

HELEN BEETHAM
LOU MCGILL
PROF. ALLISON LITTLEJOHN

Thriving in the 21st century: the report of the
LLiDA project (Learning Literacies for the
Digital Age): Conclusions and
recommendations

Conclusions and recommendations

5.1 Re-articulating the challenge

The challenge we outlined at the start was to transform:

- The kinds of capabilities valued, taught (for) and assessed
- The ways in which learners' capabilities are supported and assessed
- The value colleges and universities place on 'literacies of the digital' and the investment they make in staff and student skills

We can now add detail to these three challenges. New capabilities and learning goals must help students to deal with:

- economic uncertainty
- high competition for employment in the global knowledge economy
- increased levels of alternative, contract-based and self-employment
- the rise of interdisciplinarity and multi-disciplinary teams focused on specific tasks
- a networked society and communities
- multi-cultural working and living environments
- blurring boundaries of real and virtual, public and private, work and leisure
- increasingly ubiquitous and embedded digital technologies
- distribution of cognitive work into (human and non-human) networks of expertise
- rapid social and techno-social change

In supporting those capabilities, support staff and curriculum teams must:

- Design flexible learning opportunities
- Situate those learning opportunities, where possible and appropriate, in authentic contexts (workplace, community, placement)
- Design learning opportunities for highly interconnected individuals, operating in distributed networks of expertise
- Continually review how technologies are integrated into curriculum tasks
- Continually review learners' techno-social practices and the practices of professional and scholarly communities (anticipating that these will be different and that helping learners negotiate the differences will become part of the pedagogic agenda)
- Support learners to use their own technologies and to develop effective strategies for learning with technology
- Use assessment and feedback to encourage innovation in learners' approaches to study, rewarding exploration as a process: current assessment regimes often reward conservatism
- Support learners' developing self-efficacy and self-direction in learning, empowering them to navigate increasingly complex learning landscapes
- Support learners' personal reflection, progression and planning, for example by engaging with e-portfolios and learning records

In changing cultures of learning to place greater value on 'literacies of the digital', institutions must

- engage and motivate students to develop learning literacies by"
 - monitoring, supporting and assessing digital competences across the learning experience
 - articulating the educational benefits and importance of digital literacies
 - recognising and rewarding the expertise that digitally proficient students can offer to others in the learning community

- using rich learner-related data to support portfolio-building, personalised advice and guidance, and where appropriate personal curricula and learning environments
- enabling learners to record a wide range of achievements and to present rich accounts of their learning history to different audiences
- engage staff in rethinking their practice by:
 - realigning reward structures around innovation in learning and teaching
 - supporting flexibility, stakeholder-responsiveness, and innovation in curriculum design
 - making learning development an explicit concern of teaching staff
 - fostering digital scholarship and digital professionalism, linked to changes in teaching practice
- engage employers and other stakeholders:
 - in meaningful dialogue, recognising that the stated needs of graduate employers are only one perspective on employability in a rapidly-changing social and economic landscape
 - in continuous review of the purposes and outcomes of the curriculum

The social and economic agendas of upskilling more of the population, widening participation, and supporting lifelong learning, mean that university and college learners are more diverse than ever before, with a wider range of educational and ICT experience. Since literacy provision ideally starts with learners' existing practices and conceptions, it needs to become more wide-ranging, more flexible, and more proactive. It also needs to recognise that the process of development will be incremental, and challenging. Learners need scaffolding, direction and modelling in the first instance, followed by practice and personalisation, giving way to unstructured tasks through which they can learn to choose strategies and technologies to suit different situations and their own preferred ways of working.

Institutions are simply not resourced to support all the available technologies and all the individual requirements learners present. Nor would that necessarily be desirable, as it would imply a single model of digital competence rather than the multiple modes of engagement, varieties of digital scholarship, and numerous specialist applications, which characterise the academic experience.

Looking to the future, then, how do we recognise the changing contexts (new opportunities and challenges), bring them into the institution in ways that are accessible to learners, change our teaching and support practices, and help learners transform their practices to become more effective learners, workers and citizens? A new paradigm may be required, in which diverse skills of staff and students are recognised and used as a resource, in more flexible organisational structures.

The paradigm shift:

From	To
We know, we teach you	Learners' digital skills being recognised, rewarded and used as a resource for the learning community
Established methods, based in disciplines	Emerging and mixed methods, interdisciplinary problem spaces
Induction and one-off training model of literacy support	Ongoing review, progression and just-in-time support
Students become 'qualified' in specific kinds of academic knowledge practice	Students need to strategically manage a range of knowledge practices, for different contexts
Technologies are introduced according to the requirements of the curriculum	(Yes, and) the curriculum is continually modified by the impacts of technology in the environment
Disaggregated services, deployed at particular points in the learning cycle (library, ICT, study skills, careers)	Integrated support for students' learning development and different learning pathways
Stable job market, 'employability' has clear features, particularly in specific vocations and professions	Unstable job market: adaptability, resilience, multi-tasking, capacity to exercise judgement and management of multiple roles to the fore

Students typically on two-year (FE) or three-year (HE) programmes of study: ongoing relationship with institution	Students engaged in multiple forms of learning, often while employed and/or attending several institutions: relationships more flexible, short-term and contractual in nature
Modular assessment: focus on achievement within clearly defined curriculum goals	Some cross-modular assessment: focus on self-efficacy and the ability to integrate skills/know-how

Table 5.1 Features of the 'digital literacies' paradigm shift

5.2 Summarising the evidence

In response to this challenge, what is currently being provided by institutions, and what difference is it making to learners?

Institutional policy/strategy

Due to a lack of clear ownership at institutional level, learning and digital literacies are rarely the basis of an integrated institutional strategy. Effective integration can be provided where the Learning and Teaching Strategy addresses learning in the digital age directly, and there are clear lines of action/responsibility to other strategies such as ICT, Quality, Employability, e-Learning, Learning Resources and devolved faculty/department and service-level strategies. A digital literacies champion should be capable of initiating action in both the digital and the academic/learning development area of institutional provision.

Institutions have to prepare themselves, and not just their learners, for an uncertain future. Among the paradigm-breaking scenarios considered in this study, a loss of confidence in paper qualifications is perhaps the one that should give institutions most cause for concern. Institutions must position themselves to respond quickly and flexibly to the need for new kinds of capability, and to recognise and represent graduate capabilities in new ways.

The two exemplary strategies examined in this study had the following features:

- institution-wide changes to policy, clearly linked to main institutional drivers and priorities
- actions cascaded through a range of institutional strategies e.g. quality, ICT, and practices, e.g. course documentation
- an incremental approach, spearheaded by pilot projects/initiatives, some with external funding
- collaboration between central services and academic staff, principally around...
- course development and review, involving multi-disciplinary development teams, with intensive resourcing
- large central unit (e-learning PLUS academic development) driving policy forward: in both cases with substantial national profile and hybrid teaching/development/research agenda
- ongoing research, evaluation and evidence-gathering about students' experiences with technology and learning
- commitment to understanding the learning experience in a holistic way: 'learning takes place in a technology-rich world'
- building on previous work, treating transformation as a long-term project
- moving people out of their silos, for example by creating hybrid and/or 'roving' roles

Practice in central services

Our study found consistent good practice in central provision for the three areas of academic/learning literacy, information literacy, and ICT skills. Staff in these areas have their own well established cultures, frameworks and forums for sharing professional practice. In many cases these cultures include a focus on learners as individuals, with their own preferred approaches and particular needs. The main problem is that they are still operating in relative isolation from one another, and – in many cases – from staff in departments too. Students' digital and learning literacies are not often enough being assessed and supported as they engage in academic tasks. It is also not often acknowledged that students have many

sources of support, including family, friends, social networks and online resources, but that they need help to integrate these into effective personal practices.

Organisational structures, the way in which services are resourced, and service/department cultures – e.g. different modes of supporting students – are all seen as barriers to the effective integration of literacies. One symptom of this in our study was the difficulty identifying people who could audit digital literacies provision across the board. Many potential auditors felt that too much relevant practice was hidden from them. It is suggested that librarians, ICT support staff, careers staff, specialist support staff such as WP and accessibility, and learning development staff are not learning effectively from one another and have limited opportunity to do so. From the background review, though not directly from our study, there is evidence that literacies transfer poorly across boundaries, a finding that makes joined-up support all the more critical.

Strategies often call for cross-departmental working, and we found examples of good integration between information and ICT support, sometimes including learning support, and in other places between academic practice and information literacy. Support is most effectively integrated where there is an institution-wide policy of assessing and progressing learners' skills. In FE this is usually delivered around guidance tutorials, while in HE the availability of an e-portfolio system can be the catalyst and focus of provision. Employability is often the stated rationale for such an approach. However, careers staff were difficult to reach in our study, and although 'employability' extends beyond careers, we draw a tentative connection between the lack of engagement with the 'literacies' agenda by careers staff and a tendency for 'employability' itself to be poorly articulated and supported.

Librarians have a long tradition of supporting literacies and working with academic departments, so there is a large literature describing their practice and well-established mechanisms for sharing it. These include the SCONUL 7 pillars of information literacy, the only framework from our study that had any recognition on the ground. One problem, though, is that where librarians have championed the digital aspects of information literacy, this is regarded as having 'solved' the problem of the digital in learning. Our study found very little central support for media literacy, including critical aspects of reading different media and creative practices of media production. There was also very little mention of communicating and sharing ideas either as an aspect of information literacy or in its own right. Effective learners require both of these, and other digital capabilities such as navigating virtual and immersive worlds, managing digital identities and reputation, and using digital technologies for reflecting, planning and making sense of their learning experiences. While librarians can be regarded as pioneers in articulating the impact of digital technologies on their area of expertise, and adapting their practices of support, digital literacies cannot be left to librarians if they are to be embedded throughout the institution.

Practice in the curriculum

Our audit institutions exhibited great diversity in the literacies mandated for consideration during the curriculum design and validation process. A small number of explicit frameworks were in use, and the language of the 'key skills' agenda remained in evidence, but the majority of institutions seem to have developed an ad hoc approach.

We identified three modes of integrating literacies:

- Institution-wide programme (usually portfolio-based) with generic processes of review and reflection, but the specific skills practised and assessed in subject modules
- Skills modules or module components, delivered alongside 'subject' teaching, typically by central services staff: may include tailored (subject-specific) tasks or examples
- Literacy provision fully integrated into modules and/or programmes of study, including learning outcomes and assessment: typically in professional/vocational programmes that are already competence-based (but in one case via the tutorial system).

More evidence of outcomes and impact from (1) is likely to come from JISC-funded e-portfolio projects.

Where skills are delivered as separate components (2), there is a danger they will not be seen as central

or compulsory elements of the learning experience. Our findings confirm other research that segregating skills is demotivating. While tailored versions of central service workshops are undoubtedly better than no provision, course teams are advised to rethink learning tasks and assessment criteria to give more importance to literacies for life across the curriculum. This is particularly important in a context where fewer students can expect to get jobs that are directly related to their subject of study.

An interesting cluster of 'deeply' embedded examples (3) focused on students rethinking concepts of *space*, and working on the boundaries of real and virtual spaces to express their ideas. This is an exciting development, but we are concerned to find few other examples of radical thinking, e.g. around disrupted concepts of *knowledge*, *identity* or *practice*. There was also very little evidence in our study of feedback on coursework or assessment being used to support learners' development, e.g. to signpost resources the learner might access or study strategies to practice. The exception was at Oxford University, where subject-specific goals, assignments and feedback are intrinsically linked with personal academic development through weekly tutorials. Other institutions might well struggle to replicate such a system with larger group sizes and with less self-directed students.

The great majority of our examples across all modes came from vocational and professional courses, and there is plenty of evidence that these are the subjects spearheading support for literacies in the curriculum. In work-based settings, problems are encountered in an authentic setting, their resolution is intrinsically rewarding, and 'competences' are simply aspects of task performance. In formal learning, more effort needs to be taken to ensure there are opportunities for learners to practice and evidence what they can do. This ties in with the recommendations of the Burgess report¹ and the UK Commission for Employment and Skills² which emphasise the need for 21st century graduate skills to be integrated into learning activities across the curriculum.

We are aware that much excellent practice in disciplines was not visible to our study methods. Many literacies are so deeply and tacitly embedded in subject teaching that academic staff do not identify their practice as literacy-based at all. Examples might be visual literacies in art, or critical media literacies in media studies. Recognising that different subjects can contribute expertise in different literacies for learning is a first step towards finding and sharing good practice.

One important strength of 'traditional' academic teaching in disciplines is that it recognises learning not as the collection of competences but as the emergence of an identity. Particularly in higher education, learning is about being able to take up a personal stance in relation to subject knowledge and expertise. In a digital age, learners need to practice and experiment with different ways of enacting their identities, and adopt subject positions through different social technologies and media. These opportunities can only be provided by academic staff that are themselves engaged in digital practice.

Learners supporting learners

Social software is now widely being used to enable peer mentoring and group support, for example around skills workshops, during induction and first-semester studies, on placement, and for group work. There is also evidence of the learner voice being captured and shared via videos, blogs and podcasts. Study buddy and student mentor initiatives rarely address digital literacies directly, but could be adapted to do so: student help-desks are common for supporting proficiency with digital devices and networks. All of these approaches are being tried by central service staff with good evidence of success.

Inevitably much peer support takes place under the academic radar, but academic staff can help by being explicit about what kinds of collaboration are appropriate, establishing peer review processes, and setting group assignments.

Problems with current provision for digital literacies include:

- institutional silos, so learners often have several places to seek help with their learning, and

¹ http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/Publications/Documents/Burgess_final.pdf

² http://www.ukces.org.uk/PDF/UKCES_FullReport_USB_A2020.pdf

- cultural differences can make cross-service/dept collaboration difficult
- (often) poor embedding of literacies into the curriculum, particularly at the level of feedback and assessment
- (often) poor integration of information/digital literacies with academic/learning literacies
- curriculum provision tends to be one-off and cohort-based, rather than based on an ethos of personal development: central provision is more personal and developmental but rarely reaches learners when they are actually engaged in authentic tasks
- Academic staff perceive students as being more digitally capable than is really the case
- poor self-evaluation by learners, particularly in relation to their information skills, so voluntary services are not reaching those in most need, and skills modules are not perceived as relevant or important

5.3 Looking to the future: general recommendations

1. Tutors need to be proactive in helping learners to develop learning and digital literacies

The evidence is growing that despite familiarity with personal technologies, learners are generally poor at deploying their digital skills in support of learning. They lack critical media and information literacies, and struggle to translate the capabilities they do have into different contexts. Because of this they remain strongly influenced by their lecturers in the technologies and strategies they use for learning. Tutors' confidence and capacity to be innovative in their use of technologies are critical to learners' development.

2. Learning and digital literacies need to be embedded into the curriculum

Tutors and central service staff, including 'outreach' and hybrid staff such as subject librarians, must work together to embed opportunities for literacy development into the curriculum. To take information literacy as an example, while the first four 'pillars' of SCONUL's information literacy framework deal with generic skills of planning, searching and managing information, the remaining three deal with information in ways that make little sense outside of a curriculum context. 'Compare and evaluate', 'organise, apply and evaluate' and 'synthesise' are all tasks that call on disciplinary means for making and communicating meaning. It could be argued, indeed, that these disciplinary means are what elevate information into useful knowledge. Judith Peacock, a pioneer of integrating academic and information literacies in Australia, has summarised the evidence that information literacy demands '*a fusion of discipline and generic knowledge and skills, [drawing] upon the full potential of problem-based learning experiences and critical thinking development*' (Peacock, 2005).

3. Learners need to be engaged in their own development

The literature on developing effective learners highlights motivation and self-efficacy as key factors. (e.g. Zimmerman). There is now evidence to suggest that separate skills modules undermine motivation. The focus of provision in curricula should therefore be on developing understanding and practice through authentic academic tasks, in digital contexts where appropriate. Assessments must be designed to recognise learners' developing literacies, and feedback must make transparent which strategies lead to success.

Self-efficacy in development can be promoted through timely feedback and regular reviews of progress. Extra-curricular opportunities are important here, including workshops, surgeries, self-study materials and guidance sessions, though some learners will need to be reached pro-actively e.g. by student 'ambassadors' and outreach workers in departments, or on referral from tutors. A deficit model is unhelpful: learners own knowledge practices and study habits need to be acknowledged, while introducing them to a range of successful academic strategies, and the idea of academic communication as taking a stance. Learners benefit from activities such as portfolio building and PDP, which are under their control. Through reflection and practice, skills can become internalised, integrated, and more transferable.

The social aspects of literacy development also need to be acknowledged, for example through peer review, promoting opportunities for peer support, and collaborative tasks.

4. Academic staff need to be engaged in rethinking their own knowledge practices

We have already noted that there are different traditions of meaning-making, and that this might constitute the gap between information and knowledge which learners have to cross if they are to succeed in their chosen subject. The Glasgow Caledonian i-learn strategy expresses this extremely well, calling for students to develop an '*awareness of the provisional nature of knowledge, how knowledge is created, advanced and renewed, and the excitement of developing knowledge*'. But academic staff have few opportunities to reflect on the impact digital technologies are having in their field, and those opportunities which exist e.g. around curriculum (re)validation and review do not always foster an open and enquiring approach.

There are far more examples of embedded practice in professional and vocational subjects, especially where professional bodies are open to exploring how practice in their profession is changing. Less well embedded are notions of *digital scholarship* – the changing research practices of disciplines and how these need to be reflected in learning tasks and assessments. Disciplines also have ideas to contribute to generic notions of 'digital literacy'. How do specific subject areas make meaning in digital contexts? Analyse and collate data? Innovate (ideas, products, social systems, technologies, interfaces, designs and design protocols)? Think creatively using digital tools? Solve problems of the digital economy and society?

Anecdotally, academics report that learners struggle particularly with tasks of judgement and evaluation, i.e. when they are required to take up a stance in relation to knowledge. This throws up the question of how students develop and manage different identities – including as learners, researchers, professionals, and members of a community – and how they can own their own judgments in an age of shared opinions and 'the power of the crowd'. Other potential clashes of academic and internet knowledge practice are noted below.

Academic knowledge practice	Internet knowledge practice
Individual authority	Shared ownership
The individual occupies a stance/position from which a judgement can be made	The individual is 'a node through which various kinds of message pass' (Lyotard)
Philosophy	Design
Truth value	Use value
Quality of method	Quantity of links/citations/uses
(Disciplinary) tradition of what knowledge matters, and how it comes to mean	The eternal 'now' of what technology makes possible
How I come to know	Who I know
Synthesis (in a dialectical sense)	Aggregation, re-use
Dialogue, disputation	Comment
Discipline/profession as resources (of methods, codes of practice, etc)	Multi-modality, interdisciplinarity as resources
Copyright	Digital commons
Qualification (followed by reputation)	Reputation/recognition first
Research	Problem-solving
Subject knowledge and know-how	Generic skills and aptitudes 'just in time' knowledge and how-how
Text-based communication of ideas	Multiple media used to express ideas
Sharing within scholarly communities, according to	Sharing without boundaries, across ephemeral and

Table.5.2 potential clashes of academic and internet knowledge practice**5. Information literacy needs to be broadened to include – or needs to be supplemented with - communication and media literacies**

The distinction between information and communication technology is becoming less clear, thanks to practices associated with wikis, blogs, social tagging, commenting, file sharing, and online communities. Academic practice is following – and in some instances leading – this trend, so it makes little sense to support information literacies in isolation from these other practices. It is noticeable that use of the term 'digital literacies' is strongly associated with web 2.0 applications in our study, while 'information literacies' is used almost exclusively to refer to digital (content) resources.

The agenda needs to be clearly formulated around informed and *critical* use of technology for learning. SCONUL's fifth pillar, '*the ability to compare and evaluate information obtained from different sources*' seems in Moira Bent's recent review to overlap considerably with what we have called critical or media literacy: '*knowledge about the way the media operate, and certain processes which are particularly important in the academic context, such as peer review of scholarly articles*'. Different disciplines demand proficiency in different (combinations of) media, and create/share meaning in different ways: learners need to both inhabit and critique these modes.

Current information literacy models also tend to assume that academic ideas will be expressed (predominantly) in text. All the background research points to the need for learners to become proficient at creative self expression, and critical argumentation, in a range of media. This presents many challenges, not least in relation to assessment.

In relation to digital technology itself, the point is not to encourage *more technology use* but to encourage more insightful, more reflective and more critical choices about technology and its role in learning.

6. Employability needs to be more carefully and critically defined

Employability at present is very variously interpreted. It appears in many strategies but very few actual interventions in student learning. In some institutions and contexts, 'employability' seems to have given way to the '21st Century Graduate' as a concept, recognising that a college or university education is only the starting point for most graduates, and that employees in high-skill sectors will continue learning (and providing markets for FE and HE provision) throughout their careers.

There is a need for further investigation, and strategic thinking, around:

- economic futures: are we educating students for highly skilled jobs in a global knowledge economy, or are such jobs likely to be in a small minority? In which case, should the curriculum focus more broadly on using ICT critically, confidently, capably, in a wide range of different social and workplace settings?
- entitlement and diversity: is there just one 'digital literacy' or many? How should a basic entitlement to digital technologies, networks and skills be balanced against individuals' diverse learning pathways and personal preferences?
- citizenship: how students are prepared for a digital society – issues of participation, social justice, personal safety, ethical behaviours, managing identity and reputation – are important as well as how they are prepared for the digital economy
- the role of postgraduate study: does the growth and diversity of the PG market entail a rethinking of the purposes of an undergraduate degree?
- responsiveness: how well and quickly provision can respond to changes in the needs of the digital economy and society
- accreditation: what forms of recording and recognition of achievement are relevant in a digital economy and society?

Again, curriculum teams and professional bodies need to consider what literacies and competences graduates will need, bearing in mind that they are likely to have several careers and that none may be in the field they have studied. They also need to consider what values, identities and attributes uniquely qualify graduates in their field, against a backdrop of change (technologies, learners, markets etc). These need to be reflected in the learning tasks, teaching approaches and assessment regimes of the curriculum, while continuing to be supported by specialist staff e.g. careers, and by cross-cutting processes such as portfolio building and PDP.

7. Summary: Institutional provision should encompass:

- a generic entitlement to access and skills, articulated in terms of ICT support, information literacy, learning opportunities and study skills
- recognition of, and support where appropriate for, for learners' use of personal technologies and social networks to support their studies
- clarity about what it means to know, to apply knowledge, to be critical and creative, in different subjects and disciplines, including the impact of digital technologies
- review, feedback and recognition (e.g. assessment) of learners' practices as they develop
- whole-institution, cross-context support for portfolio building so individual learners can integrate these elements – access and skills, subject-specific understanding, and personal practice/know-how – through reflection and planning

Integration cannot be done on behalf of learners, but learners' capacity to integrate their knowledge and skills, to become more confident and self-directed actors in their learning, can be supported:

- Learners can be supported directly through practices of reflection, planning, authentic tasks, a focus on making meaning in specific contexts, and emphasis on their self-efficacy
- Academic staff can rethink the role of the digital across their scholarly and professional practice, and rethink their teaching in light of this
- Staff in departments and services can work as ambassadors and arbitrageurs across organisational boundaries
- Institutions can develop more integrated policies and strategies for learning in a digital age
- Education as a field of study and practice can embrace its own interdisciplinarity and draw on the strengths of related professional and scholarly fields e.g. librarianship, e-learning, learning development, social theory, adult learning, studies of technology and innovation. Digital literacies need to be set against a range of theoretical backgrounds, including learning theory.

5.4 Recommendations to the JISC

- Future investigations in this area should focus on institutional and whole-curriculum approaches to embedding digital literacies, and identifying success factors for learners
- Work with HEA Subject Centres to articulate the meaning of digital literacies in different subject areas and to identify 'deeply' embedded exemplars to add to the existing database. Support subject communities to adapt curriculum frameworks and embed new practices around digital literacy, in light of increasing multidisciplinary and the changing technological and student landscape.
- Build partnerships and channels of communication with staff involved in learning/learner development, who are often at the forefront of the clash in digital knowledge practices, and with whom JISC has little history of engagement
- Build partnerships and channels of communication with careers staff, engaging them with projects across the curriculum (e.g. around e-portfolios, learner records, employer engagement and lifelong learning), as well as CV building and job-seeking.

- Evaluate outputs of lifelong learning projects for evidence of what literacies are of long-term value to learners and other stakeholders
- Work with SCONUL to redevelop/broaden their 7 pillars and ensure JISC community is aware of them and actively embedding and adapting them to institutional need
- Further develop the materials currently available through the LliDA wiki, particularly:
 - The framework of frameworks as a tool for modelling institutional policy, and/or as an infokit
 - The audit tools and guidance as a resource for institutions, with evidence of their effectiveness as a change process
 - Further analysis of rich data from both audit and exemplars of practice
 - Discussion around the conclusions and recommendations
- Consider funding pilot projects focusing on:
 - Feedback on assignments as a means of giving personalised guidance and direction learners to personalised support materials
 - Integrating e-portfolio, CV-building, learner records, advice and guidance, around issues of employability or graduate skills
 - How learning pathways e.g. as expressed in e-portfolios or learner records, can intersect with curriculum processes in ways that make the curriculum more sensitive to individual requirements
 - Use of competence-tagging (tagging of learning outcomes AND learner pathways in relation to target competences) for joining up provision across departments and services
 - Communication and media literacies , either treated as an extension of information literacies or as critical skills in their own right
 - Skills required by learners to integrate real and virtual spaces in their understanding of their subject
 - Embedding digital literacies in non-prof/vocational subjects, and/or investigating how literacies are already being deeply/tacitly embedded in these subjects
 - Projects working on boundaries of institutional and personal technologies and how learners negotiate those to create their own learning contexts

6. References

All web links accessed 27 May 2009

Alexander, B. (2008) Social Networking in Higher Education, in Katz, R. (ed.) (2008) *The Tower and the Cloud*, EduCause: available at <http://www.educause.edu/thetowerandthecloud>

Anderson, P. (2007) *What is Web 2.0? Ideas, technologies and implications for education*. JISC Technology & Standards Watch: available at <http://www.jisc.org.uk/media/documents/techwatch/tsw0701b.pdf>

Archer, W. and Davison, J., (2008) *Graduate Employability: the views of employers*, The Council for Industry and Higher Education.

Bacigalupo, M. et al. (2008) *Learning 2.0: The Impact of Web2.0 Innovation on Education and Training in Europe: Report on a validation and policy options workshop organised by IPTS, Seville, 29-30 October 2008*: available at http://insight.eun.org/www/en/pub/insight/school_innovation/best_practice/learning_2_0.htm

Barnes, K., Marateo, R. and Ferris, S. (2007) 'Teaching and learning with the net generation', *Innovate* 3(4): available at <http://www.innovateonline.info/index.php?view=article&id=382>

Barnett, R & Griffin, A (eds) (1997) *The End of Knowledge in Higher Education* Institute of Education: London

Barton, D. (1994) *Literacy: an Introduction to the Ecology of Written Language* Oxford: Blackwell.

Barton, D., & Hamilton, M. (1998). *Local literacies*. London: Routledge

Barton, D., Hamilton, M. & Ivanič, R. (Eds.) (2000) *Situated Literacies: Reading and Writing in Context*. London & New York: Routledge.

Bazerman, C. (1988) *Shaping Written Knowledge: the genre and activity of the experimental article in science* Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Bélisle, C. (2006) Literacy and the Digital Knowledge Revolution, in Martin, A. and D.

Madigan (eds) (2006), *Digital literacies for learning*, Facet Publishing, London: pp. 51-67

Bennett, S., Maton, K., Kervin, L. (2008) The 'digital natives' debate: a critical review of the evidence. *BJET* vol.39. No.5: 775-786.

Berkenkotter, C. & Huckin, T. (1995) *Genre Knowledge in Disciplinary Communication* New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bezemer, J. and Kress, G. (2008) Writing in Multimodal Texts: A Social Semiotic Account of Designs for Learning, *Written Communication*, 25: 166-195.

Bhatia, V. J., Flowerdew, J. and Jones, R. (2008) *Advances in Discourse Studies*. Routledge: London

Big Blue project team (2002), *Final Report*, JISC/MMU: available at <http://www.library.mmu.ac.uk/bigblue/pdf/finalreport.pdf>.

Big Blue project team (2002) *The Big Blue information literacy model*: available at <http://www.library.mmu.ac.uk/bigblue/ppt/themodel4.ppt>

Blackall, L (2005) Digital Literacy: how it affects teaching practices and networked learning futures - a proposal for action research in *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2 (10): available at http://itdl.org/Journal/Dec_05/article01.htm

Bloome, D., Carter, S.P., Christian, B.M., Otto, S. & Stuart-Faris, N. (2005) *Discourse Analysis and the Study of Classroom Language and Literacy Events – a microethnographic perspective* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

British Library (2008) *Information Behaviour of the Researcher of the Future*: available at <http://www.bl.uk/news/pdf/googlegen.pdf>.

Bruce, C. (1997) *The Seven Faces of Information Literacy* Adelaide: Auslib Press: available at http://sky.fit.qut.edu.au/~bruce/inflit/faces/face_s1.php

Buckingham, D. (2006) Defining digital literacy: what do young people need to know about digital media? in *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy* 4.

- Buckingham, D. (2007) Digital Media Literacies: rethinking media education in the age of the Internet, *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 2(1), pp. 43-55
- Bundy, A. (2004) *Australian and New Zealand Information Literacy Framework: principles, standards and practice*. Second edition Adelaide: Australian and New Zealand Institute for Information Literacy: available at <http://www.anziil.org/resources/Info%20lit%202nd%20edition.pdf>
- Burgess, G. (2007) *Beyond the Honours Degree Classification*, London: available at <http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/Publications/Pages/Publication-272.aspx>
- CAMEL Tangible Benefits of e-Learning project team (2008) *Exploring the Tangible Benefits of e-Learning*, JISC/University of Northumbria: available at <http://www.jiscinfonet.ac.uk/publications/camel-tangible-benefits.pdf>
- Candlin, C.N. & Hyland, K. (Eds.) (1999) *Writing: Texts, Processes and Practices* London: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Candy, P. (1991) *Self-direction for lifelong learning*, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass.
- Carrington, V. (2007) 'I'm Dylan and I'm not going to say my last name': some thoughts on childhood, text and new technologies', *British Educational Research Journal*, 34:2, 151 — 166.
- CBI/EdExcel (2008) *CBI/EdExcel Education and Skills Survey 2008*: available at <http://www.cbi.org.uk/ndbs/Press.nsf/0363c1f07c6ca12a8025671c00381cc7/91f2730166cad25d80257420004fe11e>
- Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (2008) *OECD Schooling Scenarios*: available at http://www.oecd.org/document/10/0,3343,en_2649_39263231_2078922_1_1_1_37455,00.htm
- Centre for Outcomes-Based Education (2005) *Undergraduate levels framework*. COBE, Open University: available at <http://www.open.ac.uk/cobe/docs/KnowAbout/FS4-LevelsFramework.pdf>
- Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals, (2004) *Information literacy: definition*: available at <http://www.cilip.org.uk/policyadvocacy/learning/informationliteracy/definition/introduction.htm>
- Clinch, P. and Jones_Evans, A. (2007) "The Cardiff Handbook for Information Literacy Teaching – a case study in sharing staff training materials" *Journal of information literacy*, 1 (3)
- Connor, H. and MacFarlane, K. (2006, revised 2007) *Work Related Learning (WRL) in HE– a scoping study*, Centre for Research in Lifelong Learning, Glasgow Caledonian University
- Cope, B. and Kalantzis, M. (eds.) (2000) *Multiliteracies: Literacy Learning and the Design of Social Futures*, London: Routledge.
- J. Crawford (2006) The use of electronic information services and information literacy: A Glasgow Caledonian University study. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*; 38(1): 33 - 44.
- Crawford, J and Irving, C (2007) Information literacy: The link between secondary and tertiary education project and its wider implications, *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*; 39(1): 17 - 26.
- Creanor, L., Trinder, K. and Gowan, D. (2007) *Student Experiences of Technologies*: available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/programmes/elearningpedagogy/lxp_project_final_report_nov_06.pdf
- Creanor L., Trinder K., Gowan, D., and Howells C. (2006) *The Learner Experience of E-Learning, Final Report of the LEX project*, available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/elearning_pedagogy/elp_learneroutcomes.aspx
- Crème, P. & Lea, M. (1997) *Writing at University: a guide for students* Open University Press: Buckingham.
- Cushman, E. (2004) Toward a rhetoric of new media: Composing (me)dia. *Computers and Composition Online*, Spring 2004: available at <http://www.bgsu.edu/cconline/theory.htm>
- Davies, S., Swinburne, D. and Williams, G. (2006) *Writing Matters; the Royal Literary Fund Report on Student Writing in Higher Education* The Royal Literary Fund: London.
- Dearing, R. (1997) *The Dearing Report -*

National Committee of Inquiry into Higher Education. London: available at <http://www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/ncihe/>.

DigEuLit: European Framework for Digital Literacy (EFDL) (2006): available at http://www.elearningeuropa.info/directory/index.php?page=doc&doc_id=6973&doclng=6

Downes, S. (2005). E-learning 2.0, *eLearn Magazine*, 17 October: available at: <http://www.elearnmag.org/subpage.cfm?section=articles&article=29-1>

Dubin, F.(1989) 'Situating literacy within traditions of communicative competence' *Applied Linguistics* 10:2 171-81

Earle, W. (2005) Literacy or Literacies? Paper to the Institute of Education Forum, April 2005: available at <http://www.instituteofideas.com/transcripts/edforumliteracy.pdf>

Educause Learning Initiative/New Media Consortium (2009) *The Horizon Report 2009*: available at <http://www.educause.edu/ELI/2009HorizonReport/163616>

Eisenberg, M (2003) *Big six: Information & Technology Skills for Student Achievement*: available at <http://www.big6.com/2001/11/19/big6%e2%84%a2-skills-overview/>

Elbow, P. (2000) *Everyone Can Write* OUP: Oxford

Engeström, Y. (1999) Activity Theory and Individual and Social Transformation, in Engeström, Y. et al. (eds) *Perspectives on Activity Theory: Learning in Doing: Social, Cognitive & Computational Perspectives*. New York: Cambridge UP:19-39.

Eshet-Alkalai, Y (2004) Digital Literacy: a conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era, in *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia* (2004) 13(1): 93-106: available at http://www.openu.ac.il/Personal_sites/download/Digital-literacy2004-JEMH.pdf.

e-Skills UK (2009) *Technology Counts: IT and telecoms insights 2008*: available at <http://www.e-skills.com/Research-and-policy/Insights-2008/2179>

European Charter for Media Literacy (2006): available at

<http://www.euromedialiteracy.eu/charter.php>

European Schoolnet (2008) *Learning2.0: The Impact of Web2.0 Innovation on Education and Training in Europe*: available at http://insight.eun.org/ww/en/pub/insight/school_innovation/best_practice/learning_2_0.htm

Fairclough, N. (1992) *Discourse and Social Change*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Ford, N. (2004) Towards a model of learning for educational informatics, *Journal of Documentation* 60 (2): 183-225(43): available at <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/mcb/jd/2004/00000060/00000002/art00001>

Futurelab (2009) *Beyond Current Horizons: Evaluating the future of the UK's Education System*. Scenarios (forthcoming) available at <http://www.beyondcurrenthorizons.org.uk>

Ganobcsik-Williams, L. (2006) (Ed.) *Teaching Academic Writing in UK Higher Education: theories, practices and models*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Gee, J.P. (1996) *Social Linguistics and Literacies: Ideology in Discourse*. London: Falmer Press

Gibson, M. (2008) "Beyond Literacy Panics", *Media International Australia* 128, August 2008

Goodfellow, R. (2005) Academic literacies and e-learning: A critical approach to writing in the online university, *International Journal of Educational Research* 43 (7): 481-494.

Goodfellow, R. & Lea, M.R. (2007) *Challenging E-Learning in the University: a literacies perspective*, Maidenhead & New York: McGraw Hill/Open University Press

Goodfellow, R., Morgan, M., Lea, M. & Pettit, J. (2004) Students' writing in the virtual university: an investigation into the relation between online discussion and writing for assessment on two masters courses, in: I. Snyder & C. Beavis (Eds) *Doing Literacy Online: Teaching, Learning and Playing in an Electronic World* Hampton: Hampton Press. pp. 25-44

Goodyear, P, and Ellis, R. (2008) University students' approaches to learning: rethinking the place of technology, *Distance Education*, 29: 141-152.

Hargittai, E. (2005) Survey Measures of Web-Oriented Digital Literacy in Social Science Computer Review, Vol. 23, No. 3, 371-379

Hargittai, E. (Forthcoming 2008). Survey Measures of Web-Oriented Digital Literacy: An Update. *Social Science Computer Review*, May 2008

Hargittai, E. and Walejko, G. (2008) The Participation Divide: Content Creation and Sharing in the Digital Age, *Information, Communication and Society*: available at <http://www.webuse.org/papers?id=participation-divide>

Hemmi, A, Bayne, S and Land R (2008) The appropriation and repurposing of social technologies in higher education, *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*: available at <http://ssc.sagepub.com/cgi/rapidpdf/0894439308318213v1>

Higher Education Academy, ESECT and Council for Industry and Higher Education. *Student Employability Profiles, 2004/5*: available at <http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/detail/Employability/employability542>

Highton, M and Newton, A, (2005) Case Study - Information literate staff: a response to the challenge in *Journal of eLiteracy*, Vol 2 114-119

Honey P. and Mumford A. (1982) *Manual of Learning Styles*, Peter Honey Publications

Hyland, K. (1999) 'Disciplinary Discourses: writer stance in research articles' in Candlin, C & Hyland, K (eds.) *Writing Texts, Processes and Practices* Longman pp. 99-121

Hyland, K. (2001). Humble servants of the discipline? Self-mention in research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20, 207-226

Hyland, K. (2006) *English for Academic Purposes: an advanced resource book*. London: Routledge

i2010 - A European Information Society for growth and employment: available at http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/eeurope/i2010/index_en.htm

i-curriculum - a European framework for defining information skills and a curriculum appropriate for living and learning in the digital

age. (Primary, Secondary and vocational education), Project documents: available at <http://promitheas.iacm.forth.gr/i-curriculum/overview.html>

International ICT Literacy Panel, *Digital transformation: a framework for ITC literacy*, Educational Testing service 2002: available at http://www.ets.org/Media/Tests/Information_and_Communication_Technology_Literacy/ictreport.pdf

Ivanič, R, Edwards, R., Satchwell, C., Smith, J. (2007) Possibilities for pedagogy in further education: harnessing the abundance of literacy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 33,5, 703-721

Ivanič, R. (1998) *Writing and Identity: the discursive construction of identity in academic writing*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Ivanic, R. (2004). Discourses of writing and learning to write. *Language and Education*, 18(3), 220-245.

Ivanič, R. (2005) *Language, learning and identity across contexts*. British Association of Applied Linguistics Annual Conference Bristol, September 2005.

Jewitt, C. (2006) *Technology, Literacy and Learning: A Multimodal Approach* London: Routledge.

JISC/British Library/UCL (2008) *Information Behaviour of the Researcher of the Future* ('The Google Generation report): available at <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/resourcediscovery/googlegen.aspx>

Jones, C, Turner, J & Street, B.V. (Eds.) (2000) *Student Writing in the University: cultural and epistemological issues*. John Benjamins

Jones, S. & Lea, M. (2008) Digital Literacies in the Lives of Undergraduate Students: Exploring Personal and Curricular Spheres of Practice, *Electronic Journal of Elearning*, 6 (3): 207-216: available at <http://www.ejel.org/Volume-6/v6-i3/v6-i3-art-6.htm>

Joy, G. and Taylor, P. (2005) *Improving staff i-Skills* London: JISC: available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/documents/pub_sissdocs/pub_sissdocs_improving.aspx

Kahn, R. and Kellner, D. (2005) *Reconstructing Technoliteracy: a multiple*

- literacies approach, *e-Learning* 2 (3): 238-251: available at http://www.wwords.co.uk/ELEA/content/pdfs/2/issue2_3.asp#4
- Katz, I.R. (2007). Testing information literacy in digital environments: The ETS iSKILLS™ assessment. in *Information Technology and Libraries* 26(3), 4-13
- Katz, R. N. (Ed) (2008) *The Tower and the Cloud : Higher Education in the Age of Cloud Computing*, EDUCAUSE, e-book: <http://www.educause.edu/thetowerandthecloud/133998>
- Kolb, D. (1984) *Experiential Learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*, Prentice Hall.
- Kress, G. (2003) *Literacy in the New Media Age*, Routledge.
- Kress, G. & Street, B. (2006) Multi-Modality and Literacy Practices, in: K. Pahl & J. Rowsell (Eds) *Travel notes from the New Literacy Studies* Bristol: Multilingual Matters.
- Kress, G. and Van Leeuwen, T. (2001), *Multimodal Discourse: The Modes and Media of Contemporary Communication*, Oxford University Press
- Kress, G. & Van Leeuwen, T. (1976) *Reading Images: the grammar of visual design*. London: Routledge.
- Lankshear, C. & Knobel, M. (2003) *New Literacies: Changing Knowledge and Classroom Learning*. Maidenhead & New York: Open University Press.
- Lankshear, C. and Knobel, M. (2007) Researching New Literacies: Web 2.0 practices and insider perspectives, *E-Learning*, 4(3): 224-240: available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2304/elea.2007.4.3.224>
- Lankshear, C. & Knobel, M. (Eds) (2008) *Digital Literacies*: New York: Peter Lang:1-16
- Lantz, A. and Brage, C. (2006) *Towards a Learning Society* Vol 5 Issues 1 <http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5-1/pdf/lantz-brage-final.pdf>
- Lea, M. R. (2004) 'Academic Literacies: a pedagogy for course design' in *Studies in Higher Education* Vol. 29, no. 6, Dec pp. 739-756
- Lea, M.R. & Goodfellow, R. (2007) *Challenging e-learning in the university: a literacies perspective* Maidenhead & Philadelphia: SRHE/Open University Press/Mc Graw Hill.
- Lea, M. R. and Stierer, B. (2000) *Student Writing in Higher Education: new contexts* OU Press: Buckingham.
- Lea, M.R. & Street, B.V. (1998) Student writing in higher education: an academic literacies approach, *Studies in Higher Education*, 23(2), pp. 157-172.
- Lea, M.R. & Street, B.V. (1999) Writing as academic literacies: understanding textual practices in higher education, in: C.N. Candlin & K. Hyland (Eds) *Writing: Texts, Processes and Practices* (pp. 62-81). London: Longman.
- Lea, M. R. and Street, B.V. (2006) "The 'Academic Literacies' Model: Theory and Applications" *Theory into Practice* Fall Vol. 45, no 4 pp. 368-377
- LearnHigher (2006) *Information Literacies Literature Review*: available at <http://www.learnhigher.mmu.ac.uk/research/InfoLit-Literature-Review.pdf>
- Learning Literacies in a Digital Age (2008) JISC, <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/projects/elearn/inglida.aspx>
- Lee, C. (2007). Text-making practices beyond the classroom context: Private instant messaging in Hong Kong. *Computers and Composition: An International Journal*. Special Issue on Global Perspectives of Computers and Composition. 24 (3): 285-301
- Leitch, A. (2006) *The Leitch Review of Skills*, London: available at http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/leitch_review_index.htm
- Leitch, S. (2006), *Prosperity for All in a Global Economy: World Class Skills*, HM Govt.
- Leung, C. (2005) 'Convivial communication: recontextualising communicative competence' in *International Journal of Applied Linguistics* Vol. 15, no. 2, pp 119-144
- Lewis, C., Enciso, P. & Moje, E. (Eds.) (2007) *Identity, Agency and Power: reframing sociocultural research on literacy* Mahwah, NK: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

- Lillis, T. M. (1997) "New Voices in Academia? The Regulative Nature of Academic Writing Conventions" in *Language and Education* 11,3:182-99
- Lillis, T. M. (1999). Whose common sense? Essayist literacy and the institutional practice of mystery. In C. Jones, J. Turner & B. Street (Eds.), *Student writing in university: cultural and epistemological issues*. (pp. 127-147). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Lillis, T. M. (2001). *Student writing: access, regulation, desire*. London: Routledge
- Lillis, T. M. (2003). Student writing as academic literacies: drawing on Bakhtin to move from critique to design, in *Language and Education*, 17(3), 192-207.
- Lillis, T. M. and Curry, M. (2006) Reframing Notions of Competence in Scholarly Writing: from individual to networked activity in *Revista Canaria de Estudios Ingleses*, 53 pp. 63-78
- Lillis, T. M. and Curry, M (2006) Interactions With Literacy Brokers in the Production of English-Medium Texts in *Written Communication*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 3-35
- Livingstone, S., van Couvering, E. and Thumim, N. (2005) *Adult Media Literacy: A Review of the Research Literature*, London: Ofcom.: available at http://www.ofcom.org.uk/advice/media_literacy/medlitpub/medlitpubrssl/aml.pdf
- Lippincott, J. (2007) Student Content Creators – convergence of literacies, <http://connect.educause.edu/Library/EDUCAU/SE+Review/StudentContentCreatorsCon/45230?time=1213698556>
- Literacies for Learning in Further Education (LflFE) project team: a range of findings: available at <http://www.lancs.ac.uk/lflfe/findings/index.htm>
- Lore, A., Brennan, J. and de Weert, E. (2007) *Employer and higher education perspectives on graduates in the knowledge society. A report from the European Commission Framework VI project: 'The Flexible Professional in the Knowledge Society'*, London: Centre for Higher Education Research and Information, Open University and Enschede: Centre for Higher Education Policy Studies, University of Twente.
- Mannion, G., Miller, K. and Goodman, R. (2009) Reading Writing and Resonating: striking chords across the contexts of students' everyday and college lives, TLRP: available at <http://www.tlrp.org/dspace/handle/123456789/1606>
- Margaryan, A. and Littlejohn, A. (2008) Are digital natives a myth or reality?: Students' use of technologies for learning <http://www.academy.gcal.ac.uk/anoush/documents/DigitalNativesMythOrReality-MargaryanAndLittlejohn-draft-111208.pdf>
- Mackenzie, A. (2005) *Investing in staff i-Skills* London: JISC: available at http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/documents/pub_sissdocs/pub_sissdocs_investing.aspx
- Martin, Allan and Grudziecki, (2006) *DigEuLit: Concepts and Tools for Digital Literacy Development* in ITALICS, Innovation in Teaching And Learning in Information and Computer Sciences Vol 5 Issue 4, Dec 2006: available at <http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5iss4/martin-grudziecki.pdf>
- Martin, A. and Madigan, D. (eds) (2006), *Digital literacies for learning*, Facet Publishing
- Martin, Lindsay (2006) *Enabling e-literacy: providing non technical support for online learners* in ITALICS, Innovation in Teaching And Learning in Information and Computer Sciences Vol 5 Issue 4, Dec 2006: available at <http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5iss4/martin.pdf>
- Mayes T. and Fowler, C. (2006), 'Learners, learning literacy and pedagogy of e-learning' in Martin, A. and D. Madigan (eds) *Digital literacies for learning*, pp.26-33.
- McGuinness, C. (2007) May 4. Exploring Strategies for Integrated Information Literacy: From "Academic Champions" to Institution-Wide Change. *Communications in Information Literacy* [Online] 1:1: available at <http://www.comminfolit.org/index.php/cil/article/view/Spring2007AR3/14>
- McGill, L., Nicol, D., Littlejohn, A., Grierson, H. Juster, N.P. and Ion, W.J. (2005) Creating an information rich learning environment to enhance design student learning: challenges and approaches, *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 36 (4)
- Mitchell, S. (2006) Thinking Writing: News from the Writing in the Disciplines Initiative:

- Report on Consortium for Writing in the *Disciplines Newsletter* Autumn 2006 Language & Learning Unit, Queen Mary, University of London.
- Mitchell, S and Andrews, R. (2000) ed. *Learning to Argue in Higher Education*, Heinemann.
- Naswall, K, Hellgren, J. and Sverke, M. (2007) Introduction, in Naswall, Hellgren and Sverke (eds) *The individual in the changing working life*, Cambridge University Press.
- Nicol, D.J., Littlejohn, A.. and Grierson, H. (2005) The importance of structuring information and resources within shared workspaces during collaborative design learning, *Open Learning: The Journal of Open and Distance Learning*, Vol. 20, No. 1, February 2005, pp. 31–49
- Oblinger, D (2003) Boomers, gen-Xers and millennials: understanding the new students. *Educause Review*, July/August 2003. <http://www.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/erm0342.pdf>
- Office of Communications (OFCOM) (2008) *Media Literacy Audit*: available at http://www.ofcom.org.uk/advice/media_literacy/
- Open University UK (2008) *Open Thinking on HE* seminar series, not publicly available.
- Pahl, K And Rowsell J. (2005) *Literacy and Education: The New Literacy Studies in the Classroom*. London: Sage
- Pahl, K And Rowsell, J (2006) eds *Travel Notes from the New Literacy Studies: Case Studies in Practice*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd
- Peacock, Judith A. (2008) Not yours, not mine... but ours: integrating learning skills for integrated learning. In *Proceedings Dreaming 08, Australian Library and Information Association (ALIA) Biennial Conference*, Alice Springs.
- Pedder, D. (2006) Organizational conditions that foster successful classroom promotion of Learning How to Learn, *Research Papers in Education*, 21:2, 171 — 200
- Philip C. (1991) *Self-direction for lifelong learning*. Jossey-Bass.
- Pietrass, Manuela (2007) Digital Literacy Research from an International and Comparative Point of View, *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 2(1): 1-12
- Prensky, M. (2001) Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants, *On the Horizon* 9(5): NCB University Press: available at <http://www.marcprensky.com/writing/Prensky%20-%20Digital%20Natives,%20Digital%20Immigrants%20-%20Part1.pdf>
- Prensky, M. (2009) H. Sapiens Digital: From digital immigrants and digital natives to digital wisdom, *Journal of Online Education* 5 (3)
- Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (2007) *The framework for higher education qualifications in England, Wales and Northern Ireland*: available at <http://www.qaa.ac.uk/academicinfrastructure/FEQ/EWNI/default.asp#framework>
- Reid, A (2006), 'Approaches to enabling digital literacy: successes and failures ' in Martin, A. and D. Madigan (eds) (2006), *Digital literacies for learning*, Facet Publishing, London
- Russell, D. (1991). *Writing in the academic disciplines, 1870-1990: a curricular history* Carbondale: South Illinois University Press.
- Scalone, P., & Street, B. (2006). An Academic Language Development Programme (Widening Participation). In C. Leung & J. Jenkins (Eds.), *Reconfiguring Europe: the contribution of applied linguistics* (pp. 123-137). London: Equinox.
- Scribner, S., and Cole, M. (1981) *The psychology of literacy*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Senge, P. (2006) *The Fifth Discipline*, Doubleday.
- Seely Brown, J., & Adler, R. (2008) Minds on Fire: Open Education, the Long Tail, and Learning 2.0, *EDUCAUSE Review*, vol. 43, no.1, <http://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/ERM0811.pdf>
- Sharpe, R., Benfield, B., Lessner, E. and de Cicco, E. (2005) *Scoping Study: Learners' Experiences of e-Learning*, JISC: available at <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/whatwedo/programmes/elearningpedagogy/lex.aspx>

- Siemens, G. (2006). *Knowing knowledge*.
<http://www.knowingknowledge.com/book.php>
- Siemens, G (2004). *Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age*.
<http://www.elearnspace.org/Articles/connectivism.htm>
- Smith, J. (2005): 'Mobilising everyday literacy practices within the curricula.' *Journal of Vocational Education and Training* 57(3): 319-334.
- Snyder, I. (Ed) (2002) *Silicon Literacies, Communication, Innovation and Education in the Electronic Age*, London & New York
- Society of College, National and University Libraries *Information skills in higher education: a SCONUL position paper, 2003*: available at http://www.sconul.ac.uk/groups/information_literacy/papers/Seven_pillars.html
- Society of College, National and University Libraries (SCONUL) (2007) Working Group on Information Literacy:
http://www.sconul.ac.uk/groups/information_literacy/ (January 2009)
- Stierer, B. (1997) *Mastering Education: a preliminary analysis of academic literacy practices within master-level courses in Education Centre for Language & Communications*, Open University; Milton Keynes.
- Street, B. V. (1984) *Literacy in Theory and Practice* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Street, B.V. (1995) *Social Literacies: Critical Approaches to Literacy Development, Ethnography and Education*. London: Longman.
- Street, B. V. (2004) 'Academic Literacies and the 'New Orders': Implications for research and practice in student writing in HE' *Learning and Teaching in the Social Sciences* 2004 Volume 1:1 pp 9-32
- Street, B. V. (2008) 'Ethnography of writing and reading' Ch 16 of *Cambridge Handbook of Literacy* ed. David R. Olson & Nancy Torrance. CUP: Cambridge.
- Street, B. V. and Hornberger, N (Eds.) 2007 *Encyclopedia of Language and Education, Volume 2: Literacy*. Springer: New York.
- Street, B. V. and Lefstein, A. (2007) *Literacy: an advanced resource book* Routledge: London English Language and Applied Linguistics.
- Thesen, L. & Van Pletzen, E (2006) *Academic Literacy and the Languages of Change* London: Continuum.
- The New London Group (1996) *A Pedagogy of Multiliteracies: Designing social futures*. Harvard Educational Review, 66,1
- The New Media Consortium, (2005), 'A Global Imperative – the report of the 21st century literacy summit': available at http://www.newmediacenter.org/pdf/Global_Imperative.pdf
- TLRP (2008) *Education, Globalisation and the Knowledge Economy*: available at <http://www.tlrp.org/pub/documents/globalisationcomm.pdf>
- Trinder, K., Guiller, J., Margaryan, A., Littlejohn, A., Nicol, D. (2008) *Learning from digital natives: bridging formal and informal learning, Final report for Higher Education Academy*: available at <http://www.academy.gcal.ac.uk/ldn/LDNFinalReport.pdf>
- Walton, M, & Archer, A. (2004) The Web and information literacy: scaffolding the use of web resources in a project-based curriculum in *British Journal of Educational Technology* 35(2) 173-186
- Walton, G., Barker, J, Hepworth, M. and Stephens, D. (2007). Using online collaborative learning to enhance information literacy delivery in a Level 1 module: an evaluation, *Journal of Information Literacy* , 1 (1): 13-30: available at <http://jil.lboro.ac.uk/ojs/index.php/JIL/article/view/RA-V1-I1-2007-2/3>
- Walton, A, Weller, M. and Conole, G. (2008). Social:Learn – Widening Participation and Sustainability of Higher Education. *Proc. EDEN 2008: Annual Conference of the European Distance and E-Learning Network*. 11-14 June 2008, Lisbon,
<http://www.open.ac.uk/blogs/sociallearn/wp-content/uploads/2008/04/sl-eden2008.pdf>
- Wenger, E., White, N., Smith, J. and Rowe, K. (2005) *Technology for Communities*, CEFRIO
- Williams, J., Clemens, S., Oleinikova, K. and

Tarvin, K. (2003) *The Skills for Life Survey: A National Needs and Impact Survey of Literacy, Numeracy and ICT Skills* London: Department for Education and Skills: available at <http://www.literacytrust.org.uk/socialinclusion/adults/survey.html>

Williams, Peter (2006) Exploring the challenges of developing digital literacy in the context of people with moderate to severe learning difficulties in *ITALICS, Innovation in Teaching And Learning in Information and Computer Sciences* 5 (1): available at http://www.ics.heacademy.ac.uk/italics/vol5-1/pdf/Williams_final.pdf

Yorke, M. and Knight, P.T. (reprinted 2006) *Embedding Employability in the Curriculum*. York, Higher Education Academy. Learning and Employability series.