Volumetry of the cerebellum and its subregions in genetic frontotemporal dementia

Martina Bocchetta^{1,2,3}, M. Jorge Cardoso¹, David M. Cash¹, Martin N. Rossor¹, Giovanni B. Frisoni^{2,4}, Sebastien Ourselin¹, Jonathan D. Rohrer¹.

Dementia Research Centre, University College London, UK; ² Laboratory of Epidemiology, Neuroimaging and Telemedicine, IRCCS Centro S. Giovanni di Dio - Fatebenefratelli Brescia, IT: ³ University of Brescia, IT: ⁴ Memory Clinic and Laboratory of Neuroimaging of Aging, University Hospitals and University of Geneva, CH,

Results

Subjects' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. When compared with controls, C9orf72 carriers showed a 10% reduction in the whole cerebella volume (p=0.009, Mann-Whitney U test), mainly located in the superior-posterior portion and specifically in the crus I bilaterally and in the left lobule VI (-18% p=0.027 and -10% p=0.047, respectively). The vermis and the interposed nuclei were also atrophic (-11% p=0.033 and -15% p=0.012, respectively). MAP7 carriers compared with controls showed a significant reduction in the vermis IX and in the lobule IX bilaterally (-13% p=0.015 and -17% p=0.005 respectively). Comparing FTD subgroups. C9orf72 carriers showed lower volumes in the crus I bilaterally and in the superior-posterior portion in general when compared with MAPT carriers (-19% p<0.05 and -14% p=0.012) (Table 2).

Comparison	Structure involved	Function
	whole cerebellum (superior-posterior), specifically crus I and left lobule V	l cognition
FTD-C9orf72 vs controls	vermis	Sensorimotor, autonomic/emotional
	interposed nuclei and left dentate nucleus	connections to cerebral cortex
FTD-MAPT vs controls	vermis IX	autonomic/emotional
FID-MAFT VS CONTINIS	lobule IX	oculomotor, balance, posture
FTD-C9orf72 vs FTD-MAPT	superior-posterior, specifically crus I	cognition

Table 2. Summary of differences in the volumetry of the cerebellum and its parcellation.

		ſ	Lobules I/IV	Primary sensorimotor
	Anterior	J	Lobule V	Primary sensorimotor, cognition
	Superior/ Posterior	ſ	Lobule VI	Cognition
		J	VIIA-Crus I	Cognition
	Posterior	ſ	VIIA-Crus II	Cognition
		l	Lobule VIIB	Cognition
	Inferior/	1	Lobule VIIIA	Secondary sensorimotor, cognition
		J	Lobule VIIIB	Secondary sensorimotor, cognition
	Posterior	١	Lobule IX	Balance, eye movement
			Lobule X	Balance, eye movement

Figure. Segmentation of the cerebellar lobules (left side) mapped on a 3T T1-weighted MR image of a control subject. Classification was made according to Bogovic et al., NeuroImage 2013;64:616–629 and Pierson et al., NeuroImage 2002;17:61–76. Cerebellar functions were based on Makris et al Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 2003;15(4):584-599.

Conclusions

C9orf72 FTD patients showed atrophy in the crus I region which seems to be functionally connected via the thalamus to the dorsolateral prefrontal corte: and involved in cognitive function. Atrophy in MAPT carriers was found in cerebellar regions related to the regulation of balance, posture and ever movements, and its relevance remains unclear.

Acknowledgements: The Dementia Research Centre is supported by Alzheimer's Research UK, Brain Research Trust, and The Wolfson Foundation. This work was also supported by funding from the UK Medical Research Council, Wellcome Trust and NIHR Queen Square Biomedical Research Unit. JDR is supported by an NIHR Rare Disease TRC Fellowship.



Controls FTD-MAPT FTD-C9orf72 (n=18) (n=9) (n=6) Gender, male 9 (50%) 7 (78%) 5 (83%) 56 (14) 60 (9) 65 (7) Age at scan

	8 (6)	11 (4)
	51 (6)	54 (10)
14 (3)	14 (5)	13 (4)
29.2 (1.2)	25.8 (5.0)	24.0 (4.0)
	76.4 (36.9)	78.7 (33.4)
	14 (3)	51 (6) 14 (3) 14 (5) 29.2 (1.2) 25.8 (5.0)

Background

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is a neurodegenerative disorder normally

presenting with cognitive and neuropsychiatric features. About 20% of people with FTD have a mutation in one of three genes: MAPT, GRN and

C9orf72. The cerebellum is involved in sensorimotor coordination and

learning, but has also been shown to take part in the processing of

Methods We investigated the volumetry of cerebellar subregions in a sample of 15 genetic FTD patients (9 MAPT mutation carriers and 6 C9orf72 expansion carriers) compared with 18 cognitively-normal controls, to determine whether specific cerebellar regions are associated with genetic mutations in bvFTD. All participants were scanned on a 3T Siemens Trio and matched for age, gender and education. We used an atlas propagation

and label fusion strategy of the Diedrichsen cerebellar atlas to automatically extract 33 regions, including the cerebellar lobules, the vermis and the deep nuclei (Cardoso et al., MICCAI 2012;15(Pt2):262-70; Diedrichsen et al., NeuroImage 2009;46:39-46). Cerebellar lobules were classified into four regions, and volumes were corrected for tota

cognition and emotion. Its role in FTD remains unclear.

intracranial volumes (Figure).

Table 1. Demographic, clinical and behavioural variables for the bvFTD patients and controls. Values denote mean (standard deviation) or n (%).

to a CL