

(Conformal) geometry as a gauge PDE

Maxim Grigoriev

*Institute for Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Lomonosov MSU
&
Lebedev Physical Institute*

*Based on: 2208.02933, 2008.11690, 1903.02820, 1606.07532, 1312.5296,
1204.1793, 1012.1903*

*Collaborations with: Glenn Barnich, Konstantin Alkalaev, Alexei Kotov, Ivan
Dneprov, and Slava Gritzaenko Also: Alik Verbovetsky, Alexey Sharapov*

Geometric structures and supersymmetry,
Tromsø, August 24, 2022

Background

- Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) formalism.
- BV on jet-bundles, local BRST cohomology *Henneaux et al*
- Alexandrov, Kontsevich, Schwartz, Zaboronsky (AKSZ) construction of BV for Lagrangian topological models
- Unfolded approach in higher spin gauge theories *M.Vasiliev*
- Vinogradov's approach to PDEs *Vinogradov, Krasilshik, ...*
- FDA approach to SUGRA *d'Auria, Fre, ...*
- BRST first quantized (also known as L_∞) approach to SFT and gauge fields *Zwiebach; Thorn, Bochicchio, Stern, Ouvry, ...*
- Fedosov quantization

Linear local BV-BRST system

$\mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow X$ – vector bundle of fields; \mathcal{H}_1 – of equations; \mathcal{H}_1 – of gauge parameters, etc.

$\mathcal{H} = \oplus \mathcal{H}_i$ vect. bundle. with \mathbb{Z} -graded fiber

$$\Omega = \sum_i \Omega_{(i)} : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \gamma(\mathcal{H}), \quad \text{gh}(\Omega) = 1, \quad \Omega^2 = 0$$

a differential operator. This defines linear local BV gauge theory. As $\text{gh}(\Omega) = 1$, $\Omega^2 = 0$ can be seen as a complex:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\Omega_{(-2)}} \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{-1}) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{(-1)}} \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{(0)}} \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{(1)}} \dots$$

If $\Phi_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)$, equations of motion and gauge symmetries:

$$\Omega\Phi_0 = 0, \quad \delta\Phi_0 = \Omega\Phi_{-1}, \quad \dots$$

+ extra requirements (exact in positive degree on jets).

If in addition $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is equipped with degree -1 inner product $\int d^n x \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that Ω is formally symmetric:

$$S[\Phi_0] = \int d^n x \langle \Phi_0, \Omega \Phi_0 \rangle$$

Gauge invariant action familiar from SFT and first quantized BRST approach. The approach can be seen as formal BRST quantum mechanics.

Interactions can be introduced by polydifferential operators

$$l_i : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \quad i > 1, \quad \text{gh}(l_i) = 1$$

Compatibility: L_∞ -conditions for $l_0 = \Omega, l_1, l_2, \dots$ so that $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ remains an L_∞ algebra. Gives a standard setup for perturbative QFT. E.g. perturbative S -matrix can be obtained as a minimal model with a proper choice of solution space. *Zwiebach, Lada, Stasheff, Jurco, Arvanitakis, Saemann, Wolf, Hohm, ...*

BV perspective

Replace vector bundle $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ with a bundle of graded manifolds whose fiber x is \mathcal{H}_x considered as a graded manifold (i.e. associated algebra is $Sym(\mathcal{H}_x^*)$)

$J^\infty(F)$ is naturally equipped with Cartan distribution and BRST differential

$$s\Psi = \Omega\Psi, \quad \Psi = \psi^A e_A$$

$\psi^A e_A$ – known as string field. In the Lagrangian case:

$$S_{BV} = \int d^n x \langle \Psi, \Omega\Psi \rangle, \quad \Psi = \psi^A e_A \quad \text{– string field}$$

(cf. quadratic SFT action)

Bochicchio, Thorn 1986

Def [Henneaux, . . . , Barnich, MG; Lyakhovich, Sharapov] BV (EOM-level)
 $E \rightarrow X$, $J^\infty(E)$ is equipped with vertical evolutionary s , $\text{gh}(s) = 1$, $s^2 = 0$ + technical conditions

L_∞ -setup reproduced upon perturb. expansion about a solution.
Convenient to pull-back $J^\infty(E)$ to $T[1]X$. Algebra of functions
– horizontal local forms on $J^\infty(E)$.

$$s^2 = 0, \quad \text{gh}(s) = 1, \quad [s, d_h] = 0, \quad d_h = \theta^a D_a$$

Cohomology $H(s|d_h)$ -local BRST cohomology: deformations, anomalies, global symmetries, conservation laws etc.

In fact invariant information is contained in $Q = s + d_h$ (total degree = form deg + gh). Solutions, gauge symmetries, can be defined in terms of Q and d_X Barnich, MG

Towards gauge PDEs

The notion of BV is restricted to jets. Generalization?

Def Q -manifold (M, Q) is a \mathbb{Z} -graded supermanifold M equipped with the odd nilpotent vector field of degree 1, i.e.

$$Q^2 = 0, \quad \text{gh}(Q) = 1$$

$\phi : (M_1, Q_1) \rightarrow (M_2, Q_2)$ is a Q -map if $\phi^* \circ Q_2 = Q_1 \circ \phi^*$

Example: Odd tangent bundle: $(T[1]X, d_X)$. If θ^a are coordinates on the fibres of $T[1]M$ in the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$:

$$d_X := \theta^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$$

Example: CE complex $(\mathfrak{g}[1], Q)$. If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra then $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ is equipped with Q structure. If c^α are coordinates on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ in the basis e_α then

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha c^\beta U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial c^\gamma}, \quad [e_\alpha, e_\beta] = U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma$$

Example: $(V[1](M), Q)$ where $V(M)$ Lie algebroid. Indeed generic Q of degree 1 locally reads as:

$$Q = c^\alpha R_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha c^\beta U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial c^\gamma}$$

R_α gives anchor, $U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ bracket, $Q^2 = 0$ encodes compatibility.

Proposition: [AKSZ] Let (M, Q) a Q -manifold, $p \in M$ and $Q|_p = 0$ then $T_p M$ is an L_∞ algebra.

Equivalence of Q -manifolds:

Idea: restrict to local analysis. Let

$$M = N \times T[1]V, \quad Q = Q_N + d_{T[1]V}$$

with V a graded space. Then (M, Q) and (N, Q_N) are equivalent. Q -manifold $(T[1]V, d_{T[1]V})$ is called contractible. In coordinates:

$$Q = Q_N + v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\alpha}, \quad Q_N = q^i(\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^i}.$$

Often one finds a “minimal” equivalent Q -man. In the formal setup this gives a **minimal model** of the respective L_∞ algebra.

Geometric characterization: let w^a be independent functions such that w^a, Qw^a are also independent then the surface $w^a = 0 = Qw^a$ is a Q -submanifold isomorphic to (N, Q_N) . **Simple geometric picture of the homotopy transfer**

In the context of gauge theories: w^α, v^α – are known as “generalized auxiliary fields” *Henneaux, 1990; Barnich, M.G. 2004.*

Def. [Kotov, Strobl] Locally trivial bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ of Q -manifolds is called Q -bundle if π is a Q -map. Section $\sigma : M \rightarrow E$ is called Q -section if it's a Q -map.

In general, $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is not a locally trivial Q -bundle.

Indeed, although locally $E \cong M \times F$ (product of manifolds) in general Q is not a product Q -structure of Q_F and Q_M .

Example: let $\pi_X : E \rightarrow X$ be a fiber bundle then $\pi = d\pi_X : (T[1]E, d_E) \rightarrow (T[1]X, d_X)$ is a Q -bundle.

Def. (M, Q) is called an equivalent reduction of (M', Q') if (M', Q') is a locally trivial Q -bundle over (M, Q) with a contractible fiber and (M', Q') admits a global Q -section.

This generates an equivalence relation for Q -manifolds.

Gauge PDEs

Def. Gauge pre-PDE $(\mathcal{E}, T[1]X, Q)$ is a Q -bundle (\mathcal{E}, Q) over $(T[1]X, d_X)$

Equivalence of Q -manifolds extends to Q -bundles over $T[1]X$, giving the notion of equivalent reduction and equivalence of gauge pre-PDEs. **Notion of gauge pre-PDE is too wide:**

gauge PDE: equivalent to nonnegatively graded, realizable in term of super-jet bundle in a regular way. In applications we often **(but not always!)** also want gauge PDE to be proper – i.e. that all the gauge symmetries of the underlying PDE are taken into account by Q .

Equations of motion and gauge symmetries

Solutions: $\sigma : T[1]X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a solution if

$$d_X \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ Q$$

Gauge transformations:

$$\delta\sigma^* = d_X \circ \epsilon_\sigma^* + \epsilon_\sigma^* \circ Q,$$

Gauge parameter: $\epsilon_\sigma^* : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(T[1]X)$,

$$\text{gh}(\epsilon_\sigma^*) = -1, \quad \epsilon_\sigma^*(fg) = \epsilon_\sigma^*(f)\sigma^*(g) + \sigma^*(f)\epsilon_\sigma^*(g)$$

Gauge for gauge symmetries . . .

Example: BV formulation (EOM level)

Take as \mathcal{E} bundle $J^\infty(E)$ pulled back to $T[1]X$ (horizontal forms on $J^\infty(E)$) and $Q = d_h + s$. Locally, gauge system determined by $(\mathcal{E}, T[1]X, Q)$ is equivalent to the one encoded in the BV formulation $(J^\infty(\mathcal{E}), s)$.

Barnich, MG 2010

The notion of gauge PDE includes BV as a particular case and hence all reasonable gauge theories. Justifies definition.

Example: zero-curvature equation

Take $\mathcal{E} = (T[1]X, d_X) \times (\mathfrak{g}[1], Q)$, where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and Q is a CE differential seen as a vector field. If C^α denote coordinates on $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ then $QC^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}U_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha C^\beta C^\gamma$. Denoting $\sigma^*(C^\alpha) = A_a^\alpha(x)\theta^a$ we get

$$d_X \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ Q \quad \Longrightarrow \quad dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] = 0$$

Gauge transformations:

$$\delta A = d\epsilon + [A, \epsilon]$$

Topological PDE. \mathcal{E} can be thought of as a finite-dimensional BV analog of the *Vinogradov's* diffiety. Example known from *AKSZ*

Example: PDE

Let $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow X$ be a bundle equipped with Cartan distribution. Extend to a bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow T[1]X$, the Cartan distribution defines $d_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on \mathcal{E} :

$$d_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta^a D_a, \quad (\theta^a \equiv dx^a)$$

We arrive at Q -bundle $(\mathcal{E}, T[1]X, d_{\mathfrak{h}})$.

Seen as a section of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow T[1]X$, a solution is a Q -section. If ψ^A are local fiber coordinates the section is parametrized by $\sigma^A(x) = \sigma^*(\psi^A)$

Q -map condition $d_X \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ d_{\mathfrak{h}}$ gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \sigma^A(x) = \Gamma_a^A(\sigma(x), x), \quad d_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta^a D_a = \theta^a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \Gamma_a^A(\psi, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^A} \right)$$

also known as “unfolded” representation *M.Vasiliev*.

Usual PDEs are gauge PDEs with horizontal degree.

Riemannian geometry as a gauge PDE

Take $E = S^2(T^*X) \oplus T[1]X$. Consider $J^\infty(E)$ pulled back to $T[1]X$. Local trivialization:

$$x^a, \theta^a, \quad g_{ab}, g_{ab|c}, \dots, \quad \xi^a, \xi^a|_c \dots$$

In a suitable trivialization (cf. AKSZ):

$$Q = d_x + \gamma, \quad \gamma g_{ab} = \xi^c g_{ab|c} + \xi^c|_a g_{cb} + \xi^c|_b g_{ac}, \quad \gamma \xi^a = \xi^c \xi^a|_c, \dots$$

E.g. **Lagrangians:** $H^n(Q, \text{local functions})$, $n = \dim X$. Applies to generic off-shell (equivalent to jets) gauge PDEs. *MG, 2010*

Locally, $\mathcal{E} = (T[1]X, d_X) \times (\mathcal{F}, q)$, i.e. Locally-trivial Q -bundle.

Minimal model

Restrict to local analysis. $\Gamma_{(bc|d\dots)}^a$ form contractible pairs with $\xi_{bcd\dots}^a$ and g_{ab} with symmetric part of ξ_b^a . Resulting minimal model *Stora; Barnich, Brandt, Henneaux; Vasiliev ...*:

Coordinates: $x^\mu, \theta^\mu, \xi^a, \rho^a_b, R_{ab}{}^c{}_d, R_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de}), \dots, R_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de\dots}), \dots$

$$Qx^\mu = \theta^\mu, \quad Q\xi^a = \rho^a_c \xi^c, \quad Q\rho^{ab} = \rho^a_c \rho^{cb} + \lambda \xi^a \xi^b + \xi^c \xi^d R_{cd}{}^{ab},$$

$$QR^{R_{ab}{}^c{}_d} = \xi^e R_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de}) + \rho_a^f R_{fb}{}^c{}_d + \dots, \quad \dots$$

For instance $H^0(Q)$ immediately gives Riemannian invariants. On-shell version: R are totally traceless (only Weyl tensors).

Section:

$$\sigma^*(\xi^a) = e_\mu^a(x)\theta^\mu, \quad \sigma^*(\rho^{ab}) = \omega_\mu^{ab}(x)\theta^\mu, \quad \sigma^*(R_{ab}{}^c{}_d) = R_{ab}{}^c{}_d(x), \dots$$

Equations of motion:

$$d_X e + \omega e = 0, \quad d_X \omega + \omega \omega = R, \quad \dots$$

Cartan structure equations. Taking a total degree “gh+form degree” is crucial. Frame-like formulations.

On shell version – equivalent form of Einstein equations.

What about Lagrangians in the on-shell version?

Presymplectic structure and Cartan-Weyl action

Presymplectic structure on the fiber F of the minimal model:

Alkalaev, M.G. 2013

$$\omega = \epsilon_{abcd} \xi^a d\xi^b d\rho^{cd}, \quad \omega = d\chi$$

$$L_Q \omega = 0, \quad d\omega = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad dH = i_Q \omega$$

AKSZ-like action

$$S[\sigma] = \int_{T[1]X} \sigma^*(\chi)(d_X) - \sigma^*(H) = \int_{T[1]X} (d_X \omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c \omega^{cb}) \epsilon_{abcd} e^c e^d$$

Familiar Cartan-Weyl action for GR. Generalization for general $n > 4$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$ is straightforward.

What about remaining components of section? What about full-scale BV formulation available in usual AKSZ?

Idea: assume ω regular and take the symplectic quotient. But ω is not regular for $n > 3$!

Restrict to local analysis. Refined idea: locally, sections are fiber-valued functions, take:

$$Smaps(T[1]X, F) = Smaps(X, \bar{F}), \quad \bar{F} = Smaps(\mathbb{R}^n[1], F)$$

\bar{F} is finite-dimensional provided F is. Natural lift of ω to \bar{F}

$$\bar{\omega} = \int d^n\theta \omega_{AB}(\psi(\theta)) d\psi^A(\theta) \wedge d\psi^B(\theta), \quad \text{gh}(\bar{\omega}) = -1$$

Now assume that $\bar{\omega}$ regular and take a symplectic quotient. **We have arrived at BV formulation! With BV symplectic structure determined by $\bar{\omega}$!**

State of the art: for a Lagrangian system such a representation always exists but not necessarily in the minimal model. Counter-example: massive spin-2 field.

Regularity

$Smaps(\mathbb{R}^n, F)$ explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^*(\xi^a) &= \xi^a(x) + e_\mu^a \theta^\mu + \xi_{\mu\nu}^a \theta^\mu \theta^\nu + \dots, \\ \hat{\sigma}^*(\rho^{ab}) &= \rho^{ab} + \omega_\mu^{ab} \theta^\mu + \rho_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \theta^\mu \theta^\nu + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

form-degree k components carry ghost degree $1 - k$.

Prop. [Kotov, MG 2020] $\bar{\omega}$ is regular provided e_μ^a is invertible.

$$S[\hat{\sigma}] = \int \hat{\sigma}^*(\chi)(d_X) - \hat{\sigma}^*(H)$$

induces a proper BV action on the symp. quotient.

Formal path integral: $Z = \int_L \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{BV}\right)$

L comprise gauge condition and gauge condition for zero modes of $\bar{\omega}$. No need to take symplectic quotient explicitly! AKSZ-like

Conformal geometry as a gauge PDE

Take $E = S^2(T^*X) \oplus T[1]X \oplus C^\infty(X)[1]$. Consider as \mathcal{E} the $J^\infty(E)$ pulled back to $T[1]X$. Local trivialization:

$$x^a, \theta^a, \quad g_{ab|c\dots}, \quad \xi^a|_{c\dots}, \quad \lambda|_{c\dots}$$

In a suitable trivialization:

$$Q = dx + \gamma, \quad \gamma g_{ab} = \xi^c g_{ab|c} + \xi^c|_a g_{cb} + \xi^c|_b g_{ac} - 2\lambda g_{ab}$$

$$\gamma \xi^a = \xi^c \xi^a|_c, \quad \gamma \lambda = \xi^a \lambda|_a \dots$$

Minimal model $T[1]X \times F$ (locally):

Degree 1 variables:

$$\xi^a, \rho^{ab}, \kappa_a, \lambda$$

Degree 0 variables:

$$W_{ab}{}^c{}_d, \quad W_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de}), \quad W_{a(b}{}^c{}_{d\dots}), \quad \dots$$

The Q structure (a version of that obtained by *Boulanger,2004*)

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q &= \rho^a{}_c \xi^c + \xi^a \lambda, \\
 Q\rho^a{}_b &= \rho^a{}_c \rho^c{}_b + (\xi^a \kappa_b - \xi_b \kappa^a) + \frac{1}{2} \xi^c \xi^d W^a{}_{bcd}, \\
 Q\kappa_b &= \kappa_c \rho^c{}_b + \lambda \kappa_b + \frac{1}{2} \xi^c \xi^d C_{bcd}, \\
 Q\lambda &= \kappa_c \xi^c.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $C_{abc} = W_{ab}{}^d{}_{cd} -$ Cotton tensor.

$$\begin{aligned}
 QW^a{}_{bcd} &= \xi^k W^a{}_{bcd|k} - \rho_k{}^a W^k{}_{bcd} + \dots, \\
 QC_{abc} &= \xi^k C_{abc|k} + \rho_a{}^k C_{kbc} + \dots.
 \end{aligned}$$

Resulting equations of motion (Cartan structure equations):

$$d_X A + \frac{1}{2} [A, A] = e^a e^b (W_{ab}{}^{cd} J_{cd} + C_{ab}{}^c K_c), \quad A = e^a T_a + \omega^{ab} J_{ab} + f^a K_a + v D$$

(Bach-flat version – all $W\dots$ are $o(n-1, 1)$ -irreducible)

Restrict to conformal gravity in $n = 4$ (i.e. Bach-flat metrics).
 The compatible presymplectic structure: Dneprov, MG 2022

$$\omega = \omega_W - 2\omega_C,$$

$$\omega_W = d(\rho_{ab})d(W^{abnm}\epsilon_{nmpk}\xi^p\xi^k), \quad \omega_C = d(\xi_a)d(C^a_{bc}\epsilon^{bcpk}\xi_p\xi_k)$$

$$d\omega = 0, \quad L_Q\omega = 0, \quad \text{gh}(\omega) = n - 1$$

Defines presymplectic AKSZ system. The action:

$$S[e, \omega, W, C] = \int_X \left[(d\omega_{ab} + \omega_{ac}\omega^c_b)W^{abnm}\epsilon_{nmpk}e^pe^k + \right. \\ \left. + W_{abcd}e^ce^dW^{abnm}\epsilon_{nmpk}e^pe^k - 2(de_a + \omega_{ad}e^d)C^a_{bc}\epsilon^{bcpk}e_pe_k \right],$$

Equivalent to CGR Lagrangian $\sqrt{g}W^2$ upon elimination of auxiliary fields and passing to the symplectic quotient. First principle frame-like action (cf. Kaku et al)

Presymplectic structures: general setup

Def. Compatible presymplectic structure on gauge PDE $(E, T[1]X, Q)$ is a vertical 2-form ω on E satisfying:

$$d\omega = 0, \quad L_Q\omega = 0, \quad \text{gh}(\omega) = n - 1$$

Here $n = \dim X$ and vertical forms are understood as equivalence classes + technical assumptions.

Defines “Hamiltonian” (or, better, covariant BRST charge) via

$$i_Q\omega = d\mathcal{H}, \quad \text{gh}(\mathcal{H}) = n$$

ω is directly related to the BV symplectic structure $\overset{n}{\omega}$ extended as $\omega = \overset{n}{\omega} + \overset{n-1}{\omega} + \dots + \overset{0}{\omega}$ to be a cocycle of $d_h + s$, i.e. $L_{d_h+s}\omega = 0$.

Intrinsic BV action

Action functional on the space of section of $(E, T[1]X, Q, \omega)$

$$S[\sigma] = \int_{T[1]X} (\sigma^*(\chi)(d_X) - \sigma^*(\mathcal{H}))$$

where χ is a presymplectic potential, i.e. $\omega = d\chi$. $\chi \rightarrow \chi + d\rho$ adds boundray term.

BV extension (AKSZ-type). Supersection $\hat{\sigma}$:

$$S^{BV}[\hat{\sigma}] = \int_{T[1]X} (\hat{\sigma}^*(\chi)(d_X) - \hat{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{H}))$$

R gh(C) = 1 then $\sigma^*(C) = A_a(x)\theta^a$ while $\hat{\sigma}^*(C) = \overset{0}{C}^a + A_a\theta^a + \overset{2}{\xi}_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b + \dots$,

As before: interpretation through the symplectic quotient on $Smaps(\mathbb{R}^n, F)$

Example: Maxwell

Recall: $E = T[1]X \times F$, Fiber coordinates:

$$C, \quad \text{gh}(C) = 1, \quad F^{a|b}, \quad F^{a|b_1b_2}, \quad \dots \quad F^{a|b_1\dots b_l} \quad \dots \quad \text{gh}(F^{\dots}) = 0$$

$$Qx^a = \theta^a, \quad Q\theta^a = 0, \quad QC = \frac{1}{2}F^{a|b}\theta_a\theta_b, \quad QF^{a|b} = \theta_c F^{a|bc}, \quad \dots$$

indexes rised/lowered with Minkowski metric. $F^{a|b_1\dots b_l}$ – irreducible tensors (totally traceless + Young condition)

Presymplectic structure: *Alkalaev, M.G. 2013 (also A. Sharapov 2017)*

$$\omega = (\theta)_{ab}^{(n-2)} dF^{a|b} dC,$$

indexes rised/lowered with Minkowski metric

Intrinsic action ($\sigma^*(C) = A_a(x)\theta^a, \sigma^*(F^{a|b}) = F^{a|b}(x)$):

$$S[\sigma] = \int d^n x (\partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a) F^{a|b} - \frac{1}{2} (F^{a|b})^2$$

Presymplectic structure on supermaps gives correct BV form!

$$\bar{\omega} = d\overset{0}{C} \wedge \overset{2}{F}_{ab}^{a|b} + dA_a \wedge \overset{1}{F}_b^{a|b} + d\overset{0}{F}^{a|b} \wedge \overset{2}{C}_{ab}$$

Here:

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(C) = \overset{0}{C}(x) + A_a(x)\theta^a + \frac{1}{2}\overset{2}{C}_{ab}(x)\theta^a\theta^b \dots$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(F^{a|b}) = \overset{0}{F}^{a|b}(x) + \overset{1}{F}_c^{a|b}(x)\theta^c + \frac{1}{2}\overset{2}{F}_{cd}^{a|b}(x)\theta^c\theta^d + \dots$$

All the fields are in the kernel except for:

$$C = \overset{0}{C}, \quad C^* = \overset{2}{F}_{ab}^{a|b}, \quad A_a, \quad A_*^a = \overset{1}{F}_b^{a|b}, \quad F^{a|b}, \quad F_{ab}^* = \overset{2}{C}_{ab}$$

BV master action (standrad BV for Maxwell in first order formalism. Extension to YM is straitforward.):

$$S_{BV} = S + \int d^n x F_b^{a|b} \partial_a \overset{0}{C}$$

Conclusions

- Gauge PDEs as geometric objects. Well suited to work with diffeomorphisms-invariant and topological models. Notion of equivalence.
- Determines a “canonical” first-order realization in terms of a jet-bundle associated to the equation manifold
- Comprise “frame-like” formulation of the system. The respective FDA arise from BRST differential. E.g. the Cartan-Weyl form of gravity arises from a minimal model of the respective BRST complex.
- Full scale BV and its BV symplectic structure are encoded in the graded presymplectic structure on the gauge PDE.

- In the case of variational systems unifies Lagrangian and Hamiltonian BRST formalism, cf. BV/BFV approach of *Cattaneo et al.*
- Gives an invariant approach to study boundary values of gauge fields. In particular in the AdS/CFT correspondence context. *Bekaert, M.G. 2012*. In particular, Fefferman-Graham construction (and tractor calculus) can be seen as a certain gauge PDE. *M.G. 2012, M.G. Waldron 2011, Bekaert, M.G. Skvortsov 2017*
- Successful applications in constructing new models of HS theory, e.g. Type-B theory (AdS holographic dual to conformal spinor on the boundary) *M.G. Skvortsov 2018*
- Recent construction of Lagrangians for AdS_4 higher spin gravity in terms of presymplectic AKSZ. *Sharapov, Skvortsov 2020*

Presymplectic structures and intrinsic actions

Lagrangian induces presymplectic structure $\omega \in \Omega^{(n-1,2)}(\mathcal{E})$ on the equation manifold.

Kijowski, Tulczyjew 1979, Crnkovic, Witten, 1987, Hydon 2005, Khavkine 2012, Alkalaev M.G. 2013, Sharapov 2016

Given a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} \in \Lambda^{n,0}(J^\infty(\mathcal{F}))$ define $\hat{\chi} \in \Lambda^{n-1,1}(J^\infty(\mathcal{F}))$:

$$d_v \mathcal{L} = d_v \phi^i \frac{\delta^{EL} \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi^i} - d_h \hat{\chi}$$

Define $\hat{\omega} = d_v \hat{\chi}$

$$d_v \omega = d_h \omega = 0, \quad \omega = \hat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

More generally, let a generic $\omega \in \Lambda^{n-1,2}(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies the above. It follows $\omega = d(\chi + l)$ for some $\chi \in \Lambda^{n-1,1}(\mathcal{E}), l \in \Lambda^{n,0}(\mathcal{E})$. These define a natural action functional on section of \mathcal{E} called intrinsic action: *MG, 2016*

$$S^c[\sigma] = \int_X \sigma^*(\chi + l)$$

What this has to do with the PDE in question?

S^c doesn't depend on fields in the vertical kernel of ω . Assuming regularity take a symplectic quotient. The resulting Lagrangian system is weaker, $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}^c$. For a class of systems containing YM, Gravity etc. there exists ω such that S^c is equivalent to the standard Lagrangian. **Counterexample: systems with degree zero differential consequences, e.g. massive spin-2 system.** *M.G. Gritzaenko 2021*

Example: scalar field

Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{ab} \phi_a \phi_b - V(\phi)$$

\mathcal{E} is coordinatized by $x^a, \phi, \phi_a, \phi_{ab}, \dots$ with $\phi_{abc\dots}$ traceless.

$$d_{\text{h}} x^a = dx^a, \quad d_{\text{h}} \phi = dx^a \phi_a, \quad d_{\text{h}} \phi_a = dx^b \left(\phi_{ab} - \frac{1}{n} \eta_{ab} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} \right), \quad \dots$$

The presymplectic potential and 2-form:

$$\chi = (dx)_a^{n-1} \phi^a d_{\text{v}} \phi, \quad \omega = (dx)_a^{n-1} d_{\text{v}} \phi^a d_{\text{v}} \phi$$

The Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = (dx)^n (\phi_a \phi^a - L|_{\mathcal{E}}) = \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \phi_a + V(\phi)$$

The intrinsic Lagrangian: *Schwinger*

$$\mathcal{L}^c = (dx)^n \left(\phi^a \left(\partial_a \phi - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \right) - V(\phi) \right)$$