CDWIA title: Coror	navirus (Scotland) Act 2020 - Children's provisions
	1: 7/12/2020 – reviewed February 2021
Executive summary	The Coronavirus (Scotland) Act 2020 (the 2020 Act) contains provisions which allow changes to current arrangements for children's hearings and in relation to the placement of children who are looked after by local authorities. The changes are outlined in schedule 3 of the 2020 Act.  As part of the truncated emergency Bill process the Scottish Government conducted a range of impact assessments including a CRWIA. The children's provisions in the Act link with children's hearings recovery
	planning. The recovery plan was published recently and it was felt to be an appropriate time to also refresh the assessment for the children's provisions in the 2020 Act, before material changes in policy, practice and procedure are brought in by the next recovery phase.  This was further reviewed in February 2021 to inform decision making on whether the children's provisions should be extended beyond the end of
Background	March 2021.  The 2020 Act contains provisions which allow changes to current arrangements for children's hearings and in relation to the placement of
	children who are looked after by local authorities. The changes are outlined in schedule 3 of the 2020 Act.
	Among a range of measures, these changes relax existing requirements for the composition of children's hearings, and the administration and conduct of children's hearings. There are extensions to the timescales for when certain legal orders must be reviewed and appeals against legal orders lodged. The timescales for review of children's cases when they are placed in different forms of accommodation are extended and local authorities are enabled to use foster carers more flexibly to look after additional children when necessary.
	In the context of this emergency, these provisions are designed to enable best use of very limited resources in local authorities, and the children's hearings system, so that efforts can be focused on safeguarding the welfare of Scotland's most vulnerable children and on supporting families and carers who need it most.
	These provisions were originally due to expire on 30 September 2020. However, that period can be extended by regulations approved by the Scottish Parliament if required: first to 31 March 2021, and then to 30 September 2021. It cannot be extended longer than that. Regulations to extend Part 1 of the Act to 31 March 2021 were laid by the Scottish Government on 24 August and approved by the Scottish Parliament on 23 September. At the same time as the extension regulations, regulations were also made to expire a number of provisions which were considered to be no longer needed beyond 30 September and these regulations came into force on 29 September. In relation to the provisions covered by this CRWIA, only paragraph 6 of schedule 3 expired on 29 September 2020.
Scope of the CRWIA,	All children who are participating in a children's hearing or pre-hearing panel will be affected by these changes, including children aged 0 – 18 <sup>th</sup>

identifying the children and young people affected by the policy, and summarising the evidence base

birthday, children living at home with one or both birth parents, children with other family or friends in kinship care, children in residential care, children in foster care, children in secure care, children in supported accommodation, children who are homeless and children living independently. The entire spectrum of age / stage development throughout childhood as well as the full range of possible lived experience will be included in the cohort of infants, children and young people currently supported through the statutory children's hearings system.

Children and families who experience economic or social disadvantages are known to be over-represented in formal care and justice systems.

Low-income jobs more often can't be done remotely, and maintaining basic food and other essentials could be a major financial hurdle, leading to multiplied risk to young people's health and wellbeing. Disadvantage may also inhibit or prevent access to the things which have now become commonplace for communication out from the home to the outside world – internet enabled smart phones and devices and Wi-Fi / data may not be accessible, affordable or even understandable by families.

Within some family homes, there may be overcrowding which will make it difficult for children to find space to focus on school work if that has to be done remotely; and will make it difficult for family members to self-isolate if they fall ill.

Lacking resources to prepare and protect against Covid-19, poorer families face a higher risk of contracting—and subsequently spreading—the virus. Emerging research is also demonstrating that economic disadvantage may also be a factor in the severity of the illness if the virus is contracted – which will lead to additional pressure within families and in the worst scenario to be eavement.

Lockdown and self-isolation are both potential barriers to support and services from outside the family continuing to engage with a child. This could mean that families demonstrate abilities that have not previously been seen or that children are placed at increased risk.

## Children and young people's views and experiences

Given the unprecedented circumstances presented by the COVID-19 pandemic and the need to react quickly to protect all in society including children and young people, it was not possible to consult with children and young people on the proposed legislation. We have however engaged with those stakeholders affected directly by the legislation, with the Promise and with the Children's Commissioner's office. We will continue to work with them for the period of the pandemic including getting input from children and young people to mitigate any possible unforeseen negative effects.

Alongside responsible agencies and officers with particular statutory functions – mainly the Principal Reporter of SCRA and the National Convener of CHS – the Scottish Government are reviewing the required

approach as circumstances change and as the knowledge and ideas base develops.

A sub-group of the Children's Hearings Improvement Partnership – the Children's Hearings Covid Recovery Group – meets weekly to drive progress on system response, recovery and renewal. The group has expanded to include people to represent the Voice of care experienced children and young people

There is an emerging evidence base of children's views / experiences / ideas for the future coming out of the last 9 months:

Our Hearings Our Voice – the board comprising young people with current experience of the hearings system were asked for their views on the return to face to face hearings: <a href="https://www.ohov.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/220620">https://www.ohov.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/220620</a> Return-to-face-to-face-Hearings-Consultation-Report.pdf

CELCIS and CYCJ - they undertook a rapid consultation exercise in June/July 2020 to strengthen understanding of the user experience of children's hearings. This included a survey to gather the views and experiences of children over the age of 12.

https://www.celcis.org/news/news-pages/experiences-virtual-childrens-hearings-captured-new-consultation/

Our Hearings Our Voice - produced a 'Zine' in October 2020. It contains 38 'calls to action' that will be reflected in priority plans for the next period <a href="https://www.ohov.co.uk/about-us/projects/the-zine/">https://www.ohov.co.uk/about-us/projects/the-zine/</a>

An independent CRWIA was produced by the Scottish Children's Rights Observatory in July 2020:

https://cypcs.org.uk/coronavirus/independent-impact-assessment/pandemic-what-needs-to-change/

Together Alliance - this page has information that has been gathered through research by members organisations to consider the impact of coronavirus on children: <a href="https://www.togetherscotland.org.uk/about-childrens-rights/coronavirus/childrens-views-and-experiences/">https://www.togetherscotland.org.uk/about-childrens-rights/coronavirus/childrens-views-and-experiences/</a>

RCPCH - they are compiling studies across the UK that are collecting children and young peoples' experiences and insights: <a href="https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/resources/covid-19-research-studies-children-young-peoples-views">https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/resources/covid-19-research-studies-children-young-peoples-views</a>

Scottish Youth Parliament – they have published their manifesto for 2021-2026:

https://syp.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/SYPS-11.pdf

Key Findings, including an assessment of the impact on children's rights, and how the measure will contribute to The measures in the 2020 Act are limited to those considered necessary to support and protect children's rights and promote their welfare and well-being in accordance with obligations under UNCRC. While these measures remain necessary, they bring undeniable impacts on children's and families' experiences – which we seek to recognise and mitigate where possible. The Scottish Government has empowered professional staff and volunteer tribunal members to exercise sound judgment and

## children's wellbeing

make decisions to protect and support children and young people based on available information and resources, in partnership with families, throughout the pandemic.

The Scottish Government has therefore actively supported agencies to prioritise the earliest possible safe service recovery and to mitigate against impacts on children's rights. The powers contained in the 2020 Act should be used only when circumstances arise in practice which makes their exercise necessary.

The exercise of emergency powers should:

- be underpinned by a focus on children and young people's, and families', human rights when making decisions to implement those powers which affect legal rights;
- be proportionate and limited to the extent necessary, in response to clearly identified circumstances;
- last for only as long as required;
- be subject to regular monitoring and reviewed at the earliest opportunity;
- facilitate effective participation, including legal representation and advocacy for children, young people and family members, wherever possible and appropriate, and
- be discharged in consultation with partner agencies.

These provisions were and remain essential for the continued operation of the children's hearings system and have been used in order to prioritise work to keep children safe. These measures are critical to enable statutory services to continue to intervene to keep children protected, safe and healthy.

## Monitoring and review

As a result of the fast pace of change and the dynamic and adaptive working which has been required in the children's hearings system and local authority responses to children requiring supports it has been crucial from the start to have a regular monitoring and review cycle in relation to the 2020 Act.

While the extension and expiry of these provisions is considered by the Parliament every 6 months, a Report is submitted to Parliament every two months reporting on and reviewing the use and continued necessity of each of the provisions.

The first five reports to Parliament can be found here: https://www.gov.scot/isbn/9781839608179

https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-acts-second-report-scottish-parliament/

https://www.gov.scot/isbn/9781800041370

https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-acts-fourth-report-scottish-parliament/

<u>Coronavirus Acts: fifth report to Scottish Parliament - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

SCRA and CHS have also produced wider data reports for each of the reporting periods. A link to all these reports can be found here: <a href="https://www.chip-partnership.co.uk/resources/coronavirus-childrens-hearings-data/">https://www.chip-partnership.co.uk/resources/coronavirus-childrens-hearings-data/</a>

D'III O'		111 1		
Bill - Clause	Aims of measure	Likely to impact on	Compliance with UNCRC requirements	Contribution to local duties to safeguard, support and promote child wellbeing
Paragraph 1(2) of schedule 3 – Relaxation of requirement for children's panel to consist of three members  Paragraph 1(3) of schedule 3 – Relaxation of requirement to have a gender mix on a children's panel	Over 30,000 children's hearings and pre-hearing panels take place across Scotland each year, involving approximately 2,500 volunteer Children's Panel Members. If, as a result of coronavirus, there are not enough Panel Members of a particular gender to enable a hearing to include male and female members, urgent hearings may have to be delayed or rescheduled which could leave children vulnerable. Moreover, as a result of illness, self-isolation or caring responsibilities, there may not be enough Panel Members available to form	Those children who require children's hearings. The National Convener and all ASTs acting on his behalf will continue, wherever possible, to select three Panel Members to sit on each virtual children's hearing in line with existing provisions in the 2011 Act. As set out in the reports to Parliament, use to date shows that the provisions have only been used where essential.	Articles 2, 3, 12, 16, 19, 20, 21, 25, 34, 36 and 39 have been taken into account in relation to all the provisions.	These measures enable panel members to continue to make decisions for children, taking account of the likely impact of different scenarios which could impact on panel member availability in Scotland's 32 local authority areas.  In terms of fewer than three panel members it may be that two panel members will increase the chance that a split decisions may occur, and a hearing may need to defer.  In terms of the gender mix on the panel we do not know enough about the views of children in relation to this to comment on any potential impact.  In turn these measures will help

	hearings of three Panel Members to conduct essential and urgent children's hearings required to make decisions to protect children.			to keep children and young people <b>safe</b> and <b>healthy</b> .
Paragraph 2(2) of schedule 3 – Child Assessment Orders	Section 35 of the 2011 Act provides for the local authority to apply to the sheriff for a child assessment order authorising the local authority to carry out an assessment to be made of a child's health or development, or of the way in which the child has been or is being treated or neglected. The period during which the order has effect must begin no later than 24 hours after the order is granted, and must not exceed three days.  Paragraph 2(2) of schedule 3 of the 2020 Act amends section 35(5) of the 2011 Act to extend these periods. This means that the period during which the order has effect must begin no later than 48 hours	As set out in the reports to Parliament, only 1 COA has	Articles 2, 3, 12, 16, 19, 20, 21, 25, 34, 36 and 39 have been taken into account in relation to all the provisions.	These measures will help to keep children and young people safe and healthy.

	after the order is granted. The maximum period for which the order can have effect is also extended to five, rather than three, days.  Whilst these periods remain short, this provides additional flexibility when staffing problems mean that emergency assessments may be more difficult to arrange. The changes in the 2020 Act enable local authorities to ensure that they have sufficient capacity to execute the order and arrange and conduct the relevant assessments.			
Paragraph 2(3) to (6) of schedule 3 – child protection orders	The paragraphs provide that the second working day children's hearings is not required. Instead a children's hearing to consider grounds for referral will sit on or before the eight working day. Until the 8th working day, a child or	Those children that require a child protection order. The three reports to Parliament set out the number of CPOs there have been over the reporting period.	Articles 2, 3, 12, 16, 19, 20, 21, 25, 34, 36 and 39 have been taken into account in relation to all the provisions.	Positive impact: in a landscape of reduced hearing capacity this provision frees up the hearing space which would be taken by a 2 <sup>nd</sup> working day children's hearing – so that these hearing appointments can be used by other cases. Children subject to a CPO do not need to

relevant person can make an application for the CPO to be recalled or varied. (ordinarily this would have been available until the second working day children's hearing under the 2011 Act) and for two working days following the second working day children's hearing (if the order is continued or varied by that hearing).

come to the children's hearing. The very tight timescale of a 2<sup>nd</sup> **WD** Hearing places significant pressure on the Reporter, and all Hearing participants, and not requiring to arrange this hearing relieves that pressure and allows capacity to be directed at other crucial work.

Negative impact: a child's case is not considered quickly by the children's hearing.

Mitigations: These measures recognise and support emergency intervention to prevent significant risk of harm, or in response to significant harm. Whilst the 2<sup>nd</sup> working day children's hearing is not sitting the opportunity to challenge the emergency intervention of the CPO through an application to recall or vary the CPO at the Sheriff Court is extended to last until the 8th working day children's hearing - meaning that the potential infringement on the rights of children and

				families is
				mitigated.
				In turn, these
				measures will help
				to keep children
				and young people
				safe, respected
				and <b>healthy</b>
Paragraph 3 of	This provides	This provision	Articles 2, 3, 12,	Positive impact:
schedule 3 -	that if a hearing	has only been	16, 19, 20, 21,	this provision
Maximum	has not taken	used to the	25, 34, 36 and	releases capacity
period for	place to review a	extent	39 have been	in the system for
which a	CSO before it	necessary	taken into	cases which
Compulsory	expires, the	driven by the	account in	require to be heard
Supervision	order will not	practicalities of	relation to all	to come to the
		•		
Order has	expire, unless	holding	the provisions.	children's hearing.
effect	six months have	children's		The impact of the
	passed since the	hearings in the		pandemic
	expiry date or	current context,		continues to limit
	the child has	and the extent		capacity in the
	attained the age	of use will vary		system and this is
	of 18 years.	as the safety		one of a range of
	However,	and operational		measures which
	alongside this,	contexts		builds capacity in
	there is a duty	develop.		the system to
	on the Principal	There are		recover in line with
	Reporter to	mitigations in		prioritised case
	arrange a	place -The		management.
	hearing before	Principal		
	the original	Reporter is		Negative impact:
	expiry date, and	applying a		the right to have a
	if not, to arrange	prioritisation		child's statutory
	the hearing as	framework to		intervention (CSO)
	soon as	enable the		reviewed within
	practicable	timely		the legislated 12
	thereafter.	consideration of		month timescale.
	נווסוסמונסו.	individual cases		monun umbatait.
	Drioritication of	to the maximum		Mitigations: This
	Prioritisation of			Mitigations: This
	work has been	extent possible,		provision does
	essential to	in consultation		extend the
	ensure	with referring		compulsory state
	continuity of	authorities and		intervention in the
	protections for	with		life of a child and
	children and	children/relevant		family (the
	young people	persons.		compulsory
	and putting in	Decisions are		supervision order)
	place	made following		by a period of up
	appropriate legal	dialogue with		to 6 months. This
	measures to	social workers		impacts on child
	keep children	and families.		and family rights.
	and young	Those involved		
	people safe. The	indicated they		However, any
	SCRA has been	would prefer to		cases where a
	unable to	come back at a		review of the
	ariable to	COMO DAGRALA	I .	1041044 01 1110

operate at anywhere near normal capacity as a result of movement restrictions, social distancing and virus prevention measures.

later date to a face to face children's hearing. Reporters are reviewing these arrangements on a case by case basis, and taking into consideration whether there would likely be a risk of detriment to the child's welfare if the CSO was not varied or terminated before the original expiry date. The use of this provision is set out in the Reports to Parliament.

situation of the child is required in order to make a change or to terminate the order - is being scheduled as a matter of priority. Therefore it is those cases where no change is required and where no-one is in disagreement with the extension of the CSO for up to 6 months which are not coming back to the children's hearing. At the end of the currency of the emergency intervention research may be required to demonstrate what happened in these cases where the CSO was in place for 18 months without review.

Children's cases are considered individually in relation to the application of this provision. The views of children and relevant people are taken directly or indirectly. Where there is a need to have a hearing to make a change (which can include termination of an order) and where people request the hearings are being arranged. The right of a child or relevant person to

	<u> </u>		I	
				request a review is untouched and this can happen at any time and this is being clearly communicated to families.
				will help to keep children and young people safe, nurtured, respected and healthy.
Paragraph 4(2)	To allow more	Decisions are	Articles 2, 3, 12,	Positive impact:
and (3) of	flexibility for	made by a	16, 19, 20, 21,	this provision
schedule 3 –	agencies	children's	25, 34, 36 and	releases capacity
Maximum	seeking to	hearing or	39 have been	in the system for
period for	respond in a	Sheriff in each	taken into	cases which
which Interim Compulsory	prioritised way to the challenges	individual case. The five reports	account in relation to all	require to be heard to come to the
Supervision	posed by the	to Parliament	the provisions.	children's hearing.
Order or Interim	coronavirus	set out the		The impact of the
Variation of	pandemic, these	interim orders		pandemic
Compulsory	provisions	where the		continues to limit
Supervision order has effect	amend sections 86(3) and 140(4)	provision has been used		capacity in the
order has effect	of the 2011 Act.	although it is not		system and this is one of a range of
	This provides	possible to		measures which
	that the	calculate how		builds capacity in
	maximum period	much time has		the system. It is
	for which an	been added –		also a measure
	ICSO or an	some orders will		which means that
	IVCSO has effect is:	have the maximum time		a child will have more time
	where	and others will		between each
	the order is	have had		children's hearing.
	made by a	different times		The flexibility
	children's	up to the		provided by this
	hearing, 44	maximum.		provision will
	days, or • where			reduce pressure on courts as there
	the order is			will not need to be
	made by a			the quick
	sheriff, such			turnaround of
	other period as			ICSO's every 3
	the sheriff may			weeks.
	specify. As with the			Negative impact:
	existing			Negative impact: the right to have
	legislation, a			your statutory
	hearing may			intervention (ICSO
	make an ICSO			/ IVCSO) reviewed
	or interim			within the

			1	
	variation for a			legislated 22 day
	shorter period			timescale.
	than the			
	maximum			Mitigations: the
	period.			provision does not
				have to be used
				and is not used in
				every case. The
				use of the
				provision is considered by
				each children's
				hearing. The right
				of appeal has not
				been altered and
				the time limits in
				relation to the
				appeal have been
				extended to
				ensure that a child
				and relevant
				person has
				recourse to
				challenge the
				decision by
				appeal.
				These measures
				will help to keep
				children and young
				people <b>safe</b> and
	<del></del>		A 11 L 0 0 40	healthy .
Paragraph 5 of	The provision	ln Sinoveneton soci	Articles 2, 3, 12,	Positive impact:
Schedule 3 -	extends the	circumstances	16, 19, 20, 21,	this measure has
Period within which	timescales to hear an appeal	where the numbers of staff	25, 34, 36 and 39 have been	given some flexibility / capacity
children's	to seven working	available to	taken into	to the system to
hearing must	days from three	work at any one	account in	allow this to
be heard in	working days in	time and the	relation to all	happen in
certain cases -	situations that	pressures on	the provisions.	circumstances
secure care and	the existing	the Courts are		when the ability to
other place of	permitted	without		arrange hearings
safety	timescales i.e.	precedent, it		at short notice
placements	three days, is	remains		have been
	not practicable.	essential to have additional		affected.
		time for crucial		Arrangements for physical children's
		challenges to be		hearings have
		heard and dealt		additional
		with. The		considerations and
		additional time		the logistics of
		in relation to		setting up a virtual
		short notice		children's hearing
		appeals within		are complex.
		the children's		

hearing system gives time for children and families to obtain legal and other supports; for Reporters to prepare and circulate all the relevant documents and for the Court to make and communicate the arrangements for the case to be heard.

The use of this provision can be found in the first three reports to Parliament.

Negative impact: decision making is not available to children in the same timescale as it was previously.

Mitigations: the children's hearing will still happen not as quickly in every case as it did before. Emergency transfer hearings generally have limited information and substantive decision making requires to be done when a situation is more settled for the child and full assessment and recommendations are available. Any decision related to secure care can still be reviewed / challenged through the secure accommodation (S) regulations 2013, and the requirements for implementation of the secure authorisation. That right to challenge exists out with the children's hearings provisions and outwith the emergency provisions.

These measures will help to keep children and young people safe, respected, included and healthy.

December 1 0 of	l <del></del>	T	A (' L . O O 40	T
Paragraph 6 of	The paragraph	This provision	Articles 2, 3, 12,	This measure
schedule 3,	allows the	was early	16, 19, 20, 21,	helped to keep
Extended	Principal	expired as from	25, 34, 36 and	children and young
timescale	Reporter the	29 September.	39 have been	people safe and
following	discretion to		taken into	healthy however,
emergency	extend the		account in	as a result of the
transfer of a	period within		relation to all	impact secure
child or young	which a		the provisions.	accommodation
person to	children's			has on a child's
secure	hearing must be			rights, every effort
accommodation	held by 24 hours			continued to be
	(from 72 hours			made in the
	to 96 hours)			children's hearings
	where it is not			system to have the
	practicable to			hearing as soon as
	meet the			possible and as a
	existing			result the provision
	timescale.			was expired.
Paragraph 7 of	These	The operational	Articles 2, 3, 12,	Positive impact:
schedule 3,	provisions	context for	16, 19, 20, 21,	this gives flexibility
<b>Modification of</b>	extend the time	those working	25, 34, 36 and	and capacity for
certain time	limits for the	within the	39 have been	children and
limits for	making, disposal	children's	taken into	families to
making and	or determination	hearing system	account in	challenge decision
determination	of appeals or the	continues to be	relation to all	making for longer.
of appeals etc.	making or	subject to	the provisions.	Any decision to
1	lodging of	severe	·	challenge is within
	applications.	constraints.		the control of the
	''	These		child or family. The
		constraints can		pandemic has
		impact on the		made it more
		ability to		difficult for children
		process work		and families to
		and to ensure		access legal
		that the		representatives
		timescales of		and advocacy
		the Children's		workers. It may
		Hearings		mean that more
		(Scotland) Act		time is needed for
		2011 are always		the preparation of
		met. Not		appeals and the
		meeting these		associated
		timescales can		paperwork to
		result in the		appeal a decision.
		failure of an		This provision
		intervention and		gives that time.
		the requirement		-
		to start a		Negative impact:
		process again.		the longer
		This is often not		timescales may
		in the interest of		mean that
		a child and their		decisions take
		family, can		longer to get
		cause confusion		made. It may
		and can have a		however, mean

		negative impact on the child and families trust in both the system and the professionals and others providing them with supports. The use of this provision can be found in the first three reports to Parliament.		that an appeal decision is less likely to be deferred to a subsequent calling and that fewer court callings may be needed for these decisions to be made.  These measures will help to keep children and young people <b>safe</b> and
Paragraph 8 of schedule 3 - Dispensation with physical attendance at children's hearings	This provision covers attendance of persons other than children or relevant persons to facilitate remote attendance of other persons. There is existing provision in rule 19 of the Children's Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011 (Rules of Procedure in Children's Hearings) Rules 2013 to enable attendance by other means.	Those children attending children's hearings during this period. The majority of the children's hearings that have taken place over the reporting periods have been 'virtual children's hearings' as children, family members, professionals, reporters and the decision makers (panel members) have been unable to attend the public spaces in children's hearings centres. Since the end of July 2020, SCRA has been opening up children's hearings centres in a safe, socially distanced manner and the number of	Articles 2, 3, 12, 16, 19, 20, 21, 25, 34, 36 and 39 have been taken into account in relation to all the provisions.	Positive impact: Every children's hearing will still consider whether it can go ahead in the absence of a child and family and will assess whether they have sufficient information about the views of the child and family in relation to the decision the hearing is being asked to make.  Negative impact: these measures directly impact on the inclusion of children and families in the decision making of children's hearings.  Mitigation: this provision is about removing the pressure on children and families to physically attend hearings. The obligation to attend is removed, but the right to attend

		hearings where	 has not been
		children and	affected. The
		families have	existence of the
		been able to	provision does not
		attend in person	prevent children
		has increased.	and families from
			being involved in
			their hearings. It
			only serves to
			make sure that
			crucial time limited
			decisions can be
			made if - for any
			reason – a child or
			family member is
			unable to attend a
			children's hearing
			in person or through the use of
			technology.
			technology.
			Since these
			provisions came
			into force anyone
			who wants to
			attend a children's
			hearing has been
			supported and
			enabled to do so,
			as far as is
			possible.
			These measures
			will help to keep
			children and young
			people <b>safe</b> and
			<b>healthy</b> . The
			statutory
			provisions of the
			2011 Act ensure
			that at all times children are
			included and that
			their views are
			taken into account
			in decision
			making.
Paragraph 9 of	These	Operational	Positive impact:
schedule 3 -	provisions	work in the	Legal paperwork
Authentication	enable	children's	has been
of children's	authentication of	hearing system	authenticated
hearings	documents by	before March	without any risk to
documentation	electronic	23 <sup>rd</sup> 2020	anyone and
by electronic	signature.	essentially	without any delay.
signature		meant that the	This has provided

			T	
		key decision		protections to
		makers (panel		children with no
		members)' the		negative impacts.
		record keeper		
		(the Reporter)		These measures
		and children		will help to keep
		and families		children and young
		were in the		people <b>safe</b> and
		same place at		healthy.
		the same time		,
		for the children's		
		hearing. This		
		meant that the		
		paperwork was		
		exactly that –		
		hard copies.		
		Since March		
		23 <sup>rd</sup> the		
		operation of the		
		hearing has		
		become much		
		more varied and		
		dynamic and		
		often means		
		that there are no		
		hard copy		
		documents to		
		sign.		
		Consequently		
		electronic		
		signatures		
		simplify and		
		streamline		
		administrative		
		processes to		
		make them		
		more efficient		
		and effective.	A .1 .1	
Paragraph 10 of	Schedule 3,	This may impact	Articles 2, 3, 12,	These measures
Schedule 3 -	paragraph 10	on children and	16, 19, 20, 21,	will help to keep
Looked after	extends the	young people	25, 34, 36 and	children and young
children	timescales for	being placed in	39 have been	people <b>safe</b> and
	review of	kinship and	taken into	healthy.
	children's cases	foster care.	account in	
	when they are		relation to all	
	placed in kinship	In practice the	the provisions.	
	care, and	provisions have		
	enables local	been seldom		
	authorities to	used as in most		
	use foster carers	cases local		
	more flexibly to	authorities have		
	look after	had capacity to		
	additional	meet with the		
	children when	previous		
	necessary.	requirements.	1	

	<u> </u>
CRWIA Declaration	In the cases where the provisions have been used this has helped to ensure that foster placements were not inhibited by a maximum number cap placed on available foster carers, meaning that children could be provided with safe and stable homes. The provisions have also ensured local authorities could prioritise their resources to help the most vulnerable children in Scotland whilst keeping children in safe kinship care families.
Authorisation	
Policy lead	Date
Tom McNamara, Head of Youth Justice and Children's He Unit	earings February 2021
Deputy Director or equivalent Lesley Sheppard Deputy Director: Care, Protection & Just	Date February 2021