w | FBK | M40 | 4w |
| Rudra Mukherjee | BMW | M19 | 4w | FBK | M35 | 13w | IMEC | M24 | 4w | | | | KIT | M36 | 3w | | | |
| Omar Moured | ICL | M19 | 4w | ACA | | | ULUND | M27 | 2w | ICL | | | SRC | M34 | 3w | UOS | | |
| Anirvan Dutta | ICL | M18 | 4w | ULUND | M31 | 2w | IVO | M26 | 4w | ICL | | 4w | OSS | M34 | 4w | SRC/OSS | | |
| Gaspar Ramoa | UOS | M19 | 4w | UOS | M26+29 | 4w | ICL | M26 | 4w | ICL | M33 | 4w | ACA | M33 | 4w | ACA | M41 | 4w |

Picture 1: Tentative secondment plan by the end of M36

The overall reasons for these changes in the secondment plan are the delayed recruitment and the traveling restrictions due to the Covid-19 pandemic. But also, as a consequence from the pandemic, the project suffered from delay of component deliveries and therefore delay in the ESRs IRPs and deliverables. The original secondment plan is built on the interaction between the ESRs and their host institutions, and when their timeline changes, the co-operation between the ESRs also must change. This explains the postponed timeline for the secondments, the changed order among the secondments, but also the many changes of receiving institutions. Table 5 list the justifications for the changes of receiving institution in the updated secondment plan.

| Name | Change | Justification |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| Kaan Kesgin | ICL instead of UoG | |
| Yerkebulan Massalim | UOS instead of UoG | After discussions during RS1 it was realised that the research at UOS is more related to the work for ESR3 than the research at UoG. |
| Vincent Schmidt | No third secondment | The ESR's last secondment would be to visit IVO. But in a previous secondment (IVO at UOS) a study with human participants was conducted, where ESR15's device was tested. After the secondment, both ESRs have additionally invested significant time and efforts (i,e, 3-4 weeks each) in collaborating remotely on a manuscript to publish the results of that study. The manuscript is currently being reviewed by a journal, but it might still require a significant amount of labor before it could be published. While both ESRs profited from the extensive first |

| | | secondment together, the process of |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | publishing this manuscript has led to |
| | | significant delays in their individual |
| | | projects and tasks. Given the fact that |
| | | they already exceeded the time |
| | | investments required for the |
| | | secondments according to the Grant |
| | | Agreement, the suggestion is that they |
| | | invest more time in getting the results |
| | | from their first secondment published. |
| | | rather than organizing another physical |
| | | secondment in Nürnberg that would |
| | | only make sense if they were planning |
| | | to start (another) new project |
| Mahdiah Chaisai Daghini | FDK instand of OSS | The ESD will go to EDK to define |
| Ivialitien Shojael Baghini | FDK IIIStedu OF USS | me ESR will go to FBR to define |
| | | specifications for skill patch and testing |
| | | feedback in micro-colls integrated with |
| | | flexible sensors developed by ESR9 and |
| | | ESR10, and for a second time to |
| | | validate the skin patch. In collaboration |
| | | with ESR9 and ESR10, they will test the |
| | | suitability of the coil fields to produce |
| | | motion in ferromagnetic nanoparticles |
| | | as well as for magnetic stimulation. |
| Bhavani Yalagala | No third secondment | |
| Mark Daniel Alea | FBK instead of IMEC | The original goal of attending |
| | | microelectronics classes has been |
| | | fulfilled at KUL, not IMEC. Also, the |
| | | wafer post-processing to be performed |
| | | at FBK is of crucial importance in both |
| | | delivering a working electronic skin |
| | | prototype and finishing the ESR's PhD. |
| | | Finally, the said post-processing |
| | | procedures to be done at FBK are |
| | | expected to take a significant amount |
| | | of time, which the originally agreed 4- |
| | | week secondment at FBK would not |
| | | suffice |
| Rudra Mukheriee | Only one secondment | The work related to the use of solar |
| Rudra Mukherjee | ERK instead of RMW | skin planned with BMW/ has not |
| | I DK IIISteau OF DIVIV | progressed at a pace we initially |
| | | thought It will be helpful if ESP12 cap |
| | | nought. It will be helpful if ESRIZ (dil |
| | | pay more attention to the development |
| | | or prototype (which need to overcome |
| | | several integration related challenges). |
| | | In a longer secondment at FBK the ESR |
| | | will learn about the |
| | | tabrication/integration strategies that |
| | | will be useful in the project. |

| Omar Moured | ACA instead of ULUND | |
|---------------|----------------------|--|
| Omar Moured | UOS instead of SRC | |
| Anirvan Dutta | ULUND instead of IVO | In this secondment, the ESR will learn to understand the multi-modal sensory integration in the brain which is the research theme of ESR2. This will help ESR14 to refine his proposed human- inspired visuo-haptic integration framework in robotics. |

Table 5: Justification for changes in the secondment plan