





Why flexibility?

Integrating large shares of variable renewables into the energy system

Niina Helistö, Hannele Holttinen, Juha Kiviluoma

6th Central European Biomass Conference 24th January, 2020, Graz, Austria

RES-E shares in Europe

- Highest yearly shares 2018
 - Wind: Denmark (42%), Ireland (28%), Portugal (24%)
 - Solar: Germany (8%), Malta (7%), Italy (7%)
- Highest hourly/instantaneous wind shares
 - Denmark and Portugal > 100%
 - Germany 80 %
 - Ireland > 60 % of demand





normalised and excluding pumping



Towards 100% renewable power systems

Instant 100% of VRE (variable renewable energy) operation already well before 50 % yearly share







ENERGINET

Example DK 2017: 43 % on average

Growing wind and solar shares increase net load variability

Example: Northern Europe*

- Load (no wind or solar)
 - Load alone already includes variability
- Net load with 40% wind & solar share
 - Variability increases
 - Hourly ramps become larger
- Net load with 60% wind & solar share
 - More variability
 - Almost 1000h with net load <0



*Nordic + Baltic + Germany + Poland

Role of baseload and flexible power plants changes

The time of base load power plants is over

 Less and less time operating (full load hours), resulting in costs/MWh getting high

The time of flexible power plants is here

Producing less than 6500 hours per year, much of that time at part load operation

There are also other options for managing the net load: curtailment, storage, transmission, demand response, new loads







Flexibility needs in future

Flexibility can be described as the ability of the power system to respond to changes

Flexibility needs occur due to variability as well as forecast errors of generation

- Temporal scales
 - Stability (seconds or less)
 - Net load ramps (minutes to hours)
 - Weather patterns (days)
 - Seasonal variations (months)
- Spatial scales
 - Local (e.g. voltage)
 - Regional (e.g. uneven distribution of VRE resource)
 - System-wide (e.g. transmission bottlenecks)



Temporal scales of flexibility needs – simplified examples



Weather patterns (days)



Net load ramps (minutes to hours)



Seasonal variations (months)



How bioenergy could contribute to the flexibility needs?

- 1) Synchronous inertia
- 2) Ramping, min. load
- 3) Start/stop cycling, min. load
- 4) Biofuel storage?

[4]

[1] Miller et al. 2014. Western Wind and Solar Integration Study Phase 3 – Frequency Response and Transient Stability.

[2] California Independent System Operator (CAISO)

[3] Data from Germany (ENTSO-E)

[4] Data from Germany (ENTSO-E)

Ways to increase flexibility in power systems



Original source: UWIG

Flexibility options will also compete – using the more cost effective options first

- Case North Europe: comparing the benefit of flexibility as difference in yearly system operational costs, with the cost of each flexibility source
 - Demand response: in this example without costs
- Using all available options is not equal to the sum of individual options



Kiviluoma, J., Rinne, E., & Helistö, N. (2018). Comparison of flexibility options to improve the value of variable power generation. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 37(8), 761–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2017.1357554</u>

 New
 Flexibility in supply side

 Traditional
 Curtaiment

 ζ storage
 Demark

 Demark
 Codes

 Other flexibility of the storage

 Storage
 Demark

Flexible generation

- Thermal power plants will be valuable for less frequent larger ramps
- Increasing amount of wind and solar does not necessarily result in more frequent ramping of thermal power plants if other flexibility sources are available
- Cycling characteristics: minimum load, part-load efficiency, ramping capability and costs, start-up capability and costs, minimum uptime and downtime





Helistö, N., Kiviluoma, J., & Holttinen, H. (2018). Long-term impact of variable generation and demand side flexibility on thermal power generation. *IET Renewable Power Generation*, 12(6), 718–726. <u>https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-rpg.2017.0107</u>

08/06/2020 VTT – beyond the obvious

Traditional storage

 Large hydro reservoirs and pumped hydro already used today – and projects to build more ongoing



Source: Summary report, IEA WIND Task 25, June 2016. http://www.vtt.fi/inf/pdf/technology/2016/T268.pdf

New Supply side

Traditiona

lexibility in

Wind/PV curtailment

Curtailments are signals of lack of flexibility...

- Delays of transmission (Germany), inflexibilities of coal power plants and tariffs (China), limiting max share of non-synchronous generation (Ireland)
- ...but can enable system services from wind and solar
- Experience of frequency response:
 - Very fast (inertial) in Quebec, secondary in Colorado
 - Market compliance in Spain, wind was providing ~ 5 % of downward reserves in 2017
 - California: responses from solar PV better than conventional generators



Wind power plant in Xcel/PSCO is first manually block curtailed and then put on AGC regulation.



Source: Drake Bartlett, Xcel

ACE area control error | AGC automatic generation control



New storage



- Batteries have net benefit on system level when their price drops below 100 €/kWh
 - Especially in systems with strong daily cycles – more beneficial in solardominated systems than in winddominated systems
- Using vehicle-to-grid charging for electric vehicles may bring enough short term storage for the system if all vehicles electric



Kiviluoma, J., Rinne, E., & Helistö, N. (2018). Comparison of flexibility options to improve the value of variable power generation. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 37(8), 761–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2017.1357554</u>

Operational practices

Costs [MEUR]

Operational

- Operating the system with longer time horizon forecasts will benefit at larger wind and solar shares
- Nordic case study: Biomass replaces coal and gas when increasing forecast horizon from 1 day ahead to 15 days ahead

Rasku, T., Miettinen, J., Rinne, E., & Kiviluoma, J. (2020). Impact of 15-day energy forecasts on the hydro-thermal scheduling of a future Nordic power system. *Energy*, *192*, 116668. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116668</u>



Flexibility in supply side

Total electricity generation by source, compared to the 36-hour-ahead forecast horizon



New transmission

- Benefits from the smoothing effect of wind power production
 - Reduction of variability from a single country to a wider region
- Benefits from using flexible generation/storage/load resources in neighboring countries
- New interconnections are beneficial especially in wind-dominated systems
- Transmission grid reinforcements may also be needed to connect good wind/solar resources to high load areas



Balmorel Salmorel & JMM LP Balmorel & JMM MIP

Kiviluoma, J., Rinne, E., & Helistö, N. (2018). Comparison of flexibility options to improve the value of variable power generation. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 37(8), 761–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2017.1357554</u>



Demand response schemes can decrease the need for additional generating capacity

Demand response

Demand response can be useful for primary reserves



Balmorel Salmorel & JMM LP Balmorel & JMM MIP

Kiviluoma, J., Rinne, E., & Helistö, N. (2018). Comparison of flexibility options to improve the value of variable power generation. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, *37*(8), 761–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2017.1357554</u>

New loads

 Heat pumps and electric boilers allow replacing fuels in the district heating sector when there is a surplus of electricity generation

Flexible

Flexibility in supply side

Other flexibility options

- Heat storages further increase opportunities to utilise this fuel saving
- With sufficient amounts of wind and solar, residential power-to-heat with thermal storage yields more system cost savings than simple energy efficiency improvements
- Electrification new loads that are more flexible that the loads today
 - Heating and cooling, electric transport, industrial processes, ...



Rasku, T., & Kiviluoma, J. (2019). A comparison of widespread flexible residential electric heating and energy efficiency in a future Nordic power system. *Energies*, 12(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/en12010005</u>

RH Resistive heater | SH Storage heater | SSH Super storage heater | Rfb Refurbished | nZEB Nearly zero-energy building 08/06/2020 VTT - beyond the obvious



Impacts of generating capacity mix on electricity prices

- Very low average electricity prices are likely to occur when large volumes of wind and solar are pushed into power systems that already have enough generating capacity
- Average electricity prices may return to higher levels if excess base load generating capacity is retired
 - Rest of the generation capacity mix can be optimized for the new system conditions



Helistö, N., Kiviluoma, J., & Holttinen, H. (2017). Sensitivity of electricity prices in energy-only markets with large amounts of zero marginal cost generation. In *International Conference on the European Energy Market, EEM*. Dresden, Germany. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/EEM.2017.7981893</u>

Revenue sufficiency from markets– mitigating low prices

- Larger market area keeping prices up
 Less correlated wind power production
- New loads to take cheaper electricity
- Faster markets balancing costs down
 - Improved load/net load following dispatch
- Frequency control from wind and solar
 - Where surplus energy or very low prices, wind/solar can operate at part load and offer fast up- and down-regulation
 - Often this becomes cost effective at larger (>20%) shares of wind and solar







IEA Wind Task 25: Design and operation of energy systems with large amounts of variable generation

Country	Institution
Canada	Hydro Quebec (Alain Forcione, Nickie Menemenlis); NRCan (Thomas Levy)
China	SGERI (Wang Yaohua, Liu Jun)
Denmark	DTU (Nicolaos Cutululis); Energinet.dk (Antje Orths); Ea analyse (Peter Börre Erikse
Finland (OA)	VTT (Hannele Holttinen, Juha Kiviluoma)
France	EdF R&D (E. Neau); TSO RTE (J-Y Bourmaud); Mines (G. Kariniotakis)
Germany	Fraunhofer IEE (J. Dobschinski); FfE (S. von Roon); TSO Amprion (P. Tran)
Ireland	UCD (D. Flynn); SEAI (J. McCann); Energy Reform (J. Dillon, M. O'Malley);
Italy	TSO Terna Rete Italia (Enrico Maria Carlini)
Japan	Tokyo Uni (J. Kondoh); Kyoto Uni (Y. Yasuda); CRIEPI (R. Tanabe)
Mexico	INEEL (Rafael Castellanos Bustamante, Miguel Ramirez Gonzalez)
Netherlands	TUDelft (Arjen van der Meer, Simon Watson)
Norway	NTNU (Magnus Korpås); SINTEF (John Olav Tande, Til Kristian Vrana)
Portugal	LNEG (Ana Estanquiero); INESC-Porto (Ricardo Bessa)
Spain	University of Castilla La Mancha (Emilio Gomez Lazaro)
Sweden	KTH (Lennart Söder)
UK	Imperial College (Goran Strbac); Strathclyde Uni (Olimpo Anaya-Lara)
USA	NREL (Bri-Mathias Hodge); UVIG (J.C. Smith); DoE (Jian Fu)
Wind Europe	European Wind Energy Association (Vasiliki Klonari, Daniel Fraile)





beyond the obvious

Niina Helistö niina.helisto@vtt.fi +358 40 480 7620 @VTTFinland

www.vtt.fi

08/06/2020

Large Amount

Examples of Enhancing Flexibility – Denmark

- Combined heat and power plants operation according to electricity prices, electric boilers (heat from electricity)
- Lowering minimum on-line requirement of larger power plants
- More interconnections to Nordic market
- DSM and heat/gas and transportation system integration to enable 50% penetration of wind
 Ngas fired CHP









RES-E shares in Europe

Hydro is normalised and excluding pumping.

Wind is normalised.

Solar includes solar photovoltaics and solar thermal generation.

All other renewables includes electricity generation from gaseous and liquid biofuels, renewable municipal waste, geothermal, and tide, wave & ocean.









Figure 57. Frequency response to two Palo Verde unit trip - LSP Base vs. Hi-Mix vs. Extreme.





Figure 74. Frequency response to two Palo Verde unit trip for LSP Hi-Mix case with three combinations of frequency controls on wind plants.



Source: Miller et al. 2014. Western Wind and Solar Integration Study Phase 3 -Frequency Response and Transient Stability.

Frequency

response

Figure 76. Frequency response to two Palo Verde unit trip for LSP Hi-Mix - with and without governor control on utility-scale PV plants.

Figure 79. Frequency response to two Palo Verde unit trip for LSP Hi-Mix - with and without energy storage.

Denmark

All Ireland
 Portugal
 Germany

Spain Great Britain

Denmark

50%

▲ Italv

40%

Curtailments are signals of lack of flexibility

- Delays of transmission
 - Italy and Texas diminished after grid build out
 - Germany still an issue
- Inflexibilities of coal power plants and tariffs
 - China
- Limiting max share of asynchronous generation
 - Ireland



Source: Prof Yasuda, Kyoto University

0% 0%

10%

Wind Curtailment Ratio [%]

Wind Energy Share [%]

20%

Portugal

30%

New transmission for benefitting from the smoothing effect

Smoothing effect of wind power production can be seen in the reduction of variability from a single country to a wider region

Example: Net load in Finland vs. Northern Europe

- Finland 60% wind & solar share
 - Max. net load 94% of the original peak load
 - Min. net load -49% of the original peak load
 - ~1900h with net load <0
 - Capacity of power plants with high operating hours (>6500h): 4% of the original peak load
- Northern Europe 60% wind & solar share
 - Max. net load 73% of the original peak load
 - Min. net load -33% of the original peak load
 - ~1000h with net load <0
 - Capacity of power plants with high operating hours (>6500h): 11% of the original peak load





Other system integration issues



The traditional load becomes active

- Aggregators: offering same comfort/service and aggregating flexibility
- Prosumers: optimise use of (solar) generation. HEMS, BEMS, energy communities, local markets



Sector coupling will more than double electricity demand

- Heating and cooling with air pumps
 - Combined with thermal storage
- Electric transport
 - Vehicles used less than 50 % of time
- Electrolysers for synthetic gas, industry processes

