THE CALEDONIAN ACADEMY, GLASGOW CALEDONIAN UNIVERSITY

HELEN BEETHAM
LOU MCGILL
PROF. ALLISON LITTLEJOHN

Thriving in the 21st century: the report of the LLiDA project (Learning Literacies for the Digital Age): Competency frameworks

3. Conceptual and competency frameworks relevant to learning literacies in UK HE and FE

Given the complexity of this area and the critical importance of the practices involved, it is unsurprising that we find a large number of competing frameworks for describing literacies of the digital age. They have been developed to meet different purposes, out of different theoretical and political perspectives, and using a wide range of terminologies from systems thinking to social science and critical theory. In this section we are interested in pragmatic frameworks designed to be of use to those implementing institutional strategies, policies and practices, in support of learning for the digital age.

Frameworks offer a structure for outlining concepts, values, and practices that constitute a particular area of activity. They usually reflect the worldview of the author(s)/producer(s) which in turn affects how readily people will accept, value and use them. Imposed frameworks, such as the school sector national curriculum, are often supported by checks, testing, training and resources to ensure they are being implemented appropriately. Other frameworks operate more as a guide and have less supporting resources. It has been difficult to identify which frameworks are informing current practice as they are not always acknowledged in a formal sense. The number of overlapping frameworks that exist to support various sectors in education actually reflects the complexities of educational institutions and the various interest groups both within and supporting those. Evidence from the audits and case studies shows that institutions often take a pick-and-mix approach to developing a framework that is appropriate for their own context. In this section we:

- 3.2 Outline several illustrative frameworks for understanding digital and learning literacies. Our selection criteria for these frameworks are that they:
- are relevant to the needs of learners in UK HE/FE, even where they have originated outside of this sector
- · are relatively well used or referenced
- refer to, or can easily be adapted to refer to, both LEARNING as the overall goal, and the DIGITAL context in which learning takes place
- 3.3 Present a framework of frameworks, designed to support institutions and individuals within institutions as they consider mapping their own practices against this emerging agenda.

3.1 Review of frameworks

See LliDA wiki Frameworks page for a more compehensive list of frameworks considered during the study http://www.caledonianacademy.net/spaces/LLiDA/index.php?n=Main.CompetenceFrameworks
The frameworks selected for consideration have been developed by many different bodies and reflect their viewpoints which clearly impacts on use, adaptation, acceptance and longevity. The frameworks are:

- international (such as)
 - i-curriculum a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age (Primary, Secondary and vocational education) http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html
- national (such as)
 - Learning and Teaching Scotland. Curriculum for excellence http://www.ltscotland.org.uk/curriculumforexcellence/
- institutional (such as)
 - Glasgow Caledonian Academy (2008) I-Learn Framework
 http://www.caledonian.ac.uk/quality/strategy/documents/GCU LTAS APPX1 i-LearnFramework.doc.
- Sectoral (such as)
 - The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007
 Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education
 http://www.qaa.ac.uk/academicinfrastructure/FHEQ/EWNI/default.asp#framework
- professional (such as)
 - General Medical Council (2003) Tomorrows Doctors UK policy document to support medical Schools includes curriculum framework http://www.gmc-

uk.org/education/undergraduate/undergraduate policy/tomorrows doctors.asp

From the previous conceptual review we have identified the following categories of literacy as most closely reflecting the current literature and discourse:

Learning to learn:

It is a key feature of the context for this study that 'learning literacy' or 'learning to learn', however contested these terms, mean something clearly different from academic literacy or study skills. This widening gap may be understood as the difference between formal and informal learning.

- Owned/defined by: the learner
- Learners addressed as: informal learner, self regulated learner
- Change dependent on learner perception of their own progression, differing needs at
- Owned/defined by: the academy, especially academic development, learning development, study skills
- Learners addressed as: students, prospective graduates in specific subjects
- Slow changing due to cultural values being embedded in institutional, disciplinary/professional/vocational, and wider social practices and expectations
- Ideologically not bound to any particular forms of representation (qualities of mind, habits of study etc) but in practice largely text-based.
- Challenged by school-based education practices which tend to value study differently (bite sized vs extended tasks, bounded problems and information spaces, interdisciplinary project work
- Challenged by popular practices around knowledge and representation e.g. cut and paste, sharing, informal spelling, essay banks, interdisciplinarity of applied knowledge practice

Information literacies

- Owned/defined by: the library
- Learners addressed as: researchers, information users
- Consciously slow-changing skills in a rapidly changing context.
- Assert cultural values (evaluation, reflection and judgement, critical awareness, provenance of sources, evidence, method) against rapidly changing technical capabilities e.g. search engines, cataloguing and curatorial technologies, data mining and other research capabilities, textual analysis, semantic search capabilities etc)
- Challenged by popular practices around knowledge and searching for knowledge, e.g. Google, Wikipedia as first ports of call. Also by open content.

Media literacies

- Owned by: contested (some located in specialist subject areas e.g. film, photography, cultural studies, media studies etc)
- Learners addressed as: consumers and producers of messages in a range of media
- Moderately fast-changing to keep pace with emergence of new media, e.g. gaming, media sharing sites. But like information literacies, assert value of some traditional academic practices e.g. critique, review, scepticism, originality and creativity, as well as some new values - currency, cool, reputation, point of view, audience, montage, cross-cut perspectives
- Challenged by popular practices of editing, re-editing, distributed creativity; supported by popular practices of rating, reviewing, democratisation of creative productivity, illegal content

Communication literacies/skills

- Owned by: contested (some professions require particular forms of communication; some overlap with use of digital tools below)
- Learners addressed as: communicators, social participants
- Fairly rapidly changing to keep pace with emerging new technologies, networks, devices and forms of tele-presence. Again some values are asserted across communicational media, e.g. in acceptable use policies, netiquette etc: listening, turn-taking, facilitation, mediation, respect.
- Challenged by popular practices of highly informal communication including flaming, dissing, etc.
 Also challenged by proliferation of communication channels making it difficult for institutions/tutors to control communications around study.

ICT/digital skills

Owned by: technology developers, designers and support staff

- Learners addressed as: technology users
- Very rapidly changing skill-set, requiring constant updating. Skills often acquired from more competent peers, though sometimes through institutional provision. Agile adopters will use help menus, online discussion forums and user groups, trial and error.
- Different times of their lives may have periods of re-learning, new learning
- Challenged by teachers expectations of learner understanding and perceptions of their own learning capacity
- Challenged by learner expectations of need and capacity to adapt to re-learning or new learning

Employability

Employability is a complex term and deserves some untangling here. On the one hand, it denotes a generic set of skills around planning, reflecting, self-analysis and self-presentation which are typically introduced to students towards the end of their study time, sometimes by a careers service or guidance team, to support progression into work. On the other hand, it denotes a potentially narrower requirement to be responsive to the needs of graduate employers, and to embed the Government's skills agenda into subject-based provision.

The latest figures from the CBI show that only 20% of posts in the average company (median) require graduate level skills, but that less than 30% of employers feel confident of being able to meet that skills requirement. Using figures for STEM graduates only, there is an indication that employers are more concerned about the quality than the quantity of graduates. http://www.cbi.org.uk/pdf/cbi-SteppingHigher.pdf. It would seem, then, that employers could play an important role in redefining the qualities of graduates from post-compulsory education.

But what qualities do employers look for when employing graduates? A recent survey of 500 company directors suggests that general personal qualities were uppermost in their minds: honesty and integrity, reliability, being hardworking, a positive attitude, punctuality, meeting deadlines and team working. The only competences cited in this list were basic literacy, numeracy, and communication skills, which are covered in our spectrum. (Communication, literacy and ICT skills are also three of the Government's key skill sets.)

Looking at the evidence of what skills employers are actually prepared to pay for, however, graduates command higher salaries if their subject-specialist skills are in demand, and job adverts/person specs almost always specify technical skills very carefully (programming languages, databases, specific development systems and methods). Employers will pay directly for training on the job if it leads to improved productivity and/or enables the business to respond to an immediate challenge or opportunity. http://www.culture.gov.uk/reference_library/media_releases/5548.aspx

So neither the stated nor the underlying values of graduate employers seem a good guide to the lifelong, lifewide needs of learners to remain in productive work. Indeed, one of the most striking trends in employment over the last 20 years has been the rising number of different jobs a person can expect to have over the life course, with periods of self-employment, consultancy, unemployment, unpaid caring for others, community/voluntary work etc taking up significant parts of the average working life. Flexibility, adaptability, and a willingness to move between employers and alternatives to employment, would seem to be more valuable to learners than the capacity to secure that first graduate job 1. Also, as people spend a lower proportion of their life course in paid employment, other qualities than those valued by employers may be prioritized by society e.g. active citizenship, caring for others, being creative, being a (conscientious) consumer.

This is not to deny that both individual learners and educational institutions are highly motivated to ensure curricula equip graduates for work. Terms for this which are not readily accommodated under other literacies are employed here, for example:

- managing career and CPD
- managing reputation and professional identity
- business skills, enterprise, entrepreneurialism

Citizenship

_

¹ One of the main reasons consistently cited by employers for investing poorly in training and workforce development is that workers will use their new skills to change jobs or demand higher wages.

Like employability this category is problematic in that it represent a lens through which a wide range of other literacies can be viewed, rather than a separate literacy in its own right. Components of 'citizenship' which do not appear in other literacies of the digital are referenced here, for example:

- social and political participation
- acting ethically, responsibly and safely addressing sustainability

Framework	Date	Producer/Creator	Audience	Main focus	Commentary
European Charter for Media Literacy http://www.euromedialiterac y.eu/index.php and UK Charter for Media Literacy http://www.medialiteracy.or g.uk/	2006	Media Literacy Task Force including representatives from UK Film Council, Channel 4, BFI, BBC, ITV, Skillset, Media Education Association, British Board of Film Classification International, Sectoral (Schools, Colleges), National	Broad audience including educational institutions, companies, government bodies, public	Media Literacy	Common European Charter with a highly visible UK arm. Charter provides definitions of media literacy and a list of competencies providing a framework for a range of agencies. Aims to develop a common understanding and vision for media literacy. Two sets of signatories on both websites. UK signatories include a range of media bodies, schools and colleges. Recent initiative so too early to assess impact.
i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age (Primary, Secondary and vocational education) http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html	2004	Futurelab was UK partner International, Sectoral (Schools), National	Primary, secondary and vocational education sectors policy makers, teachers and other educators and the producers of digital resources.	Digital literacy Information Literacy	Outcomes of a European project including Germany, Greece, Romania, Spain and the UK. Outputs include a review of each country's existing curricular frameworks, case studies, the framework and mapping of concepts of digital literacy onto the current and projected school practices. Interesting for its attention to Street's (2004) 'social literacies' work and the development of a matrix which had three elements for each literacy/skill identified: • operational curriculum (learning to use the tools effectively) • integrating curriculum (technologies applied within the curriculum) • transformational curriculum(changes to what we know) which is similar to the framework developed for this study.

					Although a project that ended in 2005 the website still exists and the matrix is highly relevant to digital literacy discourse today for both its definitions, mapping and matrix. What is not evident though is evidence that people have taken on this framework and used it, either in a national context or by schools at an individual level.
Typology of knowledge, skills and competences: clarification of the concept and prototype http://www.ecotec.com/euro peaninventory/publications/method/CEDEFOP_typolog y.pdf	2005	Centre for European Research on Employment and Human Resources Groupe European Commission and CEDEFOP	Broad audience including educational institutions, companies, government bodies, public	Employability, Credit transfer, qualifications and competences	Research report which examined existing classifications and typologies of knowledge, skills and competencies across Europe and developed a prototype typology within the framework of European qualifications frameworks. Provides a very useful literature review and useful examples of framework use in different sectors in various countries. Describes the UK approach as functional
Seven pillars of information literacy http://www.sconul.ac.uk/groups/information_literacy/papers/Seven_pillars.html	2003	SCONUL Working Group on Information Literacy National, Professional, Sectoral (HE)	Librarians	Information literacy	Established information literacy framework which was developed from earlier information skills work (1999) and updated in 2003. The framework is widely accepted by the UK academic library community and is used as the basis for many HE institutional approaches to support information literacy. The framework is very similar to other national frameworks, including: The Seven Faces of Information Literacy (Australia) Bruce, C. (1997) http://sky.fit.qut.edu.au/~bruce/inflit/faces/faces1.ph Australian and New Zealand Information Literacy Framework: principles, standards and practice Bundy (2004) http://www.anziil.org/resources/Info%20lit%202nd%20edition.pdf Big six: Information & Technology Skills for Student Achievement (US) Eisenberg (2001) http://www.big6.com/2001/11/19/a-big6%e2%84%a2-skills-overview/ In 2006 a JISC funded project examined information skills frameworks in relation to the UK key skills framework and

				developed a further model for the post 16 sector. The Big Blue information literacy model (UK) 2006 http://www.library.mmu.ac.uk/bigblue/index.html Information literacy frameworks such as the seven pillars model are often viewed and implemented in a generic way and applied across a range of learning contexts by librarians. This has generally kept ownership in the hands of librarians and kept information literacy support outside of the subject curricula, although several librarians have made efforts to work with academic teams and embed the literacies covered by this framework. (refs). Information literacy frameworks have sometimes been marginalised in discussions around digital literacy, or academic literacy because they are viewed as being about library skills and not relevant to the subject curriculum, raising issues around understandings and perceptions amongst different practitioners. Many journal articles fail to acknowledge the rich literature and practice from the information literacy sector which reflects the divisions within institutions around planning and provision.
Tomorrows Doctors http://www.gmc- uk.org/education/undergrad uate/undergraduate_policy/t omorrows_doctors.asp	General Medical Council Professional, National	Medical schools	Academic literacy Professional literacy	UK policy document to support medical Schools which includes a curriculum framework. This is an example of an imposed framework with supporting mechanisms to encourage adoption, testing and compliance. Medical graduates must meet the 'principles of professional practice' Good Medical Practice (2001) to ensure that the public receives an appropriate standard of practice. Vocational and professional qualification frameworks will be the key driver for curriculum development and delivery, with the incorporation of other broader generic frameworks (such as
				information literacy, digital literacies) likely to be a secondary consideration. For subjects without imposed standards of professional or vocational practice the supporting frameworks are likely to

					include a range of sources, such as professional bodies, institutional/school frameworks and other generic frameworks. It is difficult to find evidence of these frameworks and how they are used in practice as much of this activity is hidden within academic departments.
I-Learn Framework http://www.caledonian.ac.u k/quality/strategy/document s/GCU_LTAS_APPX1_i- LearnFramework.doc.	008	Glasgow Caledonian Academy Institutional	Learners and staff from central services and academic schools	Academic literacy Information literacy Digital Literacy Employability skills	Several institutions are in the process of developing frameworks for academic, information and digital literacies. A significant issue in implementing such a framework is the extent to which the approach is collegiate or imposed. In order to be effective at an institution-wide level frameworks need to be embedded within key institutional strategies and requires a commitment to curriculum re-design and development. The I-Learn Framework at Glasgow Caledonian University (GCU) is an example of this approach and faces challenges incorporating existing traditional practices with the newly articulated vision. A collegiate approach is central to the GCU strategy to ensure 'buy-in' and engagement with the framework. Pilots are currently under way to identify appropriate strategies for incorporating the framework into the curriculum. The framework is informed by a range of existing frameworks both from within the UK, such as the SCONUL Seven pillars framework and the Skills for Scotland strategy, and also a range of GCU initiatives around self-regulated learning, employability and work-based learning. This pick and mix approach allows institutions to develop frameworks that are appropriate to their own contexts. The GCU has employability as a significant driver and the framework reflects this.
The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland http://www.qaa.ac.uk/acade micinfrastructure/FHEQ/EW NI/default.asp#framework	007	Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education National, Sectoral (HE)	Academic staff, Managers	Academic Literacy	A broad qualifications framework, based on defined outcomes, not a credit framework. Institutions have choice in how to achieve the outcomes, but must be able to demonstrate how their curricula supports learner progression. Links to other frameworks – such as Higher education credit framework for England: guidance on academic credit

					arrangements in higher education in England
QAA subject benchmark statements http://www.qaa.ac.uk/acade micinfrastructure/benchmar k/default.asp	Varied	Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education National, Sectoral (HE), Professional, Subject	Academic staff	Academic Literacy Professional Literacy	In practice professional and vocational subject benchmarks identify literacies more specifically and are of key importance to academic practitioners in the field. See also the Tomorrows Doctors entry. The QAA offers a range benchmarks according to level and specific needs: Honours degree subject benchmark statements Master's level subject benchmark statements NHS/Department of Health subject benchmark statements Scottish subject benchmark statements The Communications, Media and Film and Cultural Studies benchmark, for example, provides very specific skills framework and outlines expectations of students studying an honours degree in this discipline. Not all of these benchmarks will have been updated to take account of the need to incorporate or acknowledge the need for different/new skills for a digital age.
Curriculum for excellence http://www.ltscotland.org.uk/curriculumforexcellence/	2007	Learning and Teaching Scotland National, Sectoral (Schools)	School teachers, Managers	Academic Literacy Communication skills	Curriculum for Excellence is a significant reform in Scottish education which describes the purposes of learning from 3 to 18 and entitlements for all learners. This is another example of an imposed framework and there are significant supporting mechanisms to implement the initiative. Support includes training, guidance, curriculum development tools, and a range of resources. The framework acknowledges the extent of transformational change that institutions will need to undergo to implement this curriculum effectively and acknowledges the long term nature of such an undertaking. CfE was developed through a long process involving teachers to ensure engagement and buy-in, and aims to build on and acknowledge good practice. There are also moves to transform the national curriculum in England and Wales with hints of this acknowledging the impact

			of web.2 technologies and the skills required to utilise these effectively in a school context. http://www.guardian.co.uk/education/2009/mar/25/primary-schools-twitter-curriculum

Table 3.1 Academic Literacies. Review of key frameworks illustrating the scope, various owners, literacies and factors affecting use.

3.2 Framework of Frameworks

Top-level terms, framing ideas	Component competences, capabilities, literacies	Practices – what competent learners do	Digital practices – what competent digitally enabled learners do
Learning to learn, metacognition 2345	Reflection Strategic planning Self-evaluation, self-analysis Organisation (time etc)	Manage time and study commitments Balance learning and life Know where and how to access support Construct strategies for learning, articulate goals Reflect on own learning and progression	Use digital tools to manage time and commitments Use digital networks and online resources to fit learning into life Access support online including learning communities Diagnose learning needs Choose appropriate learning tools (see below) Use digital tools to record and reflect on progress
Academic practice, study skills 678910	Comprehension Reading/apprehension Organisation (knowledge) Synthesis Argumentation Problem-solving Research skills Academic writing	Understand subject-relevant academic material Synthesise academic discourse and knowledge Identify or collect relevant evidence Critically evaluate arguments and evidence Scope, investigate and solve problems typical of the subject Construct reasoned argument Cite sources appropriately Break down/analyse research question	Apprehend academic ideas using a variety of media Organise academic ideas using digital tools Present academic ideas using a variety of media Use digital argumentation and analysis tools Use digital tools to gather or identify evidence Use digital bibliographic tools Analyse data tools Use specific subject discipline related tools (e.g. CAD)

² Cartwright, Kelly B. (Ed.) (2008). Literacy Processes: Cognitive Flexibility in Learning and Teaching. NY: The Guilford Press Cartwright, Kelly B. (Ed.) (2008). Literacy Processes: Cognitive Flexibility in Learning and Teaching. NY: The Guilford Press http://edrev.asu.edu/reviews/rev731.htm

³ Quintana, C et al. (2005) A Framework for Supporting Metacognitive Aspects of Online Inquiry Through Software-Based Scaffolding in Educational Psychologist, V4, N4. pp 235-244 http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content~content=a784751538~db=all

⁴ McGuinness, C (1999) From thinking skills to thinking classrooms, DfeS, 1999 http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/research/data/uploadfiles/RB115.doc.

⁵ Hoskins, B and Deakin Crick, R (2008) Learning to Learn and Civic Competences: different currencies or two sides of the same coin? Centre for Research on Lifelong Learning http://active-citizenship.jrc.it/Documents/learning%20to%20learn/Learning%20to%20Learn%20and%20Civic%20Competences%20FINAL%20final.pdf

⁶ i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age (Primary, Secondary and vocational education) http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html

⁷ The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland 2007 Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education http://www.gaa.ac.uk/academicinfrastructure/FHEQ/EWNI/default.asp#framework

⁸ Undergraduate levels framework (OU, UK) 2005 - Centre for Outcomes-Based Education http://www.open.ac.uk/cobe/docs/KnowAbout/FS4-LevelsFramework.pdf

⁹ OU Open Learn Learning framework http://openlearn.open.ac.uk/mod/resource/view.php?id=188602

¹⁰ General Medical Council (2003) Tomorrows Doctors UK policy document to support medical Schools includes curriculum framework http://www.gmc-uk.org/education/undergraduate_undergraduate_policy/tomorrows_doctors.asp

	Specific subject discipline skills as appropriate		
Information literacy ^{11 12 13 14 15 16}	identification accession organisation evaluation interpretation analysis synthesis application	Recognise/identify need for information Locate and obtain the required information resources Assess the objectivity, accuracy, reliability and relevance of resources Organise, set out and manage resources Analyse, reinterpret, compare, apply etc information e.g. using models, frameworks, protocols Produce new combinations or interpretations of information	Use search engines, academic databases and journals, repositories etc Aggregate and reaggregate information on task/topic basis Evaluate online resources Rate, comment on, review resources online Use digital data analysis tools and protocols Use digital tools to manage information locally and remotely Share, repurpose, enrich information resources in online communities
Communication and collaboration skills ^{17 18 19}	Teamwork Networking 'Speaking' and 'listening' skills (see below for different media)	Find, join and build communication networks Facilitate groups Share ideas Build knowledge collaboratively Project identity	use digital technologies to participate in/manage networks use digital technologies to share and co-build knowledge maintain appropriate levels of privacy manage digital identity and reputation (Computer Supported Collaborative Work) CSCW

¹¹ i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age (Primary, Secondary and vocational education) http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html

¹² Seven pillars of information literacy (UK) SCONUL 2003 http://www.sconul.ac.uk/groups/information_literacy/papers/Seven_pillars.html

¹³ The Big Blue information literacy model (UK) 2006 http://www.library.mmu.ac.uk/bigblue/index.html

¹⁴ Australian and New Zealand Information Literacy Framework: principles, standards and practice 2004 http://www.anziil.org/resources/Info%20lit%202nd%20edition.pdf

¹⁵ Eisenberg (2001) Big six: Information & Technology Skills for Student Achievement (US) http://www.big6.com/2001/11/19/a-big6%e2%84%a2-skills-overview/

¹⁶ Bruce, C. (1997) The Seven Faces of Information Literacy (Australia) Bruce 1997 http://sky.fit.qut.edu.au/~bruce/inflit/faces/faces1.php

¹⁷ CSCW matrix http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CSCW

¹⁸ i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age (Primary, Secondary and vocational education) http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html

¹⁹ The NHS Knowledge and Skills Framework (NHS KSF) (2004) Dept. of Health. Appendix 2 Core Dimension 1: Communication http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH 4090843?IdcService=GET_FILE&dID=5786&Rendition=Web

Media literacy (also 'visual' and 'audio' and 'video' literacies) ²⁰	Critical 'reading' Creative production	Understand notions of audience, viewpoint and persuasion Understand how media messages are designed Critically explore meanings Produce messages in a range of media Develop personal style	Understand how digital media work in terms of audience, viewpoint, design Produce messages in a range of digital media Link across media and communicate hypertextually
ICT/digital/computer literacy ²²	Keyboard skills Use of capture technologies Use of analysis tools Use of presentation tools General navigation/UI skills Adaptivity Agility Confidence/exploration	Readily adopt new tools and explore their functions. Choose and use a range of different tools as approceed to a comparison of the control of	opriate to the situation ge of media
Employability Employability encompasses all or many of the other skills but is included here as a distinctive framework for theorising about and organising these skills, i.e. the production of the learner as a competent worker/employee. Component skills are those distinctive to this framework: the CBI is also concerned with literacy, numeracy, communication, ICT ^{25 26 27 28 29}	Problem solving Business and customer awareness Innovation/enterprise	Negotiate a position Find and present solutions tailored to needs Produce innovative solutions Present oneself and ones capabilities to prospective employers/clients Manage risk appropriately Continually update skills	Use digital technology to present self and manage reputation Use digital technology to manage CPD

²⁰ UK Charter for Media Literacy (2006) http://www.medialiteracy.org.uk/

²¹ Media literacy (Ofcom - UK) 2007 - Office of Communications http://www.ofcom.org.uk/advice/media literacy/

²² i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age (Primary, Secondary and vocational education) http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html

²³ Digital transformation: a framework for ITC literacy 2002 - International ICT Literacy Panel http://www.ets.org/Media/Tests/Information_and_Communication_Technology_Literacy/ictreport.pdf

²⁴ i2010 - (EU) 2007 initiative equipping people with ICT skills looking at eCompetancy and a pending Digital Literacy Review http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/activities/einclusion/policy/competences/index_en.htm

²⁵ Employability encompasses all or many of the other skills but is included here as a distinctive framework for theorising about and organising these skills, i.e. the production of the learner as

		Participate in social groups in a range of roles	Understand digital rights and responsibilities
encompasses many other	Ethicality/responsibility Political, social, personal	Behave ethically in professional and personal situations	Manage digital identities Manage issues of privacy and data ownership Understand moral and human rights in a digital
distinctive framework for theorising about and organising these skills, i.e. the	responsibility	Be safe when interacting with groups and individuals	context Understand issues around safety and protection in a digital context
production of the learner as a competent citizen or member of wider society 30 31 32 33 34			

a competent worker/employee. Component skills are those distinctive to this framework: the CBI is also concerned with literacy, numeracy, communication, ICT.

- 27 UK Commission for Employability and Skills (2009) The employability challenge: full report, Appendix C http://www.ukces.org.uk/pdf/8080-UKCES-Employability%20ChallengeFinal.pdf
- 28 Employability skills map, University of Kent. http://www.kent.ac.uk/careers/sk/skillsmap.htm (2008)
- 29 Winterton, J et al. (2005) Typology of knowledge, skills and competences: clarification of the concept and prototype. Centre for European Research on Employment and Human Resources Groupe http://www.ecotec.com/europeaninventory/publications/method/CEDEFOP_typology.pdf
- 30 Again, digital citizenship encompasses many other skills but is included as a distinctive framework for theorising about and organising these skills, i.e. the production of the learner as a competent citizen or member of wider society
- 31 Citizenship For 16-19 Year Olds In Education And Training, FEFC, 2000 http://www.qca.org.uk/qca_4858.aspx
- 32 Hoskins, B and Deakin Crick, R (2008) Learning to Learn and Civic Competences: different currencies or two sides of the same coin? Centre for Research on Lifelong Learning <a href="http://active-citizenship.jrc.it/Documents/learning%20to%20learn/Learning%20to%20learn
- 33 Mainguet, C and Baye, A. (2006) Defining a framework of indicators to measure the social outcomes of learning in Measuring the effects of education on health and civic engagement: proceedings of the Copenhagen Symposium OECD 2006 http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/15/20/37425733.pdf
- 34 de Weerd, M et al. (2005) Indicators for active citizenship and citizenship education: final report. European Commission Research report.

²⁶ Student Employability Profiles, 2004/5, Higher Education Academy, ESECT and Council for Industry and Higher Education Includes a glossary of competencies http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/detail/Employability/employability/542

6. References

All web links accessed 27 May 2009

Alexander, B. (2008) Social Networking in Higher Education, in Katz, R. (ed.) (2008) The Tower and the Cloud, EduCause: available at http://www.educause.edu/thetowerandthecloud

Anderson, P. (2007) What is Web 2.0? Ideas, technologies and implications for education. JISC Technology & Standards Watch: available at

http://www.jisc.org.uk/media/documents/techwatch/tsw0701b.pdf

Archer, W. and Davison, J., (2008) *Graduate Employability: the views of employers*, The Council for Industry and Higher Education.

Bacigalupo, M. et al. (2008) Learning 2.0: The Impact of Web2.0 Innovation on Education and Training in Europe: Report on a validation and policy options workshop organised by IPTS, Seville, 29-30 October 2008: available at http://insight.eun.org/ww/en/pub/insight/schoolinnovation/best-practice/learning-2-0.htm

Barnes, K., Marateo, R. and Ferris, S. (2007) 'Teaching and learning with the net generation', *Innovate* 3(4): available at http://www.innovateonline.info/index.php?view =article&id=382

Barnett,R & Griffin,A (eds) (1997) *The End of Knowledge in Higher Education* Institute of Education: London

Barton, D. (1994) *Literacy: an Introduction to the Ecology of Written Language* Oxford: Blackwell.

Barton, D., & Hamilton, M. (1998). *Local literacies*. London: Routledge

Barton, D., Hamilton, M. & Ivanič, R. (Eds.) (2000) Situated Literacies: Reading and Writing in Context. London & New York: Routledge.

Bazerman, C. (1988) Shaping Written Knowledge: the genre and activity of the experimental article in science Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Bélisle, C. (2006) Literacy and the Digital Knowledge Revolution, in Martin, A. and D.

Madigan (eds) (2006), *Digital literacies for learning*, Facet Publishing, London: pp. 51-67

Bennett, S., Maton, K., Kervin, L. (2008) The 'digital natives' debate: a critical review of the evidence. *BJET* vol.39. No.5: 775-786.

Berkenkotter, C. & Huckin, T. (1995) *Genre Knowledge in Disciplinary Communication*New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bezemer, J. and Kress, G. (2008) Writing in Multimodal Texts: A Social Semiotic Account of Designs for Learning, *Written Communication*, *25*: 166-195.

Bhatia, V. J., Flowerdew, J. and Jones, R. (2008) *Advances in Discourse Studies*. Routledge: London

Big Blue project team (2002), *Final Report*, JISC/MMU: available at http://www.library.mmu.ac.uk/bigblue/pdf/finalreportful.pdf.

Big Blue project team (2002) *The Big Blue information literacy model*: available at http://www.library.mmu.ac.uk/bigblue/ppt/themodel4.ppt

Blackall, L (2005) Digital Literacy: how it affects teaching practices and networked learning futures - a proposal for action research in *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2 (10): available at http://itdl.org/Journal/Dec 05/article01.htm

Bloome, D., Carter, S.P., Christian, B.M., Otto, S. & Shuart-Faris, N. (2005) *Discourse Analysis and the Study of Classroom Language and Literacy Events – a microethnographic perspective* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

British Library (2008) *Information Behaviour of the Researcher of the Future*: available at http://www.bl.uk/news/pdf/googlegen.pdf.

Bruce, C. (1997) *The Seven Faces of Information Literacy* Adelaide: Auslib Press: available at http://sky.fit.qut.edu.au/~bruce/inflit/faces/faces1.php

Buckingham, D. (2006) Defining digital literacy: what do young people need to know about digital media? in *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy* 4.

Buckingham, D. (2007) Digital Media Literacies: rethinking media education in the age of the Internet, *Research in Comparative* and *International Education*, 2(1), pp. 43-55

Bundy, A. (2004) Australian and New Zealand Information Literacy Framework: principles, standards and practice. Second edition Adelaide: Australian and New Zealand Institute for Information Literacy: available at http://www.anziil.org/resources/Info%20lit%20 2nd%20edition.pdf

Burgess, G. (2007) Beyond the Honours Degree Classification, London: available at http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/Publications/Pages/Publication-272.aspx

CAMEL Tangible Benefits of e-Learning project team (2008) *Exploring the Tangible Benefits of e-Learning*, JISC/University of Northumbria: available at http://www.jiscinfonet.ac.uk/publications/camel-tangible-benefits.pdf

Candlin, C.N. & Hyland, K. (Eds.) (1999) Writing: Texts, Processes and Practices London: Addison Wesley Longman.

Candy, P. (1991) Self-direction for lifelong learning, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass.

Carrington, V. (2007) "I'm Dylan and I'm not going to say my last name": some thoughts on childhood, text and new technologies, *British Educational Research Journal*, 34:2, 151—166.

CBI/EdExcel (2008) CBI/EdExcel Education and Skills Survey 2008: available at http://www.cbi.org.uk/ndbs/Press.nsf/0363c1f076ca12a8025671c00381cc7/91f2730166cad25d80257420004fe11e

Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (2008) *OECD Schooling Scenarios:* available at

http://www.oecd.org/document/10/0,3343,en_2 649_39263231_2078922_1_1_1_37455,00.ht m

Centre for Outcomes-Based Education (2005) Undergraduate levels framework. COBE, Open University: available at http://www.open.ac.uk/cobe/docs/KnowAbout/FS4-LevelsFramework.pdf

Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals, (2004) *Information literacy: definition*: available at

http://www.cilip.org.uk/policyadvocacy/learning/informationliteracy/definition/introduction.htm

Clinch, P. and Jones_Evans, A. (2007) "The Cardiff Handbook for Information Literacy Teaching – a case study in sharing staff training materials" *Journal of information literacy*, 1 (3)

Connor, H. and MacFarlane, K. (2006, revised 2007) Work Related Learning (WRL) in HE– a scoping study, Centre for Research in Lifelong Learning, Glasgow Caledonian University

Cope, B. and Kalantzis, M. (eds.) (2000)

Multiliteracies: Literacy Learning and the

Design of Social Futures, London: Routledge.

J. Crawford (2006) The use of electronic information services and information literacy: A Glasgow Caledonian University study.

Journal of Librarianship and Information Science; 38(1): 33 - 44.

Crawford, J and Irving, C (2007) Information literacy: The link between secondary and tertiary education project and its wider implications, *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*; 39(1): 17 - 26.

Creanor, L., Trinder, K. and Gowan, D. (2007) Student Experiences of Technologies: available at

http://www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/progra mmes/elearningpedagogy/lxp project final re port_nov_06.pdf

Creanor L., Trinder K., Gowan, D., and Howells C. (2006) *The Learner Experience of E-Learning, Final Report of the LEX project*, available at

http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/elearning_pedagogy/elp_learneroutcomes.aspx

Crème, P. & Lea, M. (1997) Writing at University: a guide for students Open University Press: Buckingham.

Cushman, E. (2004) Toward a rhetoric of new media: Composing (me)dia. *Computers and Composition Online*, Spring 2004: available at http://www.bgsu.edu/cconline/theory.htm

Davies, S., Swinburne, D. and Williams, G. (2006) Writing Matters; the Royal Literary Fund Report on Student Writing in Higher Education The Royal Literary Fund: London.

Dearing, R. (1997) The Dearing Report -

National Committee of Inquiry into Higher Education. London: available at http://www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/ncihe/.

DigEuLit: European Framework for Digital Literacy (EFDL) (2006): available at http://www.elearningeuropa.info/directory/index.php?page=doc&doc_id=6973&doclng=6

Downes, S. (2005). E-learning 2.0, *eLearn Magazine*, 17 October: available at: http://www.elearnmag.org/subpage.cfm?section=articles&article=29-1

Dubin, F.(1989) 'Situating literacy within traditions of communicative competence' *Applied Linguistics* 10:2 171-81

Earle, W. (2005) Literacy or Literacies? Paper to the Institute of Education Forum, April 2005: available at

http://www.instituteofideas.com/transcripts/edf orumliteracy.pdf

Educause Learning Initiative/New Media Consortium (2009) *The Horizon Report 2009:* available at

http://www.educause.edu/ELI/2009HorizonReport/163616

Eisenberg, M (2003) *Big six: Information & Technology Skills for Student Achievement:* available at http://www.big6.com/2001/11/19/a-big6%e2%84%a2-skills-overview/

Elbow,P. (2000) Everyone Can Write OUP: Oxford

Engeström, Y. (1999) Activity Theory and Individual and Social Transformation, in Engeström, Y. et al. (eds) *Perspectives on Activity Theory: Learning in Doing: Social, Cognitive & Computational Perspectives.* New York: Cambridge UP:19-39.

Eshet-Alkalai, Y (2004) Digital Literacy: a conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era, in *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia (2004)* 13(1): 93-106: available at

http://www.openu.ac.il/Personal_sites/download/Digital-literacy2004-JEMH.pdf.

e-Skills UK (2009) *Technology Counts: IT and telecoms insights 2008:* available at http://www.e-skills.com/Research-and-policy/Insights-2008/2179

European Charter for Media Literacy (2006): available at

http://www.euromedialiteracy.eu/charter.php

European Schoolnet (2008) Learning2.0: The Impact of Web2.0 Innovation on Education and Training in Europe: available at http://insight.eun.org/ww/en/pub/insight/school_innovation/best_practice/learning_2_0.htm

Fairclough, N. (1992) *Discourse and Social Change.* Cambridge: Polity Press.

Ford, N. (2004) Towards a model of learning for educational informatics, *Journal of Documentation* 60 (2): 183-225(43): available at

http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/mcb/jd/2004/0000060/00000002/art00001

Futurelab (2009) Beyond Current Horizons: Evaluating the future of the UK's Education System. Scenarios (forthcoming) available at http://www.beyondcurrenthorizons.org.uk

Ganobcsik-Williams, L. (2006) (Ed.) *Teaching Academic Writing in UK Higher Education; theories, practices and models.* Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Gee, J.P. (1996) Social Lingustics and Literacies: Ideology in Discourse. London: Falmer Press

Gibson, M. (2008) "Beyond Literacy Panics", Media International Australia 128, August 2008

Goodfellow, R. (2005) Academic literacies and e-learning: A critical approach to writing in the online university, *International Journal of Educational Research* 43 (7): 481-494.

Goodfellow, R. & Lea, M.R. (2007) Challenging E-Learning in the University: a literacies perspective, Maidenhead & New York: McGraw Hill/Open University Press

Goodfellow, R., Morgan, M., Lea, M. & Pettit, J. (2004) Students' writing in the virtual university: an investigation into the relation between online discussion and writing for assessment on two masters courses, in: I. Snyder & C. Beavis (Eds) *Doing Literacy Online: Teaching, Learning and Playing in an Electronic World* Hampton: Hampton Press. pp. 25-44

Goodyear, P, and Ellis, R. (2008) University students' approaches to learning: rethinking the place of technology, *Distance Education*, 29: 141-152.

Hargittai, E. (2005) Survey Measures of Web-Oriented Digital Literacy in Social Science Computer Review, Vol. 23, No. 3, 371-379

Hargittai, E. (Forthcoming 2008). Survey Measures of Web-Oriented Digital Literacy: An Update. Social Science Computer Review, May 2008

Hargittai, E. and Walejko, G. (2008) The Participation Divide: Content Creation and Sharing in the Digital Age, Information, Communication and Society: available at http://www.webuse.org/papers?id=participation-divide

Hemmi, A, Bayne, S and Land R (2008) The appropriation and repurposing of social technologies in higher education , *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*: available at http://ssc.sagepub.com/cgi/rapidpdf/08944393 08318213v1

Higher Education Academy, ESECT and Council for Industry and Higher Education. *Student Employability Profiles, 2004/5*: available at

http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/detail/ Employability/employability542

Highton, M and Newton, A, (2005) Case Study - Information literate staff: a response to the challenge in *Journal of eLiteracy*, Vol 2 114-119

Honey P. and Mumford A. (1982) *Manual of Learning Styles*, Peter Honey Publications

Hyland,K. (1999) 'Disciplinary Discourses: writer stance in research articles' in Candlin,C & Hyland,K (eds.) *Writing Texts, Processes and Practices* Longman pp. 99-121

Hyland, K. (2001). Humble servants of the discipline? Self-mention in research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20, 207-226

Hyland, K. (2006) English for Academic Purposes: an advanced resource book. London: Routledge

i2010 - A European Information Society for growth and employment: available at http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/eeurope/i2010/index_en.htm

i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital age. (Primary, Secondary and vocational education), Project documents: available at http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/icurriculum/overview.html

International ICT Literacy Panel, Digital transformation: a framework for ITC literacy, Educational Testing service 2002: available at http://www.ets.org/Media/Tests/Information_and_Communication_Technology_Literacy/ictrep ort.pdf

Ivanič, R, Edwards, R., Satchwell, C., Smith, J. (2007) Possibilities for pedagogy in further education: harnessing the abundance of literacy. British Educational Research Journal, 33,5, 703-721

Ivanič, R. (1998) Writing and Identity: the discoursal construction of identity in academic writing. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Ivanic, R. (2004). Discourses of writing and learning to write. Language and Education, 18(3), 220-245.

Ivanič, R. (2005) Language, learning and identity across contexts. British Association of Applied Linguistics Annual Conference Bristol, September 2005.

Jewitt, C. (2006) *Technology, Literacy and Learning: A Multimodal Approach* London: Routledge.

JISC/British Library/UCL (2008) *Information Behaviour of the Researcher of the Future* ('The Google Generation report): available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/resourcediscovery/googlegen.aspx

Jones, C, Turner, J & Street, B.V. (Eds.) (2000) Student Writing in the University: cultural and epistemological issues. John Benjamins

Jones, S. & Lea, M. (2008) Digital Literacies in the Lives of Undergraduate Students: Exploring Personal and Curricular Spheres of Practice, Electronic Journal of Elearning, 6 (3): 207-216: available at http://www.ejel.org/Volume-6/v6-i3/v6-i3-art-6.htm

Joy, G. and Taylor, P. (2005) *Improving staff i-Skills* London: JISC: available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/documents/pub_sissdocs_improving.aspx

Kahn, R. and Kellner, D. (2005)
Reconstructing Technoliteracy: a multiple

literacies approach, e-Learning 2 (3): 238-251: available at

http://www.wwwords.co.uk/ELEA/content/pdfs/ 2/issue2 3.asp#4

Katz, I.R. (2007). Testing information literacy in digital environments: The ETS iSKILLS
™assessment. in *Information Technology and Libraries* 26(3), 4-13

Katz, R. N. (Ed) (2008) The Tower and the Cloud: Higher Education in the Age of Cloud Computing, EDUCAUSE, e-book: http://www.educause.edu/thetowerandthecloud/133998

Kolb, D. (1984) Experiential Learning: Experience as the source of learning and development, Prentice Hall.

Kress, G. (2003) *Literacy in the New Media Age*, Routledge.

Kress, G. & Street, B. (2006) Multi-Modality and Literacy Practices, in: K. Pahl & J. Rowsell (Eds) Travel notes from the New Literacy Studies Bristol: Multilingual Matters.

Kress, G. and Van Leeuwen, T. (2001), Multimodal Discourse: The Modes and Media of Contemporary Communication, Oxford University Press

Kress, G. & Van Leeuwen, T. (1976) Reading Images: the grammar of visual design. London: Routledge.

Lankshear, C. & Knobel, M. (2003) New Literacies: Changing Knowledge and Classroom Learning. Maidenhead & New York: Open University Press.

Lankshear, C. and Knobel, M. (2007) Researching New Literacies: Web 2.0 practices and insider perspectives, *E-Learning*, 4(3): 224-240: available at http://dx.doi.org/10.2304/elea.2007.4.3.224

Lankshear, C. & Knobel, M. (Eds) (2008) *Digital Literacies*: New York: Peter Lang:1-16

Lantz, A. and Brage, C. (2006) *Towards a Learning Society* Vol 5 Issues 1 http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5-1/pdf/lantz-brage-final.pdf

Lea, M. R. (2004) 'Academic Literacies: a pedagogy for course design' in *Studies in Higher Education* Vol. 29, no. 6, Dec pp. 739-756

Lea, M.R. & Goodfellow, R. (2007)
Challenging e-learning in the university: a
literacies perspective Maidenhead &
Philadelphia: SRHE/Open University Press/Mc
Graw Hill.

Lea, M. R. and Stierer, B. (2000) Student Writing in Higher Education: new contexts OU Press: Buckingham.

Lea, M.R. & Street, B.V. (1998) Student writing in higher education: an academic literacies approach, *Studies in Higher Education*, *23(2)*, pp. 157-172.

Lea, M.R. & Street, B.V. (1999) Writing as academic literacies: understanding textual practices in higher education, in: C.N. Candlin & K. Hyland (Eds) *Writing: Texts, Processes and Practices* (pp. 62-81). London: Longman.

Lea. M. R.and Street, B.V. (2006) "The 'Academic Literacies' Model: Theory and Applications" *Theory into Practice* Fall Vol. 45, no 4 pp. 368-377

LearnHigher (2006) *Information Literacies Literature Review*: available at http://www.learnhigher.mmu.ac.uk/research/Infolit-Literature-Review.pdf

Learning Literacies in a Digital Age (2008) JISC,

http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/projects/elearningllida.aspx

Lee, C. (2007). Text-making practices beyond the classroom context: Private instant messaging in Hong Kong. *Computers and Composition: An International Journal.* Special Issue on Global Perspectives of Computers and Composition. 24 (3): 285-301

Leitch, A. (2006) *The Leitch Review of Skills*, London: available at http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/leitch_review_index.htm

Leitch, S. (2006), Prosperity for All in a Global Economy: World Class Skills, HM Govt.

Leung, C. (2005) 'Convivial communication: recontextualising communicative competence' in *International Journal of Applied Linguistics* Vol. 15, no. 2, pp 119-144

Lewis, C., Enciso, P. & Moje, E. (Eds.) (2007) Identity, Agency and Power: reframing sociocultural research on literacy Mahwah, NK: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Lillis, T. M. (1997) "New Voices in Academia? The Regulative Nature of Academic Writing Conventions" in Language and Education11,3:182-99

Lillis, T. M. (1999). Whose common sense? Essayist literacy and the institutional practice of mystery. In C. Jones, J. Turner & B. Street (Eds.), *Student writing in university: cultural and epistemological issues.* (pp. 127-147). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Lillis, T. M. (2001). Student writing: access, regulation, desire. London: Routledge

Lillis, T. M. (2003). Student writing as academic literacies: drawing on Bakhtin to move from critique to design, in *Language and Education*, 17(3), 192-207.

Lillis, T. M. and Curry, M. (2006) Reframing Notions of Competence in Scholarly Writing: from individual to networked activity in *Revista Canaria de Estudios Ingleses*, 53 pp. 63-78

Lillis, T. M. and Curry, M (2006) Interactions With Literacy Brokers in the Production of English-Medium Texts in *Written*Communication, Vol. 23, No. 1, 3-35

Livingstone, S., van Couvering, E. and Thumim, N. (2005) Adult Media Literacy: A Review of the Research Literature, London: Ofcom.: available at http://www.ofcom.org.uk/advice/media_literacy/medlitpub/medlitpubrss/aml.pdf

Lippincott, J. (2007) Student Content Creators – convergence of literacies, http://connect.educause.edu/Library/EDUCAU SE+Review/StudentContentCreatorsCon/4523 0?time=1213698556

Literacies for Learning in Further Education (LfLFE) project team: a range of findings: available at http://www.lancs.ac.uk/lflfe/findings/index.htm

Lore, A., Brennan, J. and de Weert, E. (2007) Employer and higher education perspectives on graduates in the knowledge society. A report from the European Commission Framework VI project: 'The Flexible Professional in the Knowledge Society', London: Centre for Higher Education Research and Information, Open University and Enschede: Centre for Higher Education Policy Studies, University of Twente.

Mannion, G., Miller, K. and Goodman, R.

(2009) Reading Writing and Resonanting: striking chords across the contexts of students' everyday and college lives, TLRP: available at http://www.tlrp.org/dspace/handle/12345678 9/1606

Margaryan, A. and Littlejohn, A.(2008) Are digital natives a myth or reality?: Students' use of technologies for learning http://www.academy.gcal.ac.uk/anoush/documents/DigitalNativesMythOrReality-MargaryanAndLittlejohn-draft-111208.pdf

Mackenzie, A. (2005) *Investing in staff i-Skills* London: JISC: available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/documents/p ub sissdocs/pub sissdocs investing.aspx

Martin, Allan and Grudziecki, (2006) DigEuLit: Concepts and Tools for Digital Literacy Development in ITALICS, Innovation in Teaching And Learning in Information and Computer Sciences Vol 5 Issue 4, Dec 2006: available at http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5iss4/martin-grudziecki.pdf

Martin, A. and Madigan, D. (eds) (2006), Digital literacies for learning, Facet Publishing

Martin, Lindsay (2006) Enabling e-literacy: providing non technical support for online learners in ITALICS, Innovation in Teaching And Learning in Information and Computer Sciences Vol 5 Issue 4, Dec 2006: available at http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5iss4/martin.pdf

Mayes T. and Fowler, C. (2006), 'Learners, learning literacy and pedagogy of e-learning' in Martin, A. and D. Madigan (eds) *Digital literacies for learning*, pp.26-33.

McGuinness, C. (2007) May 4. Exploring Strategies for Integrated Information Literacy: From "Academic Champions" to Institution-Wide Change. Communications in Information Literacy [Online] 1:1: available at http://www.comminfolit.org/index.php/cil/article/view/Spring2007AR3/14

McGill, L., Nicol, D., Littlejohn, A., Grierson, H. Juster, N.P. and Ion, W.J. (2005) Creating an information rich learning environment to enhance design student learning: challenges and approaches, *British Journal of Educational Technology*,36 (4)

Mitchell, S. (2006) Thinking Writing: News from the Writing in the Disciplines Initiative:

Report on Consortium for Writing in the Disciplines Newsletter Autumn 2006 Language & Learning Unit, Queen Mary, University of London.

Mitchell, S and Andrews, R. (2000) ed. Learning to Argue in Higher Education, Heinemann.

Naswall, K, Hellgren, J. and Sverke, M. (2007) Introduction, in Naswall, Hellgren and Sverke (eds) *The individual in the changing working life*, Cambridge University Press.

Nicol, D.J., Littlejohn, A.. and Grierson, H. (2005) The importance of structuring information and resources within shared workspaces during collaborative design learning, *Open Learning: The Journal of Open and Distance Learning*, Vol. 20, No. 1, February 2005, pp. 31–49

Oblinger, D (2003) Boomers, gen-Xers and millennials: understanding the new students. *Educause Review*, July/August 2003. http://www.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/erm034 2.pdf

Office of Communications (OFCOM) (2008)

Media Lliteracy Audit: available at

http://www.ofcom.org.uk/advice/media_literacy/

Open University UK (2008) *Open Thinking on HE* seminar series, not publicly available.

Pahl, K And Rowsell J. (2005) Literacy and Education: The New Literacy Studies in the Classroom. London: Sage

Pahl,K And Rowsell, J (2006) eds *Travel Notes from the New Literacy Studies: Case Studies in Practice*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd

Peacock, Judith A. (2008) Not yours, not mine... but ours: integrating learning skills for integrated learning. In *Proceedings Dreaming 08, Australian Library and Information Association (ALIA) Biennial Conference*, Alice Springs.

Pedder, D. (2006) Organizational conditions that foster successful classroom promotion of Learning How to Learn, *Research Papers in Education*,21:2,171 — 200

Philip C. (1991) Self-direction for lifelong learning. Jossey-Bass.

Pietrass, Manuela (2007) Digital Literacy Research from an International and Comparative Point of View, *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 2(1):

Prensky, M. (2001) Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants, *On the Horizon* 9(5): NCB University Press: available at http://www.marcprensky.com/writing/Prensky%20-%20Digital%20Immigrants%20-%20Part1.pdf

Prensky, M. (2009) H. Sapiens Digital: From digital immigrants and digital natives to digital wisdom, *Journal of Online Education 5* (3)

Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (2007) *The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland*: available at http://www.qaa.ac.uk/academicinfrastructure/F HEQ/EWNI/default.asp#framework

Reid, A (2006), 'Approaches to enabling digital literacy: successes and failures ' in Martin, A. and D. Madigan (eds) (2006), *Digital literacies for learning*, Facet Publishing, London

Russell, D. (1991). Writing in the academic disciplines, 1870-1990: a curricular history Carbondale: South Illinois University Press.

Scalone, P., & Street, B. (2006). An Academic Language Development Programme (Widening Participation). In C. Leung & J. Jenkins (Eds.), *Reconfiguring Europe: the contribution of applied linguistics* (pp. 123-137). London: Equinox.

Scribner, S., and Cole, M. (1981) *The psychology of literacy.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Senge, P. (2006) *The Fifth Discipline*, Doubleday.

Seely Brown, J., & Adler, R. (2008) Minds on Fire: Open Education, the Long Tail, and Learning 2.0, EDUCAUSE Review, vol. 43, no.1,

http://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/ERM0811 .pdf

Sharpe, R., Benfield, B., Lessner, E. and de Cicco, E. (2005) *Scoping Study: Learners' Experiences of e-Learning*, JISC: available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/elearningpedagogy/lex.aspx

Siemens, G. (2006). *Knowing knowledge*. http://www.knowingknowledge.com/book.php

Siemens, G (2004). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age.

http://www.elearnspace.org/Articles/connectivism.htm

Smith, J. (2005): 'Mobilising everyday literacy practices within the curricula.' *Journal of Vocational Education and Training* 57(3): 319-334.

Snyder, I. (Ed) (2002) Silicon Literacies, Communication, Innovation and Education in the Electronic Age, London & New York

Society of College, National and University Libraries *Information skills in higher education:* a SCONUL position paper, 2003: available at http://www.sconul.ac.uk/groups/information_literacy/papers/Seven pillars.html

Society of College, National and University Libraries (SCONUL) (2007) Working Group on Information Literacy:

http://www.sconul.ac.uk/groups/information_literacy/ (January 2009)

Stierer,B. (1997) Mastering Education: a preliminary analysis of academic literacy practices within master-level courses in Education Centre for Language & Communications, Open University; Milton Keynes.

Street, B. V. (1984) *Literacy in Theory and Practice* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Street, B.V. (1995) Social Literacies: Critical Approaches to Literacy Development, Ethnography and Education. London: Longman.

Street, B. V. (2004) 'Academic Literacies and the 'New Orders': Implications for research and practice in student writing in HE' Learning and Teaching in the Social Sciences 2004 Volume 1:1 pp 9-32

Street, B. V. (2008) 'Ethnography of writing and reading' Ch 16 of *Cambridge Handbook of Literacy* ed. David R. Olson & Nancy Torrance. CUP: Cambridge.

Street, B. V. and Hornberger, N (Eds.) 2007 Encyclopedia of Language and Education, Volume 2: Literacy. Springer: New York. Street, B. V. and Lefstein, A. (2007) Literacy: an advanced resource book Routledge: London English Language and Applied Linguistics.

Thesen, L. & Van Pletzen, E (2006) *Academic Literacy and the Languages of Change* London: Continuum.

The New London Group (1996) A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies: Designing social futures. Harvard Educational Review, 66,1

The New Media Consortium, (2005), 'A Global Imperative – the report of the 21st century literacy summit': available at http://www.newmediacenter.org/pdf/Global_Imperative.pdf

TLRP (2008) Education, Globalisation and the Knowledge Economy: available at http://www.tlrp.org/pub/documents/globalisationcomm.pdf

Trinder, K., Guiller, J., Margaryan, A., Littlejohn, A., Nicol, D. (2008) Learning from digital natives: bridging formal and informal learning, Final report for Higher Education Academy: available at http://www.academy.gcal.ac.uk/ldn/LDNFinalReport.pdf

Walton, M, & Archer, A. (2004) The Web and information literacy: scaffolding the use of web resources in a project-based curriculum in *British Journal of Educational Technology* 35(2) 173-186

Walton, G., Barker, J, Hepworth, M. and Stephens, D. (2007). Using online collaborative learning to enhance information literacy delivery in a Level 1 module: an evaluation, *Journal of Information Literacy*, 1 (1): 13-30: available at http://jil.lboro.ac.uk/ojs/index.php/JIL/article/view/RA-V1-I1-2007-2/3

Walton, A, Weller, M. and Conole, G. (2008). Social:Learn – Widening Participation and Sustainability of Higher Education. *Proc. EDEN 2008: Annual Conference of the European Distance and E-Learning Network.* 11-14 June 2008, Lisbon, http://www.open.ac.uk/blogs/sociallearn/wp-content/uploads/2008/04/sl-eden2008.pdf

Wenger, E., White, N., Smith, J. and Rowe, K. (2005) *Technology for Communities*, CEFRIO

Williams, J., Clemens, S., Oleinikova, K. and

Tarvin, K. (2003) The Skills for Life Survey: A National Needs and Impact Survey of Literacy, Numeracy and ICT Skills London: Department for Education and Skills: available at http://www.literacytrust.org.uk/socialinclusion/adults/survey.html

Williams, Peter (2006) Exploring the challenges of developing digital literacy in the context of people with moderate to severe learning difficulties in *ITALICS*, *Innovation in Teaching And Learning in Information and Computer Sciences* 5 (1): available at http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5-1/pdf/Williams_final.pdf

Yorke, M. and Knight, P.T. (reprinted 2006) *Embedding Employability in the Curriculum*. York, Higher Education Academy. Learning and Employability series.